



**STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION
TRUSTEES OF BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY
TRUSTEES OF IDAHO STATE UNIVERSITY
TRUSTEES OF LEWIS-CLARK STATE COLLEGE
BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO
STATE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION
TRUSTEES FOR THE IDAHO SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF AND THE BLIND**

**APPROVED MINUTES
STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION
August 20-22, 2008
Idaho State University
Rendezvous Complex
Pocatello, Idaho**

A regular meeting of the State Board of Education was held August 20-22, 2008 at Idaho State University in Pocatello, Idaho

Present:

Milford Terrell, President	Paul Agidius, Vice President
Sue Thilo, Secretary	Blake Hall
Richard Westerberg	Tom Luna, State Superintendent
Kenneth Edmunds	Rod Lewis

The Board met at 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, August 20, 2008, at Idaho State University in the Rendezvous Complex. A roll call of members was taken. Member Lewis and State Superintendent Luna were absent.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

M/S (Agidius/Thilo): To move into Executive Session pursuant to Idaho Code on Wednesday, August 20, 2008 at 5:00 p.m. to discuss the following:

- 1. A motion to hold an executive session pursuant to Idaho Code Section 67-2345 (c) for the purpose of conducting deliberations regarding acquisition by Boise State University of an interest in real property that is currently owned by private parties.**
- 2. A motion to hold an executive session pursuant to Idaho Code Section 67-2345 (f) to consider and advise the Boards' legal representatives in a matter of litigation.**
- 3. A motion to hold an executive session pursuant to Idaho Code Section 67-2345 (f) to consider and advise the Boards' legal representatives in a matter of litigation.**
- 4. A motion to hold an executive session pursuant to Idaho Code Section 67-2345 (f) to consider and advise the Boards' legal representatives in a matter of litigation.**
- 5. A motion to hold an executive session pursuant to Idaho Code Section 67-2345 (c) for the purpose of conducting deliberations regarding acquisition**

by Lewis-Clark State College of an interest in real property that is currently owned by private parties. A roll call vote was taken; Board member Lewis and State Superintendent Luna were absent. All others present voted in favor of the motion.

During Executive Session, the Board discussed and considered: (1) as Trustees of Boise State University, the potential acquisition by Boise State University of an interest in real property that is currently owned by private parties; (2) as Regents of the University of Idaho, issues related to these separate matters of litigation; and, (3) as Trustees of Lewis-Clark State College, the potential acquisition by Lewis-Clark State College of an interest in real property that is currently owned by private parties.

M/S (Agidius/Westerberg): To go out of Executive Session at 7:00 p.m.. Motion carried unanimously.

The regular meeting of the Board convened on Thursday, August 21, 2008 at 8:20 a.m. at Idaho State University in the Rendezvous Complex. Board President Terrell presided and started with opening remarks. Mr. Terrell recognized noteworthy educational achievements, events, and activities that have taken place at the institutions in the past year. He indicated that the Board will be looking at how the Presidents' Council is structured and its function.

OPEN FORUM

Matt Spencer, Idaho State University Associated Student Body President, welcomed the Board to ISU. He noted that the students are looking to make some changes in the function of the student government at ISU. He offered to host a tour of the campus for any Board member that is interested.

Jerry Peterson, Executive Secretary of Southwest Idaho Building and Construction Trades Council, spoke briefly about the current status of the construction industry. He noted that one of the challenges the construction industry faces is a shortage of skilled workers to fill vacant positions. He explained that the skilled trades require additional education, up to four years and/or including apprenticeship. The wages earned by the people in the skilled trades are equal to, and even greater than a number of professions that require academic degrees. He reminded the Board that construction will always be an essential profession. He urged the Board to be aware that the field is in desperate need of educated workers who have been provided opportunities for coursework, degrees, and apprenticeship programs beyond high school. Students need classes in higher level math, science, and technology.

State Superintendent Luna invited Mr. Peterson to a meeting that will take place shortly to discuss professional-technical education (PTE) and also current credit courses. Board member Hall indicated that when the Board was discussing high school reform, there was discussion about PTE courses that would be accepted as math credit. Ann Stephens, State Administrator for the Division of Professional-Technical Education, was invited to comment. She discussed the math courses and pilot projects being offered by the the Lewiston school district, Lewis-Clark State College, and the Meridian School district. She reported that a school-to-apprenticeship program is starting and that a number of other efforts are being looked at. Mr. Hall indicated he was hopeful that a math course would be developed for people in the trades and encouraged PTE to work with the Department of Education to develop a senior level math course that would address the needs of the students going into the trades.

At this time Mr. Terrell greeted Senator Denton Darrington who was in the audience.

BOARDWORK

1. Agenda Approval

M/S (Hall/Thilo): To approve the agenda as submitted, with the exception of pulling item 14 of the Business Affairs and Human Resources – Section II agenda, and item 2 of the Instruction, Research, and Student Affairs agenda from the agenda. Motion carried unanimously.

2. Minutes Approval

M/S (Hall/Agidius): To approve the minutes from the June 19-20, 2008 Board meeting as submitted. Motion carried unanimously.

3. Rolling Calendar

M/S (Hall/Westerberg): To approve August 20-21, 2009 as the date and Idaho State University as the location for the August 2009 regularly scheduled Board meeting. Motion carried unanimously. Motion carried unanimously.

CONSENT AGENDA

M/S (Hall/Agidius): To approve the Consent Agenda as submitted. Motion carried unanimously.

1. BAHR – Section I – Boise State University – New Positions, Changes to Positions, and Deletion of Positions

By unanimous consent, the Board approved the request by Boise State University for twenty (20) new positions (19.13 FTE): term; salary, FTE change to five (5) positions (3.91 FTE); and deletion of one (1) position (1.0 FTE), supported by appropriated and local funds.

2. BAHR – Section I – Idaho State University – New Positions and Changes to Positions

By unanimous consent, the Board approved the request by Idaho State University for six (6) new positions (6.0 FTE); to reactivate one (1) position (1.0 FTE), and to increase the FTE on one position (1.0 FTE).

3. BAHR – Section I – University of Idaho – New Positions

By unanimous consent, the Board approved the request by University of Idaho to establish eight (8) new positions (8.0 FTE) and reactivate four (4) positions (4.0 FTE) supported by appropriated and non-appropriated funds.

4. BAHR – Section I – Eastern Idaho Technical College – New Positions

By unanimous consent, the Board approved the request by Eastern Idaho Technical College for two (2) new positions (2.0 FTE) supported by local funds.

5. IRSA – Program Discontinuance: Design Drafting Technology Program – Idaho State University

By unanimous consent, the Board approved the request by Idaho State University to discontinue the Design Drafting Technology program.

6. IRSA – Program Discontinuance: AAS Degree in Human Resources Assistant – North Idaho College

By unanimous consent, the Board approved the request by North Idaho College to discontinue the A.A.S. degree in Human Resources Assistant program.

7. IRSA – Program Discontinuance: Technical Certificate in Landscape Technology – North Idaho College

By unanimous consent, the Board approved the request by North Idaho College to discontinue the Technical Certificate in Landscape Technology program.

8. PPGAC – Alcohol Permits Issued By University Presidents

This is an information item only.

At this time Board President Terrell welcomed the newest Board member, Ken Edmunds.

PLANNING, POLICY, AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

1. Presidents' Council Report

Dr. Jerry Beck of the College of Southern Idaho reported to the Board as the Chair of the Presidents' Council. He indicated that at the August 11, 2008 meeting of the Presidents' Council a report was presented by the Idaho Digital Learning Academy (IDLA). The Council acknowledged a need to improve the cooperative efforts between the colleges and universities in terms of IDLA delivery. The Council plans to forward the IDLA report to CAAP to pursue the issues and concerns brought forth. Dr. Beck also reported that the Presidents' Council was updated by the Governor's Nursing Task Force about the needs of the state in that regard.

Board member Hall discussed inquiries and frustrations that have been expressed to him from students regarding the transfer of credits; specifically that institutions are not abiding by Board policy to transfer credits between institutions and, in some cases, between programs. Mr. Hall mentioned that he had been contacted by a legislator with concerns about the same subject. Mr. Hall declared that this issue must be addressed and resolved.

Board member Agidius reported that he also had received a complaint from an individual who earned a two-year degree at a technical institution, only to be told by a four-year institution that the degree wasn't going to be accepted, and that student would be required to register as a sophomore.

State Superintendent Luna indicated he wanted to see a time-frame set for addressing this issue. Mr. Hall noted it is broader than the lower division courses; it applies to the upper level courses as well.

Sona Andrews from Boise State University reported that the Presidents' Council had charged CAAP to look at this issue and that CAAP had involved the registrars in the process. The Presidents' Council expects to have a report for the Board soon.

Board member Hall discussed the need to have a uniform numbering system for courses. Dene Thomas, President of Lewis-Clark State College, indicated that the Presidents' Council had looked at common course numbers and found several that weren't in line. Mr. Hall asked that the common course numbering apply to upper level courses as well.

By unanimous consent the Board charged the Presidents' Council to bring a full report on the credit transfer subject to the Board in December, 2008.

2. Idaho State University Annual Report

Dr. Art Vailas, President of Idaho State University delivered ISU's progress report. He noted that ISU is looking at interdisciplinary research efforts. He discussed how ISU is working to make strategic decisions based on the limited resources. One limiting factor is the shortage of qualified faculty to teach the new and upcoming students in health care professions as well as in related disciplines such as biology.

Dr. Vailas discussed medical and health education. He indicated that ISU is working to address issues related to programs, clinical faculty, and affiliation agreements with a number of other sites locally, statewide, and nationally. He pointed out that ISU is restricted in what they can do because of the faculty/student ratios mandated by accreditation requirements.

Board President Terrell mentioned the accelerator and asked if it was being used for proton therapy related to cancer research and treatment. Dr. Vailas reported that ISU is doing a tremendous amount of work in that area and explained it requires a huge amount of venture capital in order to build the kind of facility necessary to deploy proton therapy. He indicated that ISU is working with a venture capital firm to bring such a unit to this part of the country. ISU is also partnering with the federal government in that area.

Dr. Vailas offered to provide follow up information to the Board. Board President Terrell said that would be helpful. Board member Hall asked that a future report be presented on the various efforts and activities related to the health professions accelerator as well as things taking place at the INEL and CAES.

Before moving onto the next item, Board President Terrell noted that the Department of Administration wants all of the institutions to determine why they aren't able to tie into a single data base. Board member Hall suggested it would be helpful to have written clarification from

the Department of Administration so it is clear what kind of information or response it wants to have. When that is received, it will be forwarded to the Presidents' Council.

3. Commission for Libraries Annual Report

Ann Joslin reported to the Board. She noted that the Commission is guided by its vision for the year 2020. Its mission is to assist libraries to build their capacity to better serve their clientele by embracing change. She explained that in today's environment, libraries are more relevant than ever because young adults are the most likely users.

Ms. Joslin discussed the Commission's strategic issues which included how Idaho libraries position themselves to embrace the future; preparing students for jobs that don't yet exist; professional development opportunities for teacher-librarians; defining the library's identity; and how to sustain an infrastructure that provides services in an atmosphere of change.

Ms. Joslin reported that Idaho has moved to a digital repository requirement that replaces the hard-copy repository. This allows for easy access for the public and eliminates the need for more space for hard copies.

At this time Board President Terrell introduced Representative Donna Boe in the audience.

By unanimous consent, the Board agreed to modify the agenda in order to move item number five of the Instruction, Research, and Student Affairs (IRSA) agenda ahead of item number one on the IRSA agenda.

4. Family Medicine Residency of Idaho Presentation

Dr. Ted Epperly Chair and Program Director of Family Medicine Residency of Idaho addressed the Board. He introduced Dr. Jonathan Cree from Idaho State University's Family Medicine program.

Dr. Epperly is a physician in Boise, Idaho. He reported that Idaho has a crisis in the production of family care physicians. In terms of workforce generation, 90% of all Idaho counties are short on primary care. Currently Idaho ranks 47th in the U.S. for the number of primary care physicians. He mentioned the programs in Boise and Pocatello rank 8th in the nation in terms of retention of residents in the state.

Dr. Epperly explained that over the past six years the program has grown, and it continues to build out. A carry-over funding request is in place to use the Governor's millennial funds to help address the need. He referred the Board to the Business Affairs and Human Resources agenda materials for the exact numbers. He thanked the Board for the support of their programs in the past.

Dr. Jonathan Cree described the two different approaches that Idaho has. He said that Boise's program is community-based while the program at ISU is university-based. He indicated that efforts are underway by ISU to put a rural health program into place. ISU is currently working to establish a site, but additional faculty will be needed to get that going. Dr. Cree explained that the expansion plans in eastern Idaho are smaller than in the Boise area.

Board member Thilo asked how federal funding applies to residency programs. Dr. Epperly indicated that the federal funding of residency programs comes through Medicare. For Idaho that means that about 27% comes from Medicare, 50% comes from patient care, and 8% of the current funding comes from state funds through the Board of Education. They are looking to increase the state funds to 12% in order to increase the size of the program. Dr. Epperly reported that federal funding of the program is fairly stagnant right now and the government appears ready to cut those monies in the future. This will have a very negative impact on medical programs nationally.

Dr. Cree reported that the two programs have appeared before the Board a number of times since 1996 to provide progress reports and to request funding. In response to a question from Board member Hall, Dr. Epperly pointed out that he and Dr. Cree last appeared before the Board at its meeting in Twin Falls. In terms of coordination with ISU, Dr. Cree reiterated that he heads up the program at ISU.

Dr. Epperly clarified that this effort is a state-related mission and that the Governor asked them to expand, so in good faith they are working towards that end. The two programs do collaborate and coordinate in a number of areas. In addition, both programs are partners with the University of Washington.

5. Idaho State University – Alcohol Waiver Request for 2008 Home Games

M/S (Hall/Thilo): To approve the request by Idaho State University to establish secure areas under the conditions set forth in this request for the purpose of allowing pre-game activities for the 2008 home football season. The conditions are as follows:

- 1. A secured area surrounded by a fence to control access to and from the area.**
- 2. Three-hour duration, ending at kick-off.**
- 3. Alcohol making or distributing companies will not be allowed to sponsor the activities or tents.**
- 4. A color-coded wrist band or pass admission system will identify attendees and invited guests.**
- 5. Companies involved in the pre-game location will be sent a letter outlining the pre-game location and the SBOE alcohol policy. The letter will state the minimum drinking age in Idaho is 21 and that at no time should they allow any underage drinking and/or serving of alcohol to visibly intoxicated persons.**
- 6. One entry/exit point will be manned by security personnel.**
- 7. Security personnel located throughout the controlled area will be monitoring the alcohol wristband policy and patron behavior.**
- 8. Security personnel will not allow patrons to exit the area with alcoholic beverages.**
- 9. Tent sponsors will be required to insure and indemnify the State of Idaho, the State Board of Education and Idaho State University for a minimum of \$2,000,000 and to make sure that the proper permits and licenses are obtained.**
- 10. The area is for sponsors to entertain clients/guests for the fall 2008 home football games, including the sales and service of alcohol.**
- 11. A review of the 2008 events will be brought back after the conclusion of the season before consideration will be given to any future requests for similar activities on home football game days.**

Motion carried unanimously.

Board member Lewis referred to a diagram on Tab 5, page 6 and noted it didn't appear that there were separate access entrances/exits into the area. Board member Agidius indicated that it was his understanding that an adult could take a child into the area, but that a minor could not come in on his own. Mr. Lewis explained that there were instances where that was allowed, but it was an exception to the policy, and not to be considered as the rule.

ISU explained there is a fence around the area where alcohol sales will take place and that alcohol must be consumed prior to leaving the area. Board member Hall asked ISU draw in the fence on the diagram, and have that revised diagram attached to the minutes to attest to its presence.

6. Boise State University – Alcohol Waiver Request for 2008 Home Games

M/S (Hall/Thilo): To approve the request by Boise State University to establish secure areas under the conditions set forth in this request for the purpose of allowing pre-game activities for the 2008 home football season. The conditions are as follows:

- 1. The patio will be secured (outside patio, surrounded by a fence) to control access to and from the area.**
- 2. Three-hour duration, ending at kick-off.**
- 3. The Boise State University campus food provider (Aramark) will carry the alcohol license and insurance and will provide TIPS trained personnel to monitor the sale and consumption of all alcohol to those of legal drinking age only.**
- 4. No alcohol making or distributing companies may be allowed to sponsor the activities or tents.**
- 5. A color-coded wrist band or pass admission system that would identify attendees and invited guests.**
- 6. Companies involved in the tent village would be sent a letter outlining the tent village/SBOE alcohol policy. The letter will state the minimum drinking age in Idaho is 21 and that at no time should they allow any underage drinking and/or serving of alcohol to visibly intoxicated patrons.**
- 7. Two entry points manned by security personnel.**
- 8. Security personnel located throughout the controlled area will be monitoring the alcohol wristband policy and patron behavior.**
- 9. Security personnel will not allow patrons to exit the area with alcoholic beverages.**
- 10. Tent sponsors will be required to insure and indemnify the State of Idaho, the State Board of Education and Boise State University for a minimum of \$2,000,000 and to make sure that the proper permits and licenses are obtained.**
- 11. The area is for sponsors to entertain clients/guests for the fall of 2008 home football games, including the sales and service of alcohol.**
- 12. A request will be brought back after the conclusion of the 2008 football season to the Board for reconsideration for 2009.**
- 13. BSU will abide by all terms and conditions of the Board's existing alcohol policy.**
- 14. Provide the Board with a drawing to demonstrate that what they have requested is in compliance with Board policy.**

Motion carried unanimously.

BSU reported that there were no violations last year.

7. Boise State University – Alcohol Waiver Request – Sky Suites

M/S (Hall/Agidius): To approve the request by Boise State University to allow the sale of alcohol in the Sky Club during home games and the Humanitarian Bowl on an ongoing basis and under the following conditions:

- 1. The Sky Club is enclosed and totally separate from the general seating areas and alcohol service will only be available to patrons with tickets in the Sky Club.**
- 2. There is no access from the general seating area into the Sky Club. Further, only patrons who hold tickets to seats in the Sky Club will be allowed into the Sky Club during games.**
- 3. The sale of alcohol will begin no sooner than three hours prior to kick off and will end at start of the 4th quarter.**
- 4. Two entry points at the North and South Elevator Towers will be manned by security personnel.**
- 5. Security personnel will be located throughout the Sky Club area on each of the four floors monitoring all alcohol policies and patron behavior.**
- 6. Security personnel will not allow patrons to exit or enter the area with any food or beverages.**
- 7. The Boise State University campus food provider (Aramark) will carry the alcohol license and insurance and will provide TIPS trained personnel to monitor the sale and consumption of all alcohol to those of legal drinking age only.**
- 8. BSU will abide by all terms and conditions of the Board’s existing alcohol policy.**

Substitute M/S (Lewis/Hall): To approve the request by Boise State University to allow the sale of alcohol in the Sky Club during home games and the Humanitarian Bowl on an ongoing basis and under the conditions set forth in the original motion, number 1 – 8, and to include the following:

- 1. The Sky Club is enclosed and totally separate from the general seating areas and alcohol service will only be available to patrons with tickets in the Sky Club.**
- 2. There is no access from the general seating area into the Sky Club. Further, only patrons who hold tickets to seats in the Sky Club will be allowed into the Sky Club during games.**
- 3. The sale of alcohol will begin no sooner than three hours prior to kick off and will end at start of the 4th quarter.**
- 4. Two entry points at the North and South Elevator Towers will be manned by security personnel.**
- 5. Security personnel will be located throughout the Sky Club area on each of the four floors monitoring all alcohol policies and patron behavior.**
- 6. Security personnel will not allow patrons to exit or enter the area with any food or beverages.**
- 7. The Boise State University campus food provider (Aramark) will carry the alcohol license and insurance and will provide TIPS trained personnel to monitor the sale and consumption of all alcohol to those of legal drinking age only.**
- 8. BSU will abide by all terms and conditions of the Board’s existing alcohol policy.**
- 9. The official food sponsor will be required to insure and indemnify the State of Idaho, the State Board of Education, and Boise State University for a minimum of \$2,000,000, and to make sure the proper permits and licenses are obtained.**
- 10. No alcohol making or distributing companies may be allowed to sponsor the activities or tents.**

11. Boise State University consider further measures to assure underage drinking does not take place in the Sky Boxes, and to define how the Sky Boxes are monitored and secured to that end.

12. Boise State University will bring this back to the Board in 2009 for review.

Motion carried 7-1 (Lewis voted nay).

There was a discussion following the original motion related to concerns about serving alcohol during the game and in the stadium. Board member Lewis noted that when the request for Sky Boxes came before the Board previously, similar concerns were expressed. He indicated that as future waivers come forward it may be more difficult to keep what happens in the Sky Boxes separate from what happens in the stadium.

Board member Hall referred back to the minutes from March 2005 and the discussion at that time about the sale of alcohol in the Sky Boxes. He noted that the minutes of March 2005 did approve the sale of alcohol sales in some fashion in the Sky Box suites. Mr. Lewis asked if alcohol consumption was critical to the sales of the suites. Gene Bleymeier of BSU indicated it was because it was a factor in how the Sky Boxes were promoted and sold.

Mr. Lewis made a substitute motion.

8. University of Idaho – Alcohol Waiver Request for ASUI Pre-Game Activities for 2008 Football Season

M/S (Hall/Thilo): To approve the request by the University of Idaho to establish an additional secure area for the purpose of allowing the above specified pre-game activities (ASUI Student Pre-Game) for the 2008 home football season, such events to be in compliance with Board policy section I.J. and the following conditions:

- 1. The service area shall be secure, surrounded by a fence to control access to and from the area.**
- 2. The pre-game events shall be limited to three hours, ending at kick-off.**
- 3. Alcohol making or distributing companies may not sponsor the activities or tents.**
- 4. UI shall use a color-coded wrist band or pass admission system to identify attendees and invited guests.**
- 5. UI shall send companies sponsoring a corporate tent a letter outlining the Board alcohol policy and further conditions set by the Board. The letter will state that the minimum drinking age in Idaho is 21 and that at no time may they allow any underage drinking and/or serving of alcohol to visibly intoxicated patrons.**
- 6. There must be no more than two entry points, each manned by security personnel, for the secure area.**
- 7. Security personnel shall be located throughout the secure service area to monitor use of wristbands, patron behavior, and entrance and exit.**
- 8. No person may exit the secure area with alcoholic beverages.**
- 9. Tent sponsors shall insure and indemnify the State of Idaho, the State Board of Education and Board of Regents of the University of Idaho and the University of Idaho for a minimum of \$2,000,000, and shall obtain the proper permits and licenses.**
- 10. The area is for sponsors to entertain clients/guests for the fall of 2008 home football games, including the sales and service of alcohol.**
- 11. This exception is only for the 2008 football season; the University shall bring the matter back to the Board after the conclusion of the 2008 football season for reconsideration for 2009.**

12. Be required to provide a drawing that outlines the University's intent.

Motion FAILED unanimously.

Board member Hall asked about the difference between UI's request for 3 hours rather than 4 hours. UI agreed to change it to 3 hours. Mr. Hall and Ms. Thilo agreed to that change in their motion.

Garrett Albrecht ASBUI President presented this request from the students to have a separate area on campus for those over the age of 21 to purchase and consume alcohol prior to the games. Several Board members voiced a concern that it promotes alcohol use among the students. State Superintendent Luna indicated that his biggest concern is that the student body association is getting into the business of creating and sponsoring events where alcohol is served.

9. Board Policy Section I.M – Annual Planning and Reporting – First Reading

M/S (Hall/Agidius): To approve the first reading of the Idaho State Board of Education Governing Policies & Procedures, Section I.M. Motion carried unanimously.

10. College of Western Idaho (CWI) and College of Southern Idaho (CSI) – Accreditation Agreement

M/S (Hall/Agidius): To approve the request by the College of Western Idaho to approve the Accreditation Agreement between the College of Western Idaho and the College of Southern Idaho as submitted. Motion carried unanimously.

It was noted that representatives from the College of Western Idaho were not available to discuss this request.

Dr. Jerry Beck indicated that this effort is a cost-recovery factor, not a revenue generating activity for the College of Southern Idaho. He noted that transcripts, degrees, and student aid will be in the name of the College of Southern Idaho until the College of Western Idaho is able to operate on its own.

Board members agreed it would be helpful to have more detailed financial information including fiscal impact statements from both institutions. Board President Terrell asked that the Board be able to see that information before it votes on an MOU.

M/S (Terrell/Lewis): To postpone this item to time certain, which will be at the next Board meeting, with the necessary financial information. Motion failed unanimously.

Dr. Beck noted that there are two separate issues. One has to do with financial aid and the other has to do with accreditation. This MOU relates to the financial aid piece. If this item is postponed it will make it impossible for CWI to award financial aid for the spring semester. Dr. Beck emphasized that this request is from CWI not from CSI. CSI is not in possession of the financial statements of CWI.

Board member Lewis noted that there is a community interest in the College of Western Idaho being successful. The transition path related to CWI's independence would be helpful. Board member Hall noted that in the past the Board hasn't interfered with the agreements between

institutions with independent boards and it doesn't appear that the Board should do that now. The Board does not have any real governance over either CSI or CWI.

Mr. Lewis noted that this request puts the Board in an awkward position. He suggested that CWI should be asked to come back to present their budget and to answer questions by the Board in the future.

INSTRUCTION, RESEARCH, AND STUDENT AFFAIRS

5. Update and Recommendations on Deaf/Hard-of-Hearing and Blind/Visually Impaired Service Delivery Model

Board member Thilo introduced this information item. Aylee Schaefer from the Board office reported to the Board.

Ms. Thilo encouraged the Board members to review the considerable information provided to them in the Board material. She discussed the Summit which took place and noted that a number of recommendations were suggested. Final recommendations have not been compiled as yet, however several themes were identified. Ms. Schaefer briefly highlighted those themes: personnel preparation; family and mentor involvement; full continuum of services for children and youth; separation/differentiation of services in the delivery system; the need for a residential school and where it should be located; the best system for collaborating and networking of resources; and the overall administration of services including the structure for how to network.

Ms. Schaefer indicated she had received consistent and daily comments from participants thanking the Board for allowing them this opportunity to be involved in the discussion and for being allowed to be heard. She noted that the representation on the advisory board(s) will include the voices of those who will be impacted and that they will be equally weighted in terms of importance.

1. Approval of the University of Idaho's Law School Implementation Plan for the Two-Location Concept and Legislative Appropriation in FY 2010 Budget Request

Steven Daley Laursen, Interim President of the University of Idaho introduced the panel of presenters. He discussed the proposal for a two-location concept noting that the proposal fits with the University's role as a land-grant institution. Mr. Laursen assured the Board that the law school's primary location will always be in Moscow. He suggested that this is a time of urgency in terms of making a decision. He indicated that the University's Foundation enthusiastically endorsed the proposal.

Dean Don Burnett addressed the Board. He provided details as he reviewed information provided in the Board materials. He suggested that if the two-location concept is approved, the University's law school will be stronger academically, able to serve a bigger population, and will add value to the existing programs. He discussed a detailed year-to-year operating and capital budget which goes through FY 2017. He noted that there would be phased-growth with additional operating costs supported by appropriations, fees, private giving, and grants. To counter claims that the intent is to permanently move the law school to Boise, Dean Burnett pointed out that the Attorney General's ruling makes it clear that the law school cannot move out of Moscow.

Chief Justice Schroeder spoke on behalf of Chief Justice Eismann. He read a letter from Chief Justice Eismann. Chief Justice Schroeder noted that this cooperative effort of the Supreme Court and the law school was presented to the Legislature in 2007 so it could receive extensive public comment and scrutiny. One driver of this effort is that the role of law is critical in our society. He emphasized that this effort will benefit the Courts, the students, and the public at large.

Senator Denton Darrington addressed the Board. He suggested that Idaho cannot remain static; it needs to be progressive or it will regress. He clarified that this proposal has come as a result of many years of discussion. Initially, it started with discussions about what to do with the Law Library. He noted that a recommendation went before the Joint Finance and Appropriations Committee last year to fund a study regarding the need for a Law Center. Senator Darrington indicated that he hadn't heard of any attempt by anyone involved with the proposal to move the entire law school from Moscow to Boise. Rather, it's been emphasized that the desire is simply to move some functions to Boise because it is the center of population growth. He suggested that controls can be put into place to make sure the school does stay in Moscow. Senator Darrington asked the Board members to be progressive in their thinking related to the law school coming to Boise.

Justice Linda Copple-Trout spoke on behalf of the Law Advisory Council. She addressed the concern that the branch in Boise is just a prelude to moving the entire operation to Boise. She assured the Board, on behalf of the Advisory Council, which has a large number of UI alums on it, that is definitely not the case. In terms of there being enough lawyers in Boise, she observed that people with a law degree also pursue a number of different careers in a number of different areas outside of law, so it isn't just the number of lawyers that should be considered.

Richard Morgan a consultant who has worked on the development of this plan indicated he favored the dual location approach. It keeps a substantial presence in the Boise area while maintaining a significant role in Moscow. He noted that the budgets presented with this plan are reasonable. It will take additional funds from the Legislature as well. He suggested that a dual location approach will allow for increased enrollments. He said he was optimistic that this will be a successful enterprise because there is a need in Idaho, and in Boise. Also, the University, the faculty, and the Law Center are committed to this effort.

Brian Williams a recent graduate from the University of Idaho, College of Law addressed the Board. He said the prospect of a dual location means that there will be greater opportunity for students in Moscow and elsewhere in Idaho. He discussed how this would benefit place-bound students and their families, and indicated he was very confident this would be a good move.

State Superintendent Luna asked about a tuition fee comparison between having a private sector entity fill the void versus the UI filling the void. Dean Burnett indicated that tuition at the University is \$11,000 (out of state is \$21,000) while the tuition for other northwest private schools ranges upwards from \$29,000.

Board member Agidius passed along concerns that have been expressed to him. One is that the specialty areas that would stay in Moscow are not areas of growth; the areas of growth are those being shifted to Boise. He applauded the collaborative effort between the law school and the law library, but suggested that the need for a presence in Boise is not as great as is being proposed. He expressed concerns about funding the operation as well.

Dean Burnett indicated that the basic J.D. curriculum would be offered at both locations and that moving the specialty areas will enhance what the University is already doing. He indicated that the upper level specialties tend to be seminar size programs which could be shared between the two campuses via distance learning.

President Laursen addressed the concerns about the areas that are suggested to stay in Moscow and pointed out that there is data to support that each one is viable, has high visibility, and expects greater growth in terms of need for legal services and representation.

Board member Hall suggested that while there is a health care crisis in the U.S., there isn't a growing demand for attorneys. Dean Burnett pointed out again that Boise is the only state capitol in the United States without a law school. He reiterated that the concept is also to have phased-growth.

Board member Hall raised a question about the small numbers of applicants who actually come to the University of Idaho, College of Law. Dean Burnett indicated that there are several factors, but a primary one is that Idaho doesn't have a law school in the largest population area of the state. He reiterated that because this is viewed as a drawback by many applicants, they often choose to go elsewhere in the end.

Board member Hall expressed a concern that the quality of education may suffer if limited resources are divided between two locations. Dean Burnett suggested that the investment of funds to improve the program doesn't detract from the idea that improvement includes strategically advancing the program by an enhanced presence in Boise. .

Board member Lewis noted that Board policy requires that, before programs are increased or approved, the Board fully understands the implications in terms of economics and policy. He observed that while many programs would be enhanced by being in Boise that has not been the direction those programs, institutions, or this Board have taken lightly. Mr. Lewis suggested that the statistics presented in the materials provide argument not for growth or expansion, but for moving the law school to Boise altogether.

M/S (Thilo/Terrell): To approve the request by the UI for (1) authorization to proceed with its Implementation Plan for two locations of the UI College of Law as described in the Implementation Plan presented to the Regents, and (2) authorization to include a legislative appropriation in the FY 2010 budget to prepare the program for the initial incoming class as provided in the Plan. Information on the progress of the implementation plan will be brought routinely to the Regents for updates, and any further approvals required by Board policy will be brought to the Regents in a timely manner.

Board member Westerberg said that he found some merit in the proposal, but would like to see market information that demonstrates there are jobs in Idaho. He wondered if applicants would be considered separately for each separate location. Dean Burnett indicated that applicants would be asked about career paths, but ultimately the University will determine which location should accept the student, based on faculty workload and saturation.

Board member Lewis referred to page 23 of the study provided by the University of Idaho and indicated the chart on that page demonstrates his concern that as a percentage, University of Idaho graduates have a harder time of finding jobs compared to those from other states because many University of Idaho graduates end up in public sector positions. Dean Burnett

explained that UI students do tend to start out in public sector jobs not because of the quality of the student or the school, but because of the limitations that deprive students in Moscow from the relevant partnerships and networking opportunities that would be available to them if the law school expands to Boise.

Substitute Motion (Thilo/Terrell): To authorize the UI to expand its offerings in Boise to a full third year curriculum and to include a legislative appropriation in the FY 2010 budget for this expansion. The Regents recognize the statewide mission of the UI for legal education and approve the concept of a full three-year branch curriculum in Boise. The UI is instructed to re-visit the funding model for the full three year branch curriculum, to continue collaboration with the Idaho Supreme Court on the Idaho Law Learning Center, and return to the Regents for further discussion.

Board member Thilo clarified her intent with the motion. She noted she hears support for the intent for a law school in Boise. The third year concept allows UI to have access to all the metropolitan opportunities and is a way for the UI to get a foot in the door. Board member Hall amended Ms. Thilo's substitute motion. He agreed that some presence in Boise is important and hoped that the UI is interested in having a third year presence in Boise.

AMENDED Substitute Motion (Hall/Terrell): To authorize the UI to expand its offerings in Boise to a full third year curriculum and to include a legislative appropriation in the FY 2010 budget for this expansion. The Regents recognize the statewide mission of the UI for legal education. The UI is instructed to re-visit the funding model for a branch curriculum in Boise, to continue collaboration with the Idaho Supreme Court on the Idaho Law Learning Center, and return to the Regents for further discussion.

Second Substitute Motion: (Lewis/Thilo): To authorize the UI to expand its offerings in Boise to a full third year curriculum and to include a legislative appropriation in the FY 2010 budget for this expansion. The Regents recognize the statewide mission of the UI for legal education. The UI is instructed to re-visit the issue of funding and support for a full dual location model, including a full three (3) year branch curriculum in Boise, to continue collaboration with the Idaho Supreme Court on the Idaho Law Learning Center with respect to those programs to be delivered in Boise, and to return to the Regents for further discussion. Motion carried 6-2 (Agidius and Hall voted Nay).

Board member Lewis clarified his intent in the motion is to have an experience with a third year program to see how it works before considering going with something more. It allows for a continuation of the discussion.

2. This item was pulled from the agenda.

3. Approval of the Eight-Year Plan – Two-Year Update

M/S (Thilo/Luna): To approve the updated Eight-Year Plan for academic years 2008-09 to 2015-16. Motion carried 7-0 (Lewis absent during vote).

4. Approval of Notice of Intent to Replace the D.A. in English with a Ph.D. in English and the Teaching of English – Idaho State University

M/S (Thilo/Hall): To approve the request by Idaho State University to replace their current D.A. in English with a Ph.D. in English and the Teaching of English. Motion carried 7-0 (Lewis absent during vote).

6. Statewide Assessment Committee Recommendation/Report

Information item.

BUSINESS AFFAIRS AND HUMAN RESOURCES – SECTION 1

1. University of Idaho – Employment Contract – Head Women’s Soccer Coach

M/S (Westerberg/Agidius): To approve the University of Idaho’s employment contract with head women’s soccer coach Peter Showler. Motion carried 7-0 (Lewis absent during vote).

BUSINESS AFFAIRS AND HUMAN RESOURCES – SECTION 2

1. FY 2019 Budget Requests

a. Budget Requests

M/S (Westerberg/Thilo): To approve the Line Items for the agencies and institutions as listed in Attachment 1, to forward to the Division of Financial Management and Legislative Services Office the remaining Line Items as listed in Attachment 2, and to authorize the Executive Director to approve the MCO and Line Item budget requests, pending adjustments for increases in the Health Insurance Premium, for agencies and institutions due to DFM and LSO on September 1, 2008. Motion carried 7-1 (Edmunds voted Nay).

Board member Westerberg presented this item and explained the process for prioritizing the line items. Board member Hall raised a question about the line item related to the University of Idaho law school. He indicated he was uncomfortable in approving that particular line item until UI could adjust the costs, based on the fact that the scope of that effort was downsized from the original proposal. He asked that the Board instruct the Executive Director to review the number and to amend the number in the list of line items. Lloyd Mues of the University of Idaho spoke up and reported that he had already been in touch with the budget officer at UI to adjust that number. He will get the revised number back to the Board.

By unanimous consent the Board agreed to this.

b. Capital Budget Requests

M/S (Westerberg/Thilo): To approve the Capital Projects list for FY 2010. Motion carried 7-1 (Edmunds voted nay).

2. Optional Retirement Plan – Proposed Amendments

M/S (Westerberg/Hall): To adopt Amendment 3 to the Idaho Optional Retirement Plan. The proposed amendment is attached. Motion carried unanimously.

3. 403(b) Plan – Proposed Amendments

M/S (Westerberg/Edmunds): To adopt the Idaho Tax Deferred 403(b) Plan as submitted. Motion carried unanimously.

4. Amendment to Board Policy – Section V.K., Construction Projects – First Reading

M/S (Edmunds/Westerberg): To approve the first reading of the amendment to Board Policy V.I. – Real and Personal Property Services and Policy V.K. Construction Projects. Motion failed 5 – 3 (Terrell, Edmunds and Westerberg voted in favor of the motion).

Board member Agidius raised a concern that this amendment would increase the amount that can be approved without Board review. Scott Christie of the Board office explained it was an aggregate amount, not an incremental amount. Board member Agidius said he was still not comfortable with the idea of the Board not being able to review amounts under \$1,000,000 because a project could come in at \$999,999 and the Board wouldn't see it. Board member Hall indicated he would vote against it for the same reason and that it hasn't been cumbersome for him to review items. Board member Westerberg suggested that work needs to take place on clarifying the intent. Another motion will come back before the Board at another time.

5. Amendment to Board Policy – Section V.W., Litigation – First Reading

M/S (Westerberg/Edmunds): To approve the first reading of Board Policy V.W. – Litigation. Motion carried unanimously.

Board member Hall asked for clarification on the motion. Board member Edmunds asked about the amounts indicated. Jeff Schrader, the Board's legal counsel, indicated the ultimate dollar thresholds are solely the discretion of the Board.

6. Boise State University – Corporate Sponsorship Agreement – St. Lukes/Elks Rehab Services

M/S (Westerberg/Thilo): To approve Boise State University's request to enter into a corporate sponsorship agreement with St. Luke's-Idaho Elks Rehabilitation Services in accordance with the terms in the attached final draft of the agreement. Motion carried unanimously.

7. Boise State University – Beverage Services Agreement – Swire Pacific Holdings, Inc.

M/S (Westerberg/Edmunds): To delegate authority to the Executive Director of the Board to approve a beverage services agreement between Boise State University and Swire Pacific Holdings, Inc. and Coca-Cola North America upon review of a final agreement, consistent with the terms herein. Motion carried unanimously.

Board member Edmunds indicated that Swire was a client of his approximately twenty years ago. Given the time frame, the Board agreed there is not a conflict of interest on his part.

Stacy Pearson of Boise State University reported that there had been no other bids for this sponsorship.

8. Boise State University – Banking Services Agreement – Wells Fargo Bank

M/S (Westerberg/Hall): To delegate authority to the Executive Director of the Board to approve a banking services agreement between Boise State University and Wells Fargo upon review of a final agreement, consistent with the terms herein. Motion carried unanimously.

Stacy Pearson reported that this bid came through state purchasing. There were five bidders.

9. University of Idaho – Nancy Cummings Center Project

M/S (Westerberg/Agidius): To approve the request by the University of Idaho to increase the Capital Project Authorization for the Residential Facility, UI Nancy M. Cummings Research, Education & Extension Center, Salmon, Idaho from \$1,500,000 to \$2,213,410 to allow for the full implementation of the construction phase.

M/S (Hall/Luna): To postpone this item until the next meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

Lloyd Mues explained that when this project was approved in 2006, construction costs were lower. The qualified bidders came in higher than what was anticipated. Board President Terrell indicated he was very disturbed by the numbers and would vote against this proposal. Board member Agidius agreed with Board member Terrell about the numbers and suggested that the architect was wrong in his original projections. Mr. Terrell said he would like to see the justification for the amounts. Board member Hall said he would like to see a justification from the institution that the additional costs are worth it to the institution. He raised a concern as to where the additional money will come from.

10. University of Idaho – Interdisciplinary Studio Complex – Art and Architectures Project

M/S (Westerberg/Agidius): To authorize the University of Idaho to proceed with project planning and design for an interdisciplinary studio complex, at a cost not to exceed \$400,000, to be funded with private in-kind donations and gift funds. Motion carried unanimously.

Lloyd Mues of the University of Idaho presented this item. Board President Terrell clarified that this is tied to \$3.5 million of other funding.

11. University of Idaho – Litigation Collection Action 1

M/S (Westerberg/Hall): To approve filing of the complaint discussed in executive session and authorize the General Counsel of the University of Idaho to sign the complaint and all other documents necessary for filing the complaint. Motion carried unanimously.

12. University of Idaho – Litigation Collection Action 2

M/S (Westerberg/Agidius): To approve filing of the complaint discussed in executive session and authorize the General Counsel of the University of Idaho to sign the complaint and all other documents necessary for filing the complaint. Motion carried unanimously.

13. University of Idaho – Litigation Collection Action 3

M/S (Westerberg/Agidius): To approve filing of the complaint discussed in executive session and authorize the General Counsel of the University of Idaho to sign the complaint and all other documents necessary for filing the complaint. Motion carried unanimously.

14. This item was PULLED from the agenda.

15. Lewis-Clark State College – Property Purchases – Parking Lots

M/S (Westerberg/Thilo): To approve the request by Lewis-Clark State College to purchase the property at 1014 4th St., Lewiston, for \$135,000. Motion carried unanimously.

M/S (Westerberg/Hall): To approve the request by Lewis-Clark State College to purchase the property at 1024 4th St., Lewiston, for \$163,000. Motion carried unanimously.

M/S (Westerberg/Agidius): To approve the request by Lewis-Clark State College to purchase the leased property on Lots 5, 6, and 7, Block 2, Holcomb’s First Addition, Lewiston, for \$100,000. Motion carried unanimously.

16. Idaho State University – Alumni House Property Sale/Transfer of Alumni Offices to Existing President’s House/ISU President Housing Allowance

M/S (Westerberg/Edmunds): To approve the waiver of Board policy I.E.3.a which requires the president to live in the official residence provided and to approve a housing allowance to be provided that is similar in value to living in the official residence. Motion carried unanimously.

Jim Fletcher from ISU presented this item. He discussed the parameters of the proposal and indicated that it would be financially prudent for ISU to take this step. He provided clarification on the suggested housing allowance and noted that ISU currently owns both properties. With the sale of the Magnuson property, ISU will realize a financial benefit.

M/S (Westerberg/Hall): To approve the move of the Alumni Association from the Magnuson House to the Serval House. Motion carried unanimously.

M/S (Westerberg/Hall): To approve the sale of the Magnuson Alumni House at an amount greater than or equal to the appraised value. Motion carried unanimously.

Board member Thilo asked if there would be any negative response from the community regarding the sale of the Magnuson House. Mr. Fletcher explained that the facility was purchased by ISU in 1976 and that there hasn’t been any negative reaction from the community.

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

1. Superintendent's Update

State Superintendent Luna noted that members from the U.S. Department of Education announced that Idaho is one of five states to receive a multi-million charter school grant. Idaho's efforts to expand opportunities for at-risk students will be helped by these monies.

2. School District Property Transfer – Lakeland-West Bonner (Nunnallee Petition)

M/S (Luna/Agidius): To accept the findings and conclusions issued by the hearing officer and to approve the recommendation of the hearing officer to excise and annex property from West Bonner School District to Lakeland School District as proposed in the petition submitted by Chris Nunnallee. Motion carried unanimously.

State Superintendent Luna noted that neither district opposes this request. He introduced Chris Nunnallee, the petitioner. She explained her request is a result of the property transfer petition submitted by Tonya Reed to the Board, and approved by the Board at the April 2008 meeting. Her children are in the same situation as Ms. Reed's children so she would like to have her property be included in the first petition. All of her children already attend the Lakeland School District. Mr. Luna noted that the State Department of Education appointed a hearing officer to review the request and the hearing officer strongly approved this request.

3. School District Property Transfer – Kuna-Meridian

M/S (Luna/Edmunds): To accept the findings and conclusions in the recommended order issued by the hearing officer and to deny the petition for the excision and annexation of property from Kuna Joint School District to Meridian Joint School District. Motion carried 6-2 (Lewis and Luna voted Nay).

Mike Rothwell the petitioner was introduced by State Superintendent Luna. Mr. Rothwell explained that the Meridian school district gave him wrong information related to which district this property was in prior to the time he purchased the home. He purchased the home believing it was in the Meridian School District. Afterwards he was told that was not the case.

Mr. Rothwell indicated that while his children have been allowed by the Meridian School District to attend Meridian schools, the district won't provide transportation for them even though the school bus goes past his house. It has been a great hardship for both him and his wife to transport three children to and from three different schools. He also indicated that the Meridian schools are closer to his home than the Kuna schools.

Mr. Rothwell pointed out that one of the conditions in the rules that allows for transferring property from one district to the other has to do with what is best for the children. He emphasized that condition needed to be applied to this situation and urged the Board to approve his petition. He indicated he understood that if the Board did approve the petition the voters would make the final decision.

Mr. Luna shared that the Meridian District supports the property transfer while the Kuna district denied support. In addition, the hearing officer recommended denying the petition. Mr. Luna

indicated to Mr. Rothwell that he would like to meet with him and the Meridian District to see if the issue of transportation could be worked out.

4. Pending Rules – 08-0202-0801 – Certification Definitions

M/S (Luna/Agidius): To approve Pending Rules – Docket No. 08-0202-0801 – Certification Definitions. Motion carried unanimously.

5. Pending Rules – 08-0202-0802 – Amendment to Professional Growth

M/S (Luna/Hall): To approve the Pending Rule Docket 08-0202-0802 – Application Procedures / Professional Development. Motion carried unanimously.

6. Pending Rules – 08-0203-0802 – Idaho Mathematics Course Standards for Secondary Mathematics

M/S (Luna/Agidius): To approve the revisions to the Idaho Mathematics Course Standards for Secondary Mathematics courses to be incorporated by reference into rule. Motion carried unanimously.

M/S (Luna/Hall): To approve pending rule Docket 08-0203-0802 – Idaho Mathematics Course Standards for Secondary Mathematics, Extended Content Standards and Cut Scores for Science Alternate Assessment. Motion carried unanimously.

7. Temporary Rule – 08.02.03.004, Idaho Content Standards – Chemistry

M/S (Luna/Agidius): To approve the Idaho Content Standards for Chemistry to be Incorporated by reference into rule. Motion carried unanimously.

M/S (Luna/Thilo): To approve the temporary rule change to IDAPA 08.02.03.004, Rules Governing Thoroughness, to incorporate by reference the Idaho Content Standards for Chemistry. Motion carried unanimously.

8. Temporary Rules – 08.02.03.004, Idaho Content Standards – Humanities

M/S (Luna/Thilo): To approve the Idaho Content Standards for Humanities to be Incorporated by reference into rule. Motion carried unanimously.

M/S (Luna/Agidius): To approve the temporary rule change to IDAPA 08.02.03.004, Rules Governing Thoroughness, to incorporate by reference the Idaho Content Standards for Humanities. Motion carried unanimously.

9. Statewide Longitudinal Data System Grant

M/S (Luna/Agidius): To approve the Office of the Board of Education and Department of Education to collaboratively develop the application for the Statewide Longitudinal Data Systems Grant, and authorize the Executive Director to submit, once fully reviewed by Board staff and legal counsel and approved by the Division of Financial Management. Motion carried unanimously.

State Superintendent Luna noted this is not a matching grant. Idaho is in a favorable situation because last year the Department received 2.5 million dollars as the first installment of long-term funding for this effort.

Board member Lewis asked where Idaho is headed in terms of a data system. Mr. Luna noted a thorough proposal was provided to the Legislature last year and he will forward that information to the Board. The proposal outlines the flow of money over the next three years and the development of a data system. Mr. Lewis indicated that not knowing the total costs is risky. Mr. Luna explained that the Department clearly knows what this will look like. The total projected cost is \$11 million, with over four years to implement it. Then it will cost \$1.9million every year after to maintain it.

10. 2007-2008 Final Accreditation Summary Report of Idaho Schools

M/S (Luna/Edmunds): To approve the 2007-2008 Accreditation Final Summary Report of Idaho Schools as submitted. Motion carried unanimously.

Board member Hall asked if there are any schools in the latter categories. State Superintendent Luna indicated he doesn't have that information at hand, but it is in the report. He will forward it to Mr. Hall.

11. Professional Standards Commission Appointment

M/S (Luna/Thilo): To approve Cathy Bierne as a member of the Professional Standards Committee for a term of three years representing secondary classroom teachers. Motion carried unanimously.

12. Curricular Materials Adoption

M/S (Luna/Hall): To approve the request by the State Department of Education for adoption of curricular materials and their related instructional materials as recommended by the Curricular Materials Selection Committee as submitted. Motion carried unanimously.

State Superintendent clarified that the Department of Education has a set cycle for reviewing curricular materials and that there is a window of opportunity for vendors to come back. The vendors are all aware of the timelines.

OLD BUSINESS

Board member Terrell explained that the Executive Committee still has on its agenda to look at the idea of providing incentives for college presidents as part of their contracts. He asked Board member Lewis to take part in that discussion.

OTHER BUSINESS

M/S (Agidius/Lewis): To adjourn at 6:18. Motion carried unanimously.