



STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION
TRUSTEES OF BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY
TRUSTEES OF IDAHO STATE UNIVERSITY
TRUSTEES OF LEWIS-CLARK STATE COLLEGE
BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO
STATE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION

**APPROVED MINUTES
STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION
March 14, 2013
Special Teleconference Meeting
Boise, ID**

A special teleconference meeting of the State Board of Education was held March 14, 2013. It originated from the Board office in Boise Idaho. Acting Board President Don Soltman presided and called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m. A roll call of members was taken. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss legislation, specifically House Bill (HB) 282.

Present:

Don Soltman, Acting President
Emma Atchley, Secretary
Richard Westerberg
Bill Goesling
Tom Luna
Milford Terrell
Rod Lewis

PLANNING, POLICY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS (PPGA)

1. Legislative Update

BOARD ACTION

M/S (Atchley/Westerberg): I move the State Board of education support the principles embodied House Bill 282. A roll call vote was taken. The motion carried unanimously.

Action on House Bill 282 was deferred after discussion at the March 12, 2013 Special Board meeting. House Bill 282 clarifies the Board's authority of the Board in regards to administration of the institutions. Following discussions after the March 12 Special Board meeting between Boise State University (BSU) and the agencies impacted by HB 282 a new version of the bill was requested, the new bill with amendments has not been printed yet so there is not a bill number, nor has the final language been reviewed. Proposed amendments that were discussed and agreed upon by BSU staff and agency representatives included the addition of an 18 month withdrawal period for and institution once the affected agency is notified of the institutions intent to withdraw, a delayed effective date of the bill and a sunset clause. The purpose of the delayed effective date is to allow time for the Board to put forward additional legislation that will

clean up the conflicts in code this bill would create. The sunset clause would go into effect should the Board not be successful in cleaning up the statutory conflicts. The removal of the sunset clause could be part of any clean up legislation the Board put forward during the next legislative session.

Mr. Lewis expressed concerns over the proposed amendments. Mr. Luna indicated the amendments were to give the agencies some comfort moving forward with the bill in light of the conflicts it would create in statute. Ms. Bent clarified that the proposed motion to support the principles in HB 282 would not indicate approval or support of the new bill since the Board has not had an opportunity to see the actual printed bill, but would allow the Board to indicate their support for the principle behind HB 282.

Mr. Luna indicated he is concerned that the HB 282 indicates there is no fiscal impact. There will clearly be a fiscal impact to other state agencies as well as allowing institutions to further duplicate existing services supplied by state agencies.

Mr. Westerberg called for the questions.

Mr. Lewis commented that he wanted to make sure the Board was part of the process in the future, including any additional legislation that may be necessary so that the Board's interests are represented.

Other Business:

There being no further business, unanimous consent was requested to adjourn the meeting at 4:30 p.m. There were no objections.