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SUBJECT
North Idaho College (NIC) Biennial Progress Report

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY
Idaho State Board of Education Governing Policies & Procedures, Section I.M.3.

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
This agenda item fulfills the Board’s requirement for North Idaho College to provide a progress report on the institution's strategic plan, details of implementation, status of goals and objectives and information on other points of interest in accordance with a schedule and format established by the Board's Executive Director.

President Dunlap will provide a 15-minute overview of NIC’s progress in carrying out the institutions strategic plan.

IMPACT
North Idaho College’s strategic plan drives the College’s integrated planning; programming, budgeting, and assessment cycle and is the basis for the institution’s annual budget requests and performance measure reports to the State Board of Education, the Division of Financial Management and the Legislative Services Office.

ATTACHMENT
Attachment 1 – Progress Report - Draft

BOARD ACTION
This item is for informational purposes only. Any action will be at the Board’s discretion.
Board of Trustees

Ron Nilson
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# VFA Student Success Measure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort Year</th>
<th>Awarded a degree or certificate</th>
<th>Transferred without an award</th>
<th>Are still enrolled</th>
<th>Left the Institution</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2007</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2006</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Voluntary Framework of Accountability (VFA) definitions
Licensure Pass Rates

- 2014: 98%
- 2013: 81%
- 2012: 77%
Dual Credit Annual Credit Hours in the High School Measure

- FY 2014: 2,399
- FY 2013: 2,028
- FY 2012: 1,168
Remediation

FY 2012: 68.9%
FY 2013: 67.8%
FY 2014: 66.5%
## Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee Class</th>
<th>FY15 Budget</th>
<th>Average FTE</th>
<th>% of Total Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full time Faculty</td>
<td>$9,187,960</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjunct Faculty</td>
<td>$3,086,240</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managerial/Professional</td>
<td>$6,364,156</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classified</td>
<td>$4,316,463</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Salary</td>
<td>$22,954,819</td>
<td>557</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>$7,395,247</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary and Fringe Total</td>
<td>$30,350,066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Budget</td>
<td>$49,719,560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary and Fringe as % of total Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fall 2014 Enrollment

- Total Credit Enrollment: 5779
- Academic Programs: 3779
- Career Technical: 810
- Dual Credit: 744
- eLearning: 5265

[Bar chart showing Headcount and FTE for each category]
College Updates

• J.A. and Kathryn Albertson Foundation
  – PTECH Network
  – Village Project
• NIC designated state entity for federal Mining Safety Health Administration training grant
• Remediation reform success
• Physical Therapist Assistant program received accreditation
Health Care Grant $6.4M

Idaho Center of Excellence Healthcare Partnership (ICE)
– Dental Hygiene
– Dental Assistant
– Medical Assistant
– Medical Lab Technician
– Pharmacy Tech
– Pre-Pharmacy
– Surgical Tech
– Veteran to RN and Paramedic
## ICE Employer Partners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consortia Members</th>
<th>Industry Employers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Idaho College</td>
<td>Idaho Hospital Association, Kootenai Health, Heritage Health, Idaho Rural Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consortium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis-Clark State College</td>
<td>Idaho Hospital Association, Inland Northwest Health Services Health Training Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho State University</td>
<td>Idaho Hospital Association, The Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Technology</td>
<td>Cooperative, Bingham Memorial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ICE Students

- 360 Unique participants
- 237 Participants completing TAACCCT-funded program of study
- 224 Participants employed after TAACCCT-funded program of study completion
Wood Products Manufacturing Center for Excellence
Wood Products Manufacturing Center for Excellence

- Three industry partners – 11 mills in North Idaho
  - 1406 jobs (combined)
  - $607M annual revenue (combined)
Wood Products Manufacturing Center for Excellence

• Funding
  – $281K IDOL Grant
  – $94K Industry Partners

• Goals
  – 116 Participants in 11 courses/3 skill areas
  – Industry recognized credentials and licensing
  – Job advancement – living wage jobs
Healthcare Career Pathway Grant

- IDOL WDTF Grant $202,500
- NIC Partnering with three North Idaho healthcare providers
  - Fosters development of a career pathway for Nursing Assistants (CNAs) with industry recognized, national certifications leading to higher wages and encouragement into advanced education
Capital Projects

Career Technical Education Building

• Rathdrum Prairie next to KTEC High School
• $20M / 110,960 SF facility
Career Technical Education Facility

Automotive  Collision Repair  Diesel Tech  Machining

Millwright  Outdoor Power Rec Vehicle  Welding  Computer Aided Design
Student Wellness Center

• Student-funded $7.7M
Joint Use Facility

• Partners include University of Idaho and LCSC
• One stop Student Service Center
• Classrooms, Offices and Labs
Outreach

- Bonners Ferry
- Sandpoint
- Kellogg
Questions
PRESIDENTS' COUNCIL

SUBJECT
    Presidents' Council Report

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
    President Joe Dunlap, North Idaho Community College President and current chair of the Presidents' Council, will give a report on the recent activities of the Presidents' Council and answer questions. The Presidents’ Council last met on June 2\textsuperscript{nd}, 2015.

BOARD ACTION
    This item is intended for informational purposes only. Any action will be at the Board’s discretion.
SUBJECT
Idaho Public Television (IPTV)

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY
Idaho State Board of Education Governing Policies & Procedures, Section I.M.3.

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
This agenda item fulfills the Board's requirement for IPTV to provide a progress report on the agency's strategic plan, details of implementation, status of goals and objectives and information on other points of interest in accordance with a schedule and format established by the Board's Executive Director.

Ron Pisaneschi, General Manager of the Idaho Public Television, will provide an overview of IPTV's progress in carrying out the agency's strategic plan.

BOARD ACTION
This item is for informational purposes only. Any action will be at the Board's discretion.
SUBJECT
Legislative Ideas - 2016 Legislative Session

REFERENCE
June 2010  The Board approved legislative ideas to be submitted through the Governors Executive Agency Legislation process.
June 2011  The Board approved legislative ideas to be submitted through the Governors Executive Agency Legislation process.
June 2012  The Board approved six (6) legislative ideas to be submitted through the Governors Executive Agency Legislation process.
June 2013  The Board approved eight (8) of eleven (11) legislative ideas to be submitted through the Governors Executive Agency Legislation process.
June 2014  The Board approved ten (10) of twelve (12) legislative ideas to be submitted through the Governors Executive Agency Legislation process.

BACKGROUND/ DISCUSSION
As an Executive Agency, the State Board of Education is required to submit electronically all legislative ideas to the Division of Financial Management (DFM) for the Governor's approval. The Board's approval of these legislative ideas is the first step in that process. If approved by the Board and the Governor, the actual legislative language will be brought back to the Board at the August Board meeting for final approval prior to submittal to the legislature for consideration during the 2016 Legislative Session.

The institutions and agencies were requested to submit legislative ideas for Board approval at the June Board meeting in March of this year. The Board office received one (1) legislative ideas from the University of Idaho that required additional follow-up at the time of agenda production from staff prior to being submitted to the Board for consideration. Board staff has identified an additional thirteen (13) potential pieces of legislation, three (3) of which are based on work of two subcommittees of the Governor's Task Force. Three (3) legislative ideas have been submitted by the agencies under the Board.

The following are descriptive summaries of the fifteen (15) legislative ideas that are being proposed:

1. Youth Education Account
   Statement of Purpose
   Title 33, Chapter 47, Idaho Code, established the Youth Education Fund, Board staff were contacted by the Legislative Services Office and asked to consider repealing this Chapter as the Fund does not currently exist and does not appear to have actually been established. The original intent of the legislation was to establish the Fund within the State Treasury and would be comprised of appropriations, donations, contributions, gifts or grants. The Board, the Department of Health and Welfare, the Idaho State Police and the Transportation
Department could contribute funds to and seek grants from the Fund. Monies from the Fund were to be used exclusively for the production and purchase of radio and television advertising designed to advise children of the risks and problems associated with the use of alcohol, drugs, and tobacco.

**Fiscal Note**
There would be no fiscal impact.

2. **State Residency for Tuition Purposes**

*Statement of Purpose*
Amend Section 33-3717B, Idaho Code, to streamline the determination of residency for tuition purposes and to specify that all students who graduate from an Idaho high school and attend a public postsecondary institution within a specified timeframe would be eligible for in-state tuition. Additional changes may also need to be made to section 33-2110A, Idaho Code to assure alignment between the two sections of code. Streamlining the residency determination process will allow for greater access to students as well as reduce the staff time necessary to make these determinations.

**Fiscal Note**
Board staff are working with institution staff to determine. The fiscal impact will be included with the legislation to the Board at a future meeting should the Board approve it continue through the legislative process.

3. **Transfer of Sick Leave between Educational Entity’s**

*Statement of Purpose*
Amend various sections of Idaho Code to provide clarification on how accrued sick leave is handled between educational entities and the use of transferred sick leave at the time of retirement. These changes would include clarifying between school district employees, Idaho Digital Learning Academy employees and the public institutions.

**Fiscal Note**
Board staff will work with the institutions and PERSI staff to determine a reasonable estimate of the fiscal impact. At this time it is difficult to quantify based on number of variables involved.

4. **Charter School Non-profit Corporation Limitations**

*Statement of Purpose*
Adds to existing law (Title 33, Chapter 52) to clarify that a non-profit corporation authorized to organize and manage a public charter school may not operate enterprises other than the public charter school; additional amendments would address issues regarding the number of charter schools that may be organized and managed under a given non-profit corporation.

**Fiscal Impact**
There is no fiscal impact to the general fund or other funds.
5. Tax Commission Reporting

Statement of Purpose
Amend language in Sections 63-315 and 63-1312, Idaho Code, to remove the requirement that the Tax Commission report to the Department of Education certain findings or calculations regarding property valuations.

The Department of Education has indicated that the information reported has not been used in any of the public school funding calculations for some time and is no longer relevant. The Department of Education and Idaho Tax Commission agree that the sections should be amended to remove the reporting requirement.

Fiscal Note
There is no fiscal impact to the general fund or other funds.

6. School Counselors

Statement of Purpose
Provide a technical correction to language in Section 33-1212, Idaho Code, regarding the certification requirements for individuals licensed as social workers pursuant to Chapter 32, Title 54, Idaho Code who wish to work in Idaho public schools.

The current language in this section defines counselors as those that meet certain requirements of the State Board of Education or are licensed as provided by chapter 32, Title 54, Idaho code. In the past, the Board approved a rule providing for a certificate and endorsement for these individuals and practice has been to require these individuals to hold this certification. With the amendments to this section of code during the 2015 legislative session, the Board office and Department of Education have received numerous inquiries regarding this requirement, the amendments would provide the requested clarification.

Fiscal Note
There is no fiscal impact to the general fund or other funds.

7. Acquisition of Real Property Requirements

Statement of Purpose
Amend existing law to require community colleges to acquire an appraisal prior to entering into a purchase agreement for real property.

Fiscal Note
The fiscal impact to the community colleges would be variable from year-to-year depending on their plans for purchasing real property. This is currently not an annual occurrence.
8. Scholarship Technical Corrections  
**Statement of Purpose**  
Amend Chapter 43, Title 33, Idaho Code, to provide clarifying language regarding the disability determination for the Armed Forces and Public Safety Officer Scholarship and to make technical corrections.

**Fiscal Note**  
There is no fiscal impact to the general fund or other funds.

9. Attendance at Schools  
**Statement of Purpose**  
Amend section 33-202, Idaho Code, to expand the compulsory attendance age from age seven (7) to age sixteen (16) to age (6) to age eighteen (18) or high school graduation.

Pursuant to section 33-201, Idaho Code, the current definition of “school age” is between the age of (5) and twenty-one (21) and except under certain circumstances public schools may only provide education to children of school age. Idaho’s compulsory attendance requirements are only for students from the age of seven (7) to the age of sixteen (16). Based on a recent report by the Education Commission of the States, twenty-four (24) states and the District of Columbia require students to attend school until they turn eighteen (18) and Idaho is one (1) of fifteen (15) states that only require students to attend until they turn sixteen (16). On the lower end of the age range twenty-four (24) states require attendance of students at the age of six (6) and additional nine (9), including the District of Columbia, require attendance starting at age five (5). Many states who have expanded their compulsory attendance requirements in recent years have done so to help reduce drop-out rates at the upper end and to increase academic achievement throughout the students educational experience by increasing the rates at the lower end.

**Fiscal Note**  
To be determined.

**Governor’s Task Force Recommendations/Subcommittee Implementation**

10. Career Ladder Pupil Service Staff  
**Statement of Purpose**  
Amend existing law to establish outcome based criteria for Pupil Service Staff and move them onto the Career Ladder, which was recommended by the Task Force and established during the 2015 legislative session. Additional technical corrections must also be made.

The Task Force’s School Funding subcommittee has made an initial determination to move the Pupil Service Staff onto the Career Ladder. The group has established a workgroup of Pupil Service staff to propose a framework of outcome based criteria that would be relevant to this group of school employees. The subcommittee will bring back a formal recommendation with this criteria to the
Board for consideration prior to submittal of the final legislation for Board consideration.

11. Student Literacy
Statement of Purpose
Amend Section 33-1615, Idaho Code, to clean-up existing language and expand reading interventions for students in kindergarten through grade three, including, but not limited to, full day kindergarten for kindergarten students who have been identified at or below a specified level of proficiency. Additional changes would amend sections 33-1614 and 33-1616, Idaho Code. The proposed changes would make technical corrections to section 33-1614 Idaho Code, regarding the state’s reading assessment and remove unnecessary details, including specifics about what the skills should be tested through the state’s reading assessment as these details are more appropriately the Idaho Comprehensive Literacy Plan and moving reporting requirements from section 33-1616, Idaho Code and then repealing the remainder of this section as it has become outdated an unnecessary.

The Literacy Subcommittee will bring forward formal recommendations for Board consideration prior to the submittal of the final legislation for Board consideration.

12. School District Continuous Improvement Plans
Statement of Purpose
Amend section 33-320, Idaho Code to incorporate the Accountability and Autonomy Task Force subcommittee recommendations into the school district continuous improvement plan process. This includes the addition of definitions for terms to assure there is a common understanding of the requirements and the addition of a requirement for districts to incorporate metrics specific to student readiness and student improvement. This recommendation includes a Career and College Readiness Score, a Career and College Readiness Improvement Score, a High School Readiness Score, a High School Readiness Improvement Score, 7th Grade Readiness Score, and 7th Grade Readiness Improvement Score, these scores will be applicable based on grade three grade bands: High School, K-8, and K-6. Improvement scores will be based on year over year improvement in the level of readiness produced by the school and would be shown as a percentage of change in the applicable readiness score.

Fiscal Note
There is no fiscal impact to the general fund or other funds.

13. Public School Funding
Statement of Purpose
This legislative idea is a place holder for the work that is currently underway by the Task Force’s subcommittee on Public School Funding. Initial work by the subcommittee is looking at alternatives to using Average Daily Attendance (ADA) as the base for the majority of public school funding, the timing for the calculations for this funding, the impacts of transferring students during the school year and funding provided for students classified as “Exception Child.” It is anticipated that
the subcommittee’s work will take longer than a single year, however, there are some items that they will bring forward to the Board for consideration that would go forward to the Governor prior to the 2016 legislative session.

Agency Submitted Legislative Ideas
14. Vocational Rehabilitation – Federal Aid  (Division of Vocational Rehabilitation)
Statement of Purpose
Amend Chapter 23, Title 33, Idaho Code, to make necessary updates pursuant to changes in federal regulations impacting the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, which governs the Idaho Division of Vocational Rehabilitation. Specially, the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, P.L. 93-112, 93rd Congress, as amended by the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA), P.L. 113-128, 113th Congress.

Fiscal Note
The change would not require additional funding, but would shift current funding. The change will require 15% of grant funds to be spent on qualified students with disabilities on pre-employment transition services related costs. Based on current estimates this could result in a shift of approximately $1.5M.

15. Professional-Technical High School Funding Model  (Division of Professional-Technical Education)
Statement of Purpose
Amend the current funding formula for professional-technical high schools (Section 33-1002G, Idaho Code) from an Average Daily Attendance (ADA) based model to an Enrollment based model plus incentive funding based on performance standards In addition, this legislation would base part of the professional-technical high school's funding on performance metrics established by the Idaho Division of Professional-Technical Education. This would incentivize higher quality programs for Idaho students attending these schools.

An enrollment based funding model would more accurately determine the actual costs of delivering professional-technical high school programs and is more easily calculated and administered than the current ADA funding model. This performance based approach would more clearly demonstrate the return on investment provided by professional-technical education and hold professional-technical high schools more accountable for producing results.

Fiscal Note
It is not anticipated that a change to an enrollment and incentive based funding formula would substantially impact or increase the amount of funding generated by the current ADA funding formula but would change the distribution of the funds generated by the formula.
16. PTE Secondary Programs Incentive-Based Funding (Division of Professional-Technical Education)

Statement of Purpose
Amend section 33-1629, Idaho Code, to provide incentive funding for exemplary Professional-Technical Education (PTE) secondary programs. It would provide for incentive-based funding opportunities currently available only for Agricultural and Natural Resources education programs established in 2014 (Section 33-1629, Idaho Code) to all PTE secondary education programs.

The incentive based funding for the PTE Agricultural and Natural Resources education program is driving improvements in the quality of these education programs and this legislation would provide this type of incentive-based funding for the other five PTE education program areas, which include: Business Management and Marketing, Engineering and Technology, Family and Consumer Sciences, Health Professions, and Skilled and Technical Sciences.

This performance based approach would more clearly demonstrate the return on investment provided by professional-technical education and hold PTE programs more accountable for producing results.

The performance measures and procedures for PTE program incentive based funding would be established by the Idaho Division of Professional-Technical Education and approved by the Board.

Fiscal Note
The Agriculture and Natural Resource PTE program represents about 10 percent of PTE secondary program students and faculty. The legislature appropriated $325,000 in FY2016 to fund the Agriculture and Natural Resource incentive program.

The Division is requesting $1.1 Million for FY17 to fund the new performance based model.

IMPACT
Staff will continue to move the legislative ideas that the Board approves through the legislative process and will bring the legislative language back to the Board at a future meeting for approval. Legislative Ideas not approved will not be submitted to Division of Financial Management.

STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
Legislative ideas are required to be submitted to the Division of Financial Management (DFM) by August 3, 2015. During the process of working through legislative ideas, additional ideas of merit sometimes surface before the DFM submittal deadline. The Board has traditionally authorized the Executive Director to submit these ideas. Actual legislative language for all submitted legislative ideas will be brought back to the Board prior to the DFM September deadline for final approval Board approval.
BOARD ACTION

I move to approve the legislative ideas as submitted and to authorize the Executive Director to submit these and additional proposals as necessary through the Governor’s legislative process.

Moved by __________ Seconded by __________ Carried Yes _____ No _____
SUBJECT
Institution, Agency, and Special/Health Programs Strategic Plans

REFERENCE
April 2015
The Board reviewed the institution, agency, and special/health programs strategic plans.

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY

BACKGROUND/ DISCUSSION
The State of Idaho requires the institutions, agencies and special/health programs under the oversight of the Board submit an updated strategic plan each year in July. The plans must encompass at a minimum the current year and four years going forward. The Board planning calendar schedules these plans to come forward annually at the April and June Board meetings. This timeline allows the Board to review the plans and ask questions in April, and then have them brought back to the Regular June Board meeting with changes for final approval while still meeting the states timeline. Attached you will find the strategic plans for the institution’s, agencies and special/health programs for Board consideration. In addition to those requirements set out in Idaho Code, Board Policy I.M.1. requires each institution and agency develop and maintain five-year strategic plans that are created in accordance with Board guidelines. The policy further states that the plans must contain a comprehensive mission and vision statement, general goals and objectives, and key external factors. Performance measures are required to be developed and updated annually for Board approval, and tied to the strategic plan. Board approval of the performance measure is accomplished through the approval of the strategic plans and the performance measures contain there in.

Over the past several years the Board has requested the Benchmarks contained within the strategic plans be aspirational benchmarks, not merely a continuation of the “status quo.” At the April 2015 Board meeting the Board reviewed the institution, agency, and special/health programs strategic plans and based on that review the Board requested the institutions re-evaluation of benchmarks to make sure they are stretch benchmarks and bring back revisions to meet this end if applicable. Additional comments centered around the mission statements in the institutions plans and future consideration to bring forward amendments to make them more meaningful and less of a marketing tool.

Based on discussion at the Board meeting Boise State University, Idaho State University, North Idaho College and Eastern Idaho Technical College have submitted updated strategic plans. The Division of Professional Technical Education submitted a draft plan for the April Board meeting as they were in the midst of creating a completely new strategic plan for the Division and have now submitted the final version of their strategic plan. The University of Idaho, the
College of Southern Idaho and the College of Western Idaho will be starting a comprehensive review and rewrite of their strategic plans this next year and will bring forward new plans for Board consideration in 2016.

IMPACT

Approval of the plans will allow Board staff to submit the plans to the Division of Financial Management and set the performance measures and benchmarks the institutions, agencies, and special/heath programs will use in their performance measure reports that are presented to the Board at the October Board meeting.

ATTACHMENTS

**Agencies**
Attachment 01 – State Department of Education/Public Schools  Page 5
Attachment 02 – Idaho Division of Vocational Rehabilitation  Page 8
Attachment 03 – Idaho Public Television  Page 26
Attachment 04 – Idaho Division of Professional Technical Education  Page 36

**Institutions**
Attachment 05 – Eastern Idaho Technical College  Page 46
Attachment 06 – University of Idaho  Page 64
Attachment 07 – Boise State University  Page 73
Attachment 08 – Idaho State University  Page 85
Attachment 09 – Lewis-Clark State College  Page 108

**Community Colleges**
Attachment 10 – College of Southern Idaho  Page 132
Attachment 11 – College of Western Idaho  Page 147
Attachment 12 – North Idaho College  Page 154

**Health/Special Programs**
Attachment 13 – Agricultural Research and Extension  Page 161
Attachment 14 – Forest Utilization Research  Page 167
Attachment 15 – Idaho Geological Survey  Page 173
Attachment 16 – WIMU (WI) Veterinary Medicine  Page 178
Attachment 17 – WWAMI Medical Education  Page 186
Attachment 18 – Family Medicine Residency (ISU)  Page 194
Attachment 19 – Small Business Development Center  Page 199
Attachment 20 – Idaho Dental Education Program  Page 206
Attachment 21 – Idaho Museum of Natural History  Page 211
Attachment 22 – TechHelp  Page 231

STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

At the October 2011 Board meeting the Board requested the institutions include the following performance measures in their strategic plans:

- **Remediation** (number of first-time freshman who graduate from and Idaho High school in the previous year requiring remedial education). *Measures quality/alignment of education at the secondary level. Due to this a meaningful benchmark cannot be set by the institutions. This measure will be included in the cases served section on the annual Performance Measure Report.*
• Retention (number of full-time and part-time freshmen returning for a second year or program completion if professional-technical program of less than one year)

• Dual Credit (total credits and # of students)

• Total certificates and degrees conferred (number of undergraduate certificate and degree completions per 100 (FTE) undergraduate students enrolled)

• Cost per credit hour to deliver education

• Efficiency - Certificate (of at least one year in expected length) and degree completions per $100,000 of education and related spending by institutions (Education & Related spending is defined as the full cost of instruction and student services, plus the portion of institutional support and maintenance assigned to instruction) This measures is currently reported to IPEDS by each institution.

Through the planning process working with Board staff, the system-wide performance measures have been refined to make sure there is a common understanding of the measures and they are being reported consistently between the institutions. The performance measures have been refined as follows:

• Remediation:
  Number and percentage of first-time freshmen who graduated from an Idaho high school in the previous year requiring remedial education as determined by institutional benchmarks.

• Retention Rate:
  Total full-time first-time and transfer students that are retained or graduate the following year (excluding death, military service, and mission).

• Dual Credit:
  Total credit hours earned and the unduplicated headcount of participating students.

• Certificates and Degrees Conferred:
  a) Total degree production (as reported in IPEDS).
  b) Unduplicated graduates over the rolling 3-yr average degree seeking FTE.

• Cost of College (to determine financials):
  a) Cost per credit hour – Financials divided by total weighted undergraduate credit hours from the EWA report (including PTE credits).
  b) Efficiency – Certificates (of at least 1-year or more) and degree completions per $100,000 of financials.

The “Remediation” performance measures is not a measure of the institutions performance, but that of the secondary schools the freshmen are coming from. It is included in the list of performance measures and is reported by the institutions, however, it is reported on the performance measure report under “Cases Served” and is not an indicator of the institutions performance.
BOARD ACTION

I move to approve the Institution, Agency, and Special/Health programs strategic plans as submitted.

Moved by _________ Seconded by _________ Carried Yes _____ No _____
Vision Statement

Supporting Schools and Students to Achieve.

Mission Statement

The Idaho State Department of Education is dedicated to providing the highest quality of support and collaboration to Idaho’s public schools, teachers, students and parents.

Indicators of a High-Quality Education System

- High student achievement
- Low dropout rate
- Closed achievement gap
- All decisions based on needs of children
- Efficient use of all resources
- Individualized and decentralized education

Guiding Principles

- Every student can learn and must have a highly effective teacher in every classroom.
- Needs of children must drive any necessary change.
- Current and new resources must focus on the demands of the 21st Century.

With these indicators and guiding principles as our focus, the Idaho State Department of Education will increase student achievement by focusing on the following areas:

- Expanding student learning by creating a 21st century classroom that is not limited by walls, bell schedules, availability of courses, and geography. Every student and all teachers will have equal access to the latest technology tools.
Increasing options for students including charter, magnet, and alternative schools as well as course offerings through digital learning.

The State Department of Education partners with independent school districts and charter schools to ensure all students receive an education that prepares students for successful post-secondary education, employment and life.

**Goal One: Ensure students have the skills and knowledge necessary to succeed from kindergarten to high school graduation and post-secondary education.**

**Objective One:** Increase of the number of students proficient or advanced on the ISAT (prior to the implementation of higher standards)

Performance Measures: Percent of students who score proficient or advanced on the ISAT.

Benchmark: 90 percent of students proficient on reading, 82 percent of students proficient of math, 77 of students proficient in language arts.

**Objective Two. Review standards in English Language Arts and Mathematics.**

Performance Measures: Percentage of students who pass the new SBAC test based on higher English Language Arts and Mathematics standards.

Benchmark: Forty percent of students in grades 3-8 will achieve proficiency on the new ISAT in math and English language arts after it is first administered in Spring 2015.

**Objective Three: Improve access to postsecondary education while in high school.**

Performance Measures: Percentage of students completing an advanced opportunity.

Benchmark: Forty percent of students completing a dual credit, AP course or Tech Prep.

**Objective Four: Every high school junior will take a college readiness exam.**

Performance Measure: Percentage of students who score college- and career-ready in areas of exam: reading, writing and math.

Benchmark: 40 percent of high school students score college and career ready on a college readiness exam.
Goal Two: Assist districts to Implement their own instructional management system (IMS).

Objective One: Development of aggregate-level longitudinal data for individualized student growth expectations.

Benchmark: Every Idaho student who takes the SBAC has a growth report available to his/her teacher and parents/guardians.
The Plan is divided into four sections. The first three sections describe the programs administered under the Idaho Division of Vocational Rehabilitation (IDVR). Each of the programs described, Vocational Rehabilitation, Extended Employment Services, and the Council for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, outline specific goals, objectives, performance measures and benchmarks for achieving their stated goals. The final section addresses external factors impacting IDVR.

Since Federal and Idaho State governments operate according to different fiscal years, and since IDVR is accountable to Rehabilitation Services Administration (RSA) on a federal year basis (October 1 – September 30), the agency will use federal year statistics for reporting the Vocational Rehabilitation program portion of IDVR. Any comparisons noted in benchmarks will reflect the most complete FFY data available. Since the Extended Employment Services and the Council for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing programs are state funded only, all reporting will be based on a state fiscal year. This Plan will cover fiscal years (SFY) 2016 through 2020.
Vocational Rehabilitation

Vocational Rehabilitation Program Vision Statement

“Your success at work means our work is a success.”

Vocational Rehabilitation Program Mission Statement

“Preparing individuals with disabilities for employment and community enrichment.”
Vocational Rehabilitation Program Goals

Goal #1 – To provide excellent and quality customer service to individuals with disabilities while they prepare to obtain, maintain, or regain competitive employment and long term supported employment.

1. **Objective**: To provide customers with effective job supports including adequate job training to increase employment stability and retention.

   **Performance Measure**: To enhance the level of job preparedness services to all customers.

   **Benchmark**: Increase the number of successful rehabilitations in FFY 2016 to meet or exceed FFY 2015 performance.

   **Benchmark**: The average hourly wage of all successful rehabilitations in FFY 2016 will exceed FFY 2015 year’s average hourly wage.

   **Benchmark**: Identify and provide workforce development opportunities for customers specifically in the area of “soft skills” development.

2. **Objective**: To increase employment successes for transition age youth.

   A. **Performance Measure**: To work with Idaho school districts, Special Education Directors, and the State Board of Education to identify and assist transition age youth both internal and external to School-Work Transition projects.

   **Benchmark**: The number of transition age youth exiting the IDVR program who achieved an employment outcome in FFY 2016 will exceed FFY 2015 performance.

   **Benchmark**: The number of applications for transition aged youth entering the IDVR program in FFY 2016 will exceed FFY 2015 performance.

   B. **Performance Measure**: To provide increased work opportunities while in high school.

   **Benchmark**: Evaluate potential mechanisms to support internships and mentorships for customers transitioning from high school.
3. **Objective:** To increase customer engagement in the VR process.

   **Performance Measure:** Increase customer awareness of vocational information and the decision making process through informed choice.

   **Benchmark:** The number of first time approved plans in FFY 2016 will exceed FFY2015.

   **Benchmark:** The rehabilitation rate of individuals exiting the IDVR program in FFY 2016 will meet or exceed the Federal performance standard of 55.8%.

4. **Objective:** To offer benefit planning to all customers receiving SSI and/or SSDI entering, during and exiting the IDVR process to include Partnership Plus.

   **Performance Measure:** To provide information and referral material to customers initiating and completing the IDVR program, specifically Partnership Plus and Medicaid for Workers with Disabilities.

   **Benchmark:** Increase Social Security reimbursements to VR in FFY 2016 from FFY 2015 performance.

   **Benchmark:** Increase the number of referrals to the WIPA program for benefits counseling in FFY 2016 from FFY 2015 referrals.

---

**Goal #2 - To provide organizational excellence within the agency.**

1. **Objective:** To increase the focus of customer service within the IDVR delivery system.

   **Performance Measure:** Provide all customers a satisfaction survey when exiting the IDVR program.

   **Benchmark:** Maintain a customer satisfaction rate of at least 90% as demonstrated by “agree” and “strongly agree” ratings on customer surveys in FFY2016.

2. **Objective:** To comply with State and Federal regulations.

   **Performance Measure:** Enhance the quality of a statewide program and evaluation system.

   **Benchmark:** Demonstrate compliance with state and federal regulation through both internal and external audits with zero findings in FFY 2016.

3. **Objective:** Utilize training to its maximum capacity for effective staff performance.

   A. **Performance Measure:** Provide all IDVR staff training on policy and procedural changes throughout the agency.
Benchmark: Zero audit findings on State and Federal reviews in FFY 2015.

B. Performance Measure: Develop strategies to work effectively with employers and community business organizations.

Benchmark: Increase the number of successful rehabilitations in FFY 2016 to meet or exceed FFY 2015 performance.

4. Objective: IDVR will maintain a comprehensive system of personnel development (CSPD) standard for IDVR counselors.

Performance Measure: Evaluate and track annually IDVR counselors’ maintenance of CSPD or progress toward achieving CSPD.

Benchmark: Vocational Rehabilitation Counselors will maintain all CSPD standards for their position annually. All Vocational Rehabilitation Specialists will continue to work toward and/or achieve meeting CSPD standards in FFY 2016.

Goal #3 - To have strong relationships with our stakeholders and partners engaged in the mission of Vocational Rehabilitation.

1. Objective: For IDVR to be recognized as the expert in the workforce needs of the business community for individuals with disabilities.

   A. Performance Measure: To develop a Business Relations position.

      Benchmark: Implement a Business Relations position in FFY 2016 that will be a resource to employers and staff statewide.

   B. Performance Measure: To enhance business networks with employers to include involvement with the Idaho Association of Business and Industry, the Rotary Club, Chamber of Commerce, and human resource organizations.

      Benchmark: Increase the number of different occupational categories hiring IDVR customers in FFY 2016 from FFY 2015.

   C. Performance Measure: To enhance relationships with the Regional Business Specialists from the Department of Labor.

      Benchmark: Increase the number of different occupational categories hiring IDVR customers in FFY 2016 from FFY 2015.

2. Objective: Continue to provide partners and stakeholders on-going opportunities to receive information and allow for input into the IDVR process.
A. **Performance Measure:** Continue to meet with stakeholders and partners to facilitate communication and understanding of each programs system.

**Benchmark:** Increase the number of applicants entering the IDVR process in FFY 2016 from FFY 2015 performance.

B. **Performance Measure:** Continue outreach strategies and information provided to partners and stakeholders.

**Benchmark:** Increase the number of successful rehabilitations in FFY 2016 to meet or exceed FFY 2015 performance.
Extended Employment Services

Mission

Idahoans with significant disabilities are some of the state’s most vulnerable citizens. The Extended Employment Services (EES) Program provides people with significant disabilities employment opportunities either in a community supported or workshop setting.

Vision

Provide meaningful employment opportunities to enable Idaho’s Most Severely Disabled to seek, train-for and retain real work success.

Goal #1 – Continually improve the quality and quantity of Extended Employment services available to eligible Idahoans.

1. **Objective**: Develop and emphasize customer centered services offering increased choice, flexibility and opportunities for meaningful employment.

   **Performance Measure**: Provide appropriate levels of long-term support to maximize individual’s independence in employment.

   **Benchmark**: Five percent reduction in program waitlisted customers.

   **Benchmark**: Increase customer choice.

   **Benchmark**: Transparency in customer centered allocations.
Council for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing (CDHH)

Role of CDHH

CDHH is an independent agency. This is a flow-through council for budgetary and administrative support purposes only with no direct programmatic implication for IDVR. The following is the Council for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing’s Strategic Plan.

Mission

Dedicated to making Idaho a place where persons, of all ages, who are deaf or hard of hearing have an equal opportunity to participate fully as active, productive and independent citizens.

Vision

To ensure that individuals who are deaf, hard of hearing, or hearing impaired have a centralized location to obtain resources and information about services available.

Goal #1 – Work to increase access to employment, educational and social-interaction opportunities for persons who are deaf or hard of hearing.

1. **Objective**: Continue to provide information and resources.
   
   **Performance Measure**: Track when information and resources are given to consumers.
   
   **Benchmark**: Create and maintain several brochures and other information about employment, education and social-interaction.

Goal #2 – Increase the awareness of the needs of persons who are deaf and hard of hearing through educational and informational programs.

1. **Objective**: Continue to increase the awareness.
   
   **Performance Measure**: Give presentations to various groups through education and social media.
   
   **Benchmark**: Present to various organizations including corrections, courts, schools, veterans groups, and businesses about the needs of persons who are deaf and hard of hearing.
Goal #3 – Encourage consultation and cooperation among departments, agencies, and institutions serving the deaf and hard of hearing.

1. **Objective**: Continue encouraging consultation and cooperation.
   
   **Performance Measure**: Track when departments, agencies, and institutions are cooperating (such as Department of Corrections and Health and Welfare.)
   
   **Benchmark**: Present to various local, state, and federal (if requested) agencies about the need for cooperation providing services needed for deaf and hard of hearing individuals.

Goal #4 – Provide a network through which all state and federal programs dealing with the deaf and hard of hearing individuals can be channeled.

1. **Objective**: The Council’s office will provide the network.
   
   **Performance Measure**: Track when information is provided.
   
   **Benchmark**: The Council will continue to maintain a network through their website, brochures, telephone calls, video phone calls and personal communication.

Goal #5 – Determine the extent and availability of services to the deaf and hard of hearing, determine the need for further services and make recommendations to government officials to insure that the needs of deaf and hard of hearing citizens are best served.

1. **Objective**: The Council will determine the availability of services available.
   
   **Performance Measure**: The Council will facilitate meetings to determine the needs.
   
   **Benchmark**: The Council will continue to monitor the recommendations of the Mental Health Task Force and Findings and Recommendations for Provision of Mental Health Services from the Idaho Council for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing Report 2014, as issued by the Division of Behavioral Health Analysis and Response to ensure compliance.
   
   **Benchmark**: The Council will support the Legislative process for the Licensure of Sign Language Interpreters.

Goal #6 – To coordinate, advocate for, and recommend the development of public policies and programs that provide full and equal opportunity and accessibility for the deaf and hard of hearing persons in Idaho.
1. **Objective**: The Council will make available copies of policies concerning deaf and hard of hearing issues.

   **Performance Measure**: Materials that are distributed about public policies.

   **Benchmark**: The Executive Director of the Council for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing will continue to facilitate meetings with different agencies including Health and Welfare, corrections, schools, veteran’s groups, and businesses to create public policy, including Interpreter standards.

**Goal #7 – To monitor consumer protection issues that involves the deaf and hard of hearing in the state of Idaho.**

1. **Objective**: The Council will be the “go to” agency for resolving complaints from deaf and hard of hearing consumers concerning the Americans with Disabilities Act.

   **Performance Measure**: Track how many complaints are received regarding the ADA.

   **Benchmark**: The Council will provide information and create brochures regarding all aspects of the ADA that affect persons with hearing loss. In addition, the Council will partner with the Northwest ADA Center – Idaho to provide accurate information and guidance, on disability, rehabilitation, business, rehabilitation engineering, special education, the build environment, accessibility to buildings, website accessibility, civil rights law, and the role of the ADA Coordinator.

**Goal #8 – Submit periodic reports to the Governor, the legislature, and departments of state government on how current federal and state programs, rules, regulations, and legislation affect services to persons with hearing loss.**

1. **Objective**: The Council will submit reports.

   **Performance Measure**: Reports will be accurate and detailed.

   **Benchmark**: The Council will continue to create and provide periodic reports to the Governor’s office. The Council will continue to present a needs assessment report to certain departments/agencies as needed.
External Factors Impacting IDVR

The field of Vocational Rehabilitation is dynamic due to the nature and demographics of the customers served and the variety of disabilities addressed. Challenges facing the Division include:

**Adequate Supply of Qualified Personnel**

IDVR is dedicated to providing the most qualified personnel to address the needs of the customers they serve. Challenges in recruitment have been prevalent over the past several years. Recruiting efforts have been stifled by low wages as compared to other Idaho state agencies as well as neighboring states. IDVR has identified the need to develop relationships with universities specifically offering a Master’s Degree in Rehabilitation Counseling. Furthermore, IDVR has identified universities offering coursework for other degree programs that will meet eligibility for the Certification in Rehabilitation Counseling (CRC). Lastly, IDVR has collaborated with the University of Idaho to advance the profession of rehabilitation counseling.

**State and Federal Economic and Political Climate**

While Idaho has seen some improvement in its economic growth over the past year there are a variety of influences which can affect progress. Influences can vary from natural disasters to international conflicts. Individuals with disabilities have historically experienced much higher unemployment rates, even in strong economic times. Furthermore, Idaho has one of the highest percentages per capita of workers in the country making minimum wage. IDVR recognizes this and strives to develop relationships within both the private and public sectors in an effort to increase employment opportunities and livable wages for its customers.

The political elements are by far the most difficult for IDVR to overcome. At the state level, the Division is subject to legislative action regarding annual budget requests including service dollars and personnel expansion. Any legislation pertaining to service provision either by public or private sectors will have a definite impact on Division services and service providers.

IDVR is also affected by decisions made at the federal level. Significant changes impacting the Vocational Rehabilitation program came into light on July 22, 2014, with the enactment of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA). This law replaces the Workforce Investment Act (WIA), which formerly governed the Vocational Rehabilitation program. WIOA includes many substantial changes aimed to improve the nation’s workforce development system to help states and local areas better align workforce programs with each other and with the needs of employers for a skilled workforce.

While all of the implications to WIOA are still unclear, IDVR is taking steps to strategize and incorporate those changes that can be implemented now. IDVR is also working with the core WIOA partners to develop strategies on initiatives that require joint collaboration, such as the combined plan and common performance measures.
WIOA will require IDVR to implement substantial programmatic changes. These changes will impact policy development, staff training, and compliance reporting requirements. Fiscal and programmatic requirements to increase and expand services to students and youth with disabilities will be challenges the division will need to prioritize and strategically evaluate.

Funding reductions on both the State and Federal level have and will continue to impact partnerships and comparable benefits available to the IDVR. For example, reduced budgets to school districts have impacted collaborative agreements. These agreements have allowed IDVR to use nonfederal funds to match federal dollars, therefore increasing the amount of dollars available to IDVR.

### Adequate Availability of Services

Due to the rural nature of Idaho, there are isolated pockets of the state with limited vendor option. This can directly impact customer informed choice. Furthermore, a vendor’s inability to meet required credentialing under IDAPA will significantly reduce or eliminate a customer’s options. Lastly, changes to other program criteria will eliminate services to customers. A change in Health and Welfare’s criteria for the HCBS Medicaid Waiver is one example affecting program services.

### Technological Advances in Both Assistive Rehabilitation Products and Information Technology

IDVR recognizes the importance of both information and assistive technology advances as intricate to the success of the division as well as the customers it serves. The cost and rapid changes in these technologies influence the overall program success. IDVR is dedicated to keeping current of the latest trends in both assistive rehabilitation technology and information technology, and in training Vocational Rehabilitation Counselors and staff. IDVR employs an Information Technology staff to develop innovative ways to utilize technology in carrying out its mission. IDVR also collaborates with the Idaho Assistive Technology Project through the University of Idaho with center locations throughout the state.

All staff of the Idaho Division of Vocational Rehabilitation takes pride in providing the most effective, efficient services available to individuals with disabilities seeking employment. Management is committed to continued service to the people of Idaho. The goals and objectives outlined in the IDVR Strategic Plan are designed to maximize the provision of services to Idahoans with disabilities as well as promote program accountability.
SFY 2016-2020 STRATEGIC PLAN SUPPLEMENT

The following is a supplement to the SFY 2016-2020 Strategic Plan. It highlights the Vocational Rehabilitation and Extended Employment Service performance measures and accompanying benchmark(s). The Vocational Rehabilitation Program is primarily a federally funded program that assesses performance on a Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) basis (October 1-September 30); therefore input and data is based on the FFY. The Extended Employment Services Program is state only funded program; therefore input and data will be based on the SFY.

It should be noted that the Council for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing (CDHH) is an independent agency. This is a flow-through council for budgetary and administrative support purposes only with no direct programmatic implication for IDVR. Idaho code authorizes the Governor to assign the Council to a department within the state government. The Council reports directly to the Governor appointed CDHH board of directors. The CDHH board oversees the requests, functions and priorities of the Council.

Vocational Rehabilitation

Performance Measure: To enhance the level of job preparedness services to all customers.

Benchmark: Increase the number of successful rehabilitations in FFY 2016 to meet or exceed FFY 2015 performance.

FFY 2014 = 1978 successfully rehabilitated individuals

Benchmark: The average hourly wage of all successful rehabilitations in FFY 2016 will exceed FFY 2015 year’s average hourly wage.

FFY 2014 average hourly wage for VR customers (post services) = $11.16 per hour

Benchmark: Identify and provide workforce development opportunities for customers specifically in the area of “soft skills” development.

Based on the completion of IDVR’s Comprehensive Statewide Needs Assessment in FFY 2013, it was identified that employers specifically want workers to have strong “soft skills” coming into employment. IDVR began delivering WorkStrides workshops to customers around the state in FFY2014. This strengths and empowerment based workshop has soft skills development woven throughout the curriculum.

Performance Measure: To work with Idaho school districts, Special Education Directors, and the State Board of Education to identify and assist transition age youth both internal and external to School-Work Transition projects.
**Benchmark:** The number of transition age youth exiting the IDVR program who achieved an employment outcome in FFY 2016 will exceed FFY 2015 performance.

546 transition age youth achieved an employment outcome in FFY 2014.

**Benchmark:** The number of applications for transition aged youth entering the IDVR program in FFY 2016 will exceed FFY 2015 performance.

Number of applications for transition aged youth in FFY2014: 1629

**Performance Measure:** To provide increased work opportunities while in high school.

**Benchmark:** Evaluate potential mechanisms to support internships and mentorships for customers transitioning from high school.

Based on the completion of IDVR’s Comprehensive Statewide Needs Assessment in FFY2013, it was identified that internships and mentorships could be valuable to assist in the transition of a student from secondary to post-secondary or to successful employment.

With the enactment of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA), IDVR is working with partners to increase and develop more opportunities for students and youth with disabilities pre-employment transition related services, which include internship and employment experiences.

**Performance Measure:** Increase customer awareness of vocational information and the decision making process through informed choice.

**Benchmark:** The number of first time approved plans in FFY 2016 will exceed FFY 2015.

Number of first time approved plans in FFY 2014: 3523

**Benchmark:** The rehabilitation rate of individuals exiting the IDVR program in FFY 2016 will meet or exceed the federal performance standard of 55.8%.

The percentage of individuals receiving services under an Individualized Plan for Employment who achieve employment (successful closures after plan divided by the total of successful and nonsuccessful closures after plan). This percentage will meet or exceed 55.8%.

FFY 2014 rate = 58.19%

**Performance Measure:** To provide information and referral material to customers initiating and completing the IDVR program, specifically Partnership Plus and Medicaid for Workers with Disabilities.

**Benchmark:** Increase Social Security reimbursements to VR in FFY 2016 from FFY 2015 performance.
BFY 2014 Reimbursements = $310,456.00

**Benchmark:** Increase the number of referrals to the WIPA program for benefits counseling in FFY 2016 from FFY 2015 referrals.

In FFY 2014, 372 referrals were identified as WIPA referrals in the IDVR case management system.

**Performance Measure:** Provide all customers a satisfaction survey when exiting the IDVR program.

**Benchmark:** Maintain a customer satisfaction rate of at least 90% as demonstrated by “agree” and “strongly agree” ratings on customer surveys in FFY 2016.

IDVR significantly modified and enhanced the process of querying customer satisfaction in an effort to better understand our customer’s overall satisfaction of the program, regardless of their point of exit from the VR program. IDVR centralized survey distribution and also moved to providing more electronic surveys, except for customers without an e-mail address or who do not wish to participate in the electronic survey. Because of the magnitude of the changes, IDVR is not able to accurately report on customer satisfaction results for this fiscal year. Streamlined results will be available for fiscal year 2015.

**Performance Measure:** Enhance the quality of a statewide program and evaluation system.

**Benchmark:** Demonstrate compliance with state and federal regulation through both internal and external audits with zero findings in FFY 2016.

IDVR experienced two fiscal audit findings related to federal reporting procedures and maintenance of effort in FY2014. No findings were reported in the field services component of the VR program.

**Performance Measure:** Provide all IDVR staff training on policy and procedural changes throughout the agency.

**Benchmark:** Zero audit findings on state and federal reviews in FFY 2016.

IDVR experienced two fiscal audit findings related to federal reporting and maintenance of effort in FY2014. No findings were reported in the field services component of the VR program.

**Performance Measure:** Develop strategies to work effectively with employers and community business organizations.

**Benchmark:** Increase the number of successful rehabilitations in FFY 2016 to meet or exceed FFY 2015 performance.

FFY 2014 = 1978 individuals were successfully rehabilitated.
**Performance Measure:** Evaluate and track annually IDVR counselors’ maintenance of CSPD or progress toward achieving CSPD.

**Benchmark:** Vocational Rehabilitation Counselors will maintain all CSPD standards for their position annually. All Vocational Rehabilitation Specialist staff will continue to work toward and/or achieve CSPD in FFY 2016.

VRC’s will maintain CSPD standard and VRS’s will work toward/or achieve the standard based on the agency’s policy.

**Performance Measure:** To develop a Business Relations position.

**Benchmark:** Implement a Business Relations position in FFY 2016 that will be a resource to employers statewide.

This was identified as a need from IDVR’s Comprehensive Statewide Needs Assessment and input from our public forums in FFY2013. IDVR began initial evaluation for the implementation of this position, with more work to follow in the next state FY.

**Performance Measure:** To enhance business networks with employers to include involvement with the Idaho Association of Business and Industry, the Rotary Club, Chamber of Commerce, and human resource organizations.

**Benchmark:** Increase the number of different occupational categories hiring IDVR customers in FFY 2016 from FFY 2015.

**FFY2014 Occupational Category Data:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation Category</th>
<th># Employed</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Occupations</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prod, Const., Operating, Maint. &amp; Material Handling</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional, Paraprofessional and Technical</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical and Administrative Support</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and Related Occupations</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managerial and Administrative</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural, Forestry, Fishing and Related</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare Practitioners</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare Support Occupations</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, Training, and Library Occupations</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer and Mathematical Occupations</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA Special Occupations and Miscellaneous</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protective Service Occupations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Occupations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Care and Service</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.05%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Community and Social Service Occupations  1  .05%

**Performance Measure:** To enhance relationships with the Regional Business Specialists from the Department of Labor.

**Benchmark:** Increase the number of different occupational categories hiring IDVR customers in FFY 2016 from FFY 2015.

See the above benchmark

**Performance Measure:** Continue to meet with stakeholders and partners to facilitate communication and understanding of each programs system.

**Benchmark:** Increase the number of applicants entering the IDVR process in FFY 2016 from FFY 2015 performance.

Number of applicants entering VR in FFY2015: 5584

**Extended Employment Services**

**Performance Measure:** Provide appropriate levels of long-term support to maximize individual’s independence in employment.

Through collaboration and fostering close working relationships with our Extended Employment Services customers, Community Rehabilitation Partners, employers, and by developing methods of tailoring services to customers’ needs we are providing appropriate employment supports.

**Benchmark:** Five percent reduction in program waitlisted customers.

SFY 2014 = 746 individuals were waitlisted

**Benchmark:** Increase customer choice.

Through continuation of program protocols that allows money to “Follow the Customer” rather than assessing program allocations to Community Rehabilitation Programs, EES customers’ choice is strengthened by ensuring they have the ability to change providers if their needs could be better served elsewhere.

**Benchmark:** Transparency in customer centered allocations.

Evaluate and implement case management services to meet EES customers’ needs in order to provide appropriate employment supports, maximizing independence.
Idaho Public Television
STRATEGIC PLAN FY 2016-2020

Idaho Public Television is an integral part of the State Board of Education’s overall plan and process for the delivery of quality education throughout Idaho. This Plan describes the primary vision, needs, concerns, goals and objectives of the staff and administration toward achieving those goals. The mission and vision of our agency reflect an ongoing commitment to meet the needs and reflect the interests of our varied audiences.

Idaho Public Television’s services are in alignment with the guiding goals & objectives of the State Board of Education (SBoE). This Plan displays SBoE goals alongside the Agency’s Strategic Planning Issues.

____________________________ (3/12/15)
Ron Pisaneschi
General Manager
Idaho Public Television

VISION STATEMENT

Inspire, enrich and educate the people we serve, enabling them to make a better world.

MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of Idaho Public Television is to meet the needs and reflect the interests of its varied audiences by:

- Establishing and maintaining statewide industry-standard delivery systems to provide television and other media to Idaho homes and schools;
- Providing quality educational, informational and cultural television and related resources;
- Creating Idaho-based educational, informational and cultural programs and resources;
- Providing learning opportunities and fostering participation and collaboration in educational and civic activities; and
- Attracting, developing and retaining talented and motivated employees who are committed to accomplishing the shared vision of Idaho Public Television.
Idaho Public Television
STRATEGIC PLAN FY 2016-2020

SBoE Goal 1: A WELL-EDUCATED CITIZENRY
The educational system will provide opportunities for individual advancement.

IdahoPTV Objectives:

1) Progress toward digital implementation as a statewide infrastructure in cooperation with public and private entities.
   • Performance Measures:
     ▪ Number of DTV translators.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 48 of 49
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 48 of 49 (established by industry standard)
     ▪ Number of cable companies carrying our prime digital channel.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 28
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 28 (established by industry standard)
     ▪ Number of Direct Broadcast Satellite (DBS) providers carrying our prime digital channel.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 8
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 8 (established by industry standard)
     ▪ Percentage of Idaho’s population within our DTV signal coverage area.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 98.5%
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 98.5% (established by industry standard)

2) Nurture and foster collaborative partnerships with other Idaho state entities and educational institutions to provide services to the citizens of Idaho.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Number of partnerships with other Idaho state entities and educational institutions.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 21
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 26 (established by agency research)

3) Operate an efficient statewide delivery/distribution system.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Total FTE in content delivery and distribution.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – less than 29
       o Benchmark: FY20 – less than 24 (established by industry standard)
4) Provide access to IdahoPTV television content that accommodates the needs of the hearing and sight impaired.
   • Performance Measures:
     ▪ Percentage of broadcast hours of closed captioned programming (non-live, i.e. videotaped) to aid visual learners and the hearing impaired.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 97.5%
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 100%
         (established by industry standard)
     ▪ Percentage of online hours of closed captioned programming (non-live, i.e. videotaped) to aid visual learners and the hearing impaired.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 15%
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 75%
         (established by industry standard)

5) Provide access to IdahoPTV new media content to citizens anywhere in the state, which supports citizen participation and education.
   • Performance Measures:
     ▪ Number of visitors to our websites.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 1,500,000
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 1,750,000
         (established by agency research)
     ▪ Number of visitors to IdahoPTV/PBS video player.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 30,000
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 50,000
         (established by agency research)
     ▪ Number of alternative delivery platforms and applications on which our content is delivered.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 4
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 12

6) Broadcast educational programs and provide related resources that serve the needs of Idahoans, which include children, ethnic minorities, learners, and teachers.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Number of broadcast hours of educational programming.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 28,000
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 29,000
         (established by agency research)

7) Contribute to a well-informed citizenry.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Number of broadcast hours of news, public affairs and documentaries.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 12,500
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 12,500
         (established by agency research)
8) Provide relevant Idaho-specific information.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Number of broadcast hours of Idaho-specific educational and informational programming.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 2,000
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 2,000
       (established by agency research)

9) Provide high-quality, educational television programming and new media content.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Number of awards for IdahoPTV media and services.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 40
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 50
       (established by industry standard)

10) Be a relevant, educational and informational resource to all citizens.
    • Performance Measure:
      ▪ Full-day average weekly cume (percentage of TV households watching) as compared to peer group of PBS state networks.
        o Benchmark: FY16 – 21.3%
        o Benchmark: FY20 – 21.3%
        (established by industry standard)

11) Operate an effective and efficient organization.
    • Performance Measure:
      ▪ Successfully comply with FCC policies/PBS programming, underwriting and membership policies/and CPB guidelines.
        o Benchmark: FY16 – Yes/Yes/Yes
        o Benchmark: FY20 – Yes/Yes/Yes
        (established by industry standard)

SBoE GOAL 2: CRITICAL THINKING AND INNOVATION
The educational system will provide an environment for the development of new ideas, and practical and theoretical knowledge to foster the development of individuals who are entrepreneurial, broadminded, think critically, and are creative.

IdahoPTV Objectives:

1) Nurture and foster collaborative partnerships with other Idaho state entities and educational institutions to provide services to the citizens of Idaho.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Number of partnerships with other Idaho state entities and educational institutions.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 21
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 26
       (established by agency research)
2) Provide access to IdahoPTV new media content to citizens anywhere in the state, which supports citizen participation and education.
   • Performance Measures:
     ▪ Number of visitors to our websites.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 1,500,000
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 1,750,000
         (established by agency research)
     ▪ Number of visitors to IdahoPTV/PBS video player.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 30,000
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 50,000
         (established by agency research)

3) Broadcast educational programs and provide related resources that serve the needs of Idahoans, which include children, ethnic minorities, learners, and teachers.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Number of broadcast hours of educational programming.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 28,000
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 29,000
         (established by agency research)

4) Contribute to a well-informed citizenry.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Number of broadcast hours of news, public affairs and documentaries.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 12,500
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 12,500
         (established by agency research)

5) Provide relevant Idaho-specific information.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Number of broadcast hours of Idaho-specific educational and informational programming.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 2,000
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 2,000
         (established by agency research)

6) Provide high-quality, educational television programming and new media content.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Number of awards for IdahoPTV media and services.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 40
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 50
         (established by agency research)

7) Be a relevant, educational and informational resource to all citizens.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Full-day average weekly cume (percentage of TV households watching) as compared to peer group of PBS state networks.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 21.3%
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 21.3%
         (established by industry standard)
8) Operate an effective and efficient organization.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Successfully comply with FCC policies/PBS programming, underwriting and membership policies/and CPB guidelines.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – Yes/Yes/Yes
       o Benchmark: FY20 – Yes/Yes/Yes
         (established by industry standard)

SBoE GOAL 3: EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT DELIVERY SYSTEMS
Ensure educational resources are used efficiently.

IdahoPTV Objectives:

1) Progress toward digital implementation as a statewide infrastructure in cooperation with public and private entities.
   • Performance Measures:
     ▪ Number of DTV translators.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 48 of 49
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 48 of 49
         (established by industry standard)
     ▪ Number of cable companies carrying our prime digital channel.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 28
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 28
         (established by industry standard)
     ▪ Number of Direct Broadcast Satellite (DBS) providers carrying our prime digital channel.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 8
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 8
         (established by industry standard)
     ▪ Percentage of Idaho’s population within our DTV signal coverage area.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 98.5%
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 98.5%
         (established by industry standard)

2) Nurture and foster collaborative partnerships with other Idaho state entities and educational institutions to provide services to the citizens of Idaho.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Number of partnerships with other Idaho state entities and educational institutions.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 21
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 26
         (established by agency research)

3) Operate an efficient statewide delivery/distribution system.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Total FTE in content delivery and distribution.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – less than 29
       o Benchmark: FY20 – less than 24
         (established by industry standard)
4) Provide access to IdahoPTV new media content to citizens anywhere in the state, which supports citizen participation and education.
   • Performance Measures:
     ▪ Number of visitors to our websites.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 1,500,000
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 1,750,000
         (established by agency research)
     ▪ Number of visitors to IdahoPTV/PBS video player.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 30,000
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 50,000
         (established by agency research)
     ▪ Number of alternative delivery platforms and applications on which our content is delivered.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 4
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 12

5) Provide high-quality, educational television programming and new media content.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Number of awards for IdahoPTV media and services.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 40
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 50
         (established by industry standard)

6) Be a relevant, educational and informational resource to all citizens.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Full-day average weekly cume (percentage of TV households watching) as compared to peer group of PBS state networks.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – 21.3%
       o Benchmark: FY20 – 21.3%
         (established by industry standard)

7) Operate an effective and efficient organization.
   • Performance Measure:
     ▪ Successfully comply with FCC policies/PBS programming, underwriting and membership policies/and CPB guidelines.
       o Benchmark: FY16 – Yes/Yes/Yes
       o Benchmark: FY20 – Yes/Yes/Yes
         (established by industry standard)
Key External Factors
(Beyond the control of Idaho Public Television):

IdahoPTV provides numerous services to various state entities.

Funding:
Idaho Public Television’s current strategic goals and objectives are based on a sustainable level of all funding sources: State of Idaho, Corporation for Public Broadcasting, and private contributions.

We are starting to see the impact of state entities passing on significant costs of operational expenses such as endowment land leases. This also includes the Idaho Bureau of Homeland Security (after 2019) that IdahoPTV has partnered with to provide data connectivity for broadcast signal distribution.

Much of the content that Idaho Public Television airs comes from program distributors or producers, both nationally and regionally. If these program production funding sources change (up or down), it could have an impact on IdahoPTV’s ability to meet its goals and objectives targets.

Legislation/Rules:
Recent state statute and rule changes typically have not impacted Idaho Public Television. We are monitoring, to the degree we can, the effectiveness and sunset of the expanded Idaho education tax credit that is set to expire December 31, 2015.

Federal Government:
Various aspects of IdahoPTV’s program functions fall under federal oversight, including the Federal Communications Commission, United States Department of Commerce, United States Department of Agriculture, Federal Aviation Administration, United States Department of Homeland Security, Internal Revenue Service, etc. Any change of federal rules and funding by any of these entities could also affect our ability to fulfill this strategic plan.

The FCC is currently engaged in auctioning frequencies to non-broadcast providers that have traditionally been used by broadcasters including Idaho Public Television. In doing so, the FCC is requiring stations to move to their transmitters and translators to different frequencies “repacking” them into fewer more congested frequencies. This has the potential of costing stations significant funds, and in some cases losing service to particular communities when available frequencies don’t exist.

As viewers increasingly obtain their video content via new devices (computers, iPads, smartphones, broadband delivered set-top-boxes, etc.) in addition to traditional broadcast, cable and satellite, Idaho Public Television must invest in the technology to meet our viewers’ needs. The ability of public television stations to raise private contributions and other revenue via these new platforms continues to be a significant challenge.
### Performance Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>FY 2014 Data</th>
<th>FY 2016 Benchmark</th>
<th>FY 2020 Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of DTV translators.</td>
<td>47 of 49</td>
<td>48 of 49</td>
<td>48 of 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cable companies carrying our prime digital channel.</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Direct Broadcast Satellite (DBS) providers carrying our prime digital channel.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Idaho’s population within our DTV signal coverage area.</td>
<td>98.4%</td>
<td>98.5%</td>
<td>98.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of partnerships with other Idaho state entities and educational institutions.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total FTE in content delivery and distribution.</td>
<td>18.58</td>
<td>Less than 29</td>
<td>Less than 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of broadcast hours of closed captioned programming (non-live) to aid visual learners and the hearing impaired.</td>
<td>97.6%</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of online hours of closed captioned programming (non-live) to aid visual learners and the hearing impaired.</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visitors to our websites.</td>
<td>1,520,814</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>1,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visitors to IdahoPTV/PBS video player.</td>
<td>48,836</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of alternative delivery platforms and applications on which our content is delivered.</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of broadcast hours of educational programming.</td>
<td>28,107</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>29,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of broadcast hours of news, public affairs and documentaries.</td>
<td>12,654</td>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>12,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of broadcast hours of Idaho-specific educational and informational programming.</td>
<td>2,074</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of awards for IdahoPTV media and services.</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-day average weekly cume (percentage of TV households watching) as compared to peer group of PBS state networks.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successfully comply with FCC policies/PBS programming, underwriting and membership policies/and CPB guidelines.</td>
<td>Yes/Yes/Yes</td>
<td>Yes/Yes/Yes</td>
<td>Yes/Yes/Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*New performance measures beginning FY 2015.

**New performance measure beginning FY 2016.
Strategic Plan

2016-2020
Legal Authority
This strategic plan has been developed by the Division of Professional-Technical Education (DPTE) in compliance with Idaho Code, Title 67, Chapter 19, Sections 67-1901 through 67-1905, as amended. It supersedes all previous DPTE strategic plans.

Statutory authority for and definition of professional-technical education (PTE) is delineated in Idaho Code, Chapter 22, Sections 33-2201 through 33-2212. IDAPA 55 states the role of DPTE is to administer professional-technical education in Idaho and lists specific functions.

Mission
The mission of the Professional-Technical Education system is to prepare Idaho youth and adults for high skill, in-demand careers.

Vision
The vision of the Division of Professional-Technical Education is to be:

1. A premiere educational opportunity for students and adults to gain relevant workforce and leadership skills in an applied setting;
2. A gateway to meaningful careers and additional educational opportunities; and
3. A strong talent pipeline that meets Idaho business workforce needs.

Core Functions
- Administration
- Programs
- Technical assistance
- Fiscal oversight
- Research, planning, and performance management

External Factors
- Labor market and general economic conditions
- Lack of knowledge, perceptions, and stigma regarding career opportunities available through professional-technical education
- Availability of funds
- Policies, practices, legislation, and governance external to the Division
- Ability to attract and retain qualified instructors
- Local autonomy and regional distinctions including technical college institutional priorities/varied missions
Initiatives

1. Career Advising – Assist PTE students with their high school, post high school education, and career planning.

2. Program Standards Alignment – Align program standards to industry requirements. Serves as a foundational component to the long-term objective of seamless secondary to postsecondary transitions and SkillStack implementation.

3. PTE Digital – Expand the availability of identified PTE programs to students using an on-line or distance learning model, as appropriate.

4. Workplace Readiness – Assure workplace readiness skills are an integral component of all PTE programs and student technical skill sets.

5. Limited Occupational Specialists – Identify recruitment and retention issues among limited occupational specialists, including opportunities for the Division to promote more mentorship and support

Definitions

For the purposes of this document, terms and phrases are defined as follows:

- Advanced Opportunities: The State Board of Education recognizes four advanced opportunity programs:
  1. Advanced Placement® (AP)
  2. Dual Credit
  3. Technical Competency Credit (formerly known as Tech Prep)
  4. International Baccalaureate program

- Board of Education III.Y Policy: This policy provides program standards for advanced opportunities for secondary students by preparing secondary graduates for postsecondary programs and to enhance postsecondary goals.

- Completer: A college student who has graduated from a PTE program of study.

- Concentrator: A high school junior or senior in their final course of a sequence or pathway.

- ISEE: Idaho System for Educational Excellence
Level gain: Measures skill improvement between a pre and post-test, using a state-approved assessment.

NCHEMS: The National Center for Higher Education Management Systems

Positive Placement: Transition to additional education, military, or job placement.

Postsecondary: A credit bearing program beyond high school.

PTE (Professional-Technical Education, sometimes referred to as Career and Technical Education): Cutting edge, rigorous and relevant education that prepares youth and adults for a wide range of high-wage, high-skill, in-demand careers.

Secondary: Grades 9-12

SkillStack: SkillStack is competency-based, online platform that will provide micro-certifications that lead to nationally recognized industry certifications and credentials. This will enhance the ability of students to effectively gain college credit while in high school.

SLDS: Statewide Longitudinal Data System

TSA (Technical Skill Assessment): An end of program assessment, often administered by a third party organization that provides a summative assessment of the student’s technical knowledge and skills.

Workforce training: Non-credit bearing training for basic entry level programs, workers who have lost their jobs, customized training for business and industry, upgrade training, related instruction for apprentices, and emergency services training for first responders.
Goals and Objectives

This plan is divided into three sections according to each of the Idaho State Board of Education’s goals. The Division has crafted objectives, performance measures, and benchmarks that align with each of the Board’s three goals.

Board Goal 1: A Well Educated Citizenry – Idaho’s P-20 system will provide opportunities for individual advancement across Idaho’s diverse population.

1. **PTE Objective: Image** – Improve statewide perceptions and understanding of professional-technical education to ensure that both professional-technical programs and careers will be valued by Idaho’s students, parents and educators, leading to a talent pipeline that supports and is valued by Idaho’s business and industry.

   **Performance Measure:** Improvement in the image of professional-technical education and careers.
   **FY 2016 Benchmark:** A marketing plan is developed, including benchmarks to evaluate success in improving PTE image.

2. **PTE Objective: Student Success** – Create systems, services, resources, and operations that support high performing students in high performing programs and lead to positive placements.

   **Performance Measure:** Secondary and postsecondary student pass rate for Technical Skill Assessment (TSA).
   **FY 2016 Benchmarks:**
   - Secondary: 75.6
   - Postsecondary: 92.5

   **Performance Measure:** Positive placement rate of secondary concentrators and postsecondary program completers.
   **FY 2016 Benchmarks:**
   - Secondary: 94.2
   - Postsecondary: 95.5

   **Sub-Performance Measure:** Rate of secondary concentrators who transition to postsecondary education.
   **FY 2020 Benchmark:** 70

   **Sub-Performance Measure:** Placement rate of postsecondary program completers in jobs related to their training.
   **FY 2020 Benchmark:** 65
Performance Measure: The percentage of postsecondary students at the six technical colleges who are enrolled in PTE programs.

Annual Benchmark: Identify baseline data

3. PTE Objective: Advanced Opportunities – Support State Board Policy III.Y by aligning similar first semester PTE programs among the technical colleges and ensuring that secondary program standards align to those postsecondary programs.

Performance Measure: Number of postsecondary programs that have aligned their first semester.

FY 2017 Benchmark: 10 programs are aligned

Performance Measure: The percent of secondary PTE concentrators who transition to postsecondary PTE programs.

FY 2016 Benchmark: Identify baseline data

4. PTE Objective: Academic Equivalency – Increase the number high school students who earn academic credits that meet graduation requirements through applied PTE courses.

Performance Measure: The number of PTE courses that are offered statewide as an option for meeting state non-elective graduation requirements.

FY 2016 Benchmark: Identify baseline data

Performance Measure: The number of high school students who incorporate PTE courses as part of their state non-elective graduation requirements.

FY 2016 Benchmark: Identify baseline data

Board Goal 2: Innovation and Economic Development – The educational system will provide an environment that facilitates the creation of practical and theoretical knowledge leading to new ideas.

5. PTE Objective: Leadership and Advocacy – Provide leadership and collaboration among state agencies, education and workforce partners to benefit the economic growth of Idaho’s businesses.

Performance Measure: Number of PTE partnerships that address workforce development challenges faced by Idaho’s businesses.

FY 2016 Benchmarks:

i. PTE is the co-applicant for a Department of Labor (USDOL) Registered Apprenticeship grant (the grant is with the
USDOL to create 300 new apprenticeships for students over 5 years
ii. Develop a standardized test among the technical colleges for the journeyman electrical apprenticeship program
iii. Number of successful Workforce Development Training Fund Sector grants, including application, award, and implementation
iv. Utilize a “Team Idaho” approach that coordinates the efforts of state agencies, local economic development entities, and education partners to develop workforce solutions for targeted industry sectors.

**Performance Measure:** Number of PTE presentations that advocate for alignment between education and the workforce through speaking events targeted to industry, education, students and the media.

**FY 2016 Benchmark:** PTE staff present at 15 events

6. **PTE Objective:** Talent Pipelines/Career Pathways – PTE students will successfully transition from high school and postsecondary education to the workplace through a statewide career pathways model. Workforce training will provide additional support in delivering skilled talent to Idaho’s employers.

**Performance Measure:** Implementation of competency-based SkillStack microcertifications (created using program standards).

**FY 2016 Benchmarks:**

i. SkillStack is formally launched, supporting 5 PTE programs of study.

ii. The process for Workforce Training and other non-credit instruction to utilize the SkillStack platform will be finalized.

iii. Establish a baseline number of recognized credentials obtained by students.

**FY 2017 Benchmark:** Additional 5 programs of study will be added to SkillStack

**FY 2018 Benchmark:** The remaining programs of study for which standards are available will be added to SkillStack

**Performance Measure:** Number of postsecondary technical credits earned via Advanced Learning Opportunities process that satisfies graduation requirements for postsecondary technical programs.

**FY 2016 Benchmark:** Determine baseline and data collection methodology

**Performance Measure:** Number of program standards and outcomes that have industry endorsement and align with industry standards.
FY 2020 Benchmark: 100% of programs align to industry standards

Performance Measure: Percent of students who enter an occupation related to their workforce training (non-credit bearing training).

FY 2016 Benchmark: Establish baseline data

7. PTE Objective: Adult Basic Education (ABE) – ABE will assist adults in becoming literate and obtaining the knowledge and skills necessary for employment and economic self-sufficiency.

Performance Measure: The percent of ABE students making measurable improvements in basic skills necessary for employment, college, and training (i.e. - literacy, numeracy, English language, and workplace readiness).

FY 2020 Benchmark: 51% of reportable ABE students will demonstrate a level gain

Performance Measure: The percent of low-skilled adults provided with a viable alternative “entry point” for the workforce and Career Pathway system, who have a positive student placement after program exit.

FY 2020 Benchmarks:
   i. 50% of qualifying ABE students who were unemployed when they enrolled are employed by the second quarter after exiting the program
   ii. 35% of qualifying ABE students will enter a postsecondary college or training program within one year after exiting the program

8. PTE Objective: Centers for New Directions (CND) – CNDs will help foster positive student outcomes, provide community outreach events and workshops, as well as collaborate with other agencies.

Performance Measure: Percent of positive outcomes/retention that lead to completing a PTE program of study, entering employment or continuing their training.

Annual Benchmark: Maintain a 90% positive outcome rate or greater

Performance Measure: Number of institutional and community event/workshop hours provided annually that connect students to resources with other agencies, in addition to institutional resources.

Annual Benchmark: Maintain 5,000 contact hours of institutional and community event/workshops
Board Goal 3: Effective and Efficient Educational System – Ensure educational resources are coordinated throughout the state and used effectively.

9. **PTE Objective:** Technical assistance and support for PTE programs – Provide timely, accurate, and comprehensive support to PTE programs that meets the needs of administrators and instructors at both the secondary and postsecondary levels.

   **Performance Measure:** The overall satisfaction levels of administrators and instructors with the support and assistance provided by PTE.
   
   **FY 2016 Benchmark:** Develop a customer-satisfaction survey

10. **PTE Objective:** Data-informed improvement – Develop quality and performance management practices that will contribute to system improvement, including current research, data analysis, and strategic and operational planning.

   **Performance Measure:** Full implementation of Professional-Technical Education Management System (P-TEMS).
   
   **FY 2016 Benchmark:** Launch P-TEMS

   **Performance Measure:** Data accuracy and automation of the SLDS (postsecondary) and ISEE (secondary) data systems as it pertains to PTE.
   
   **FY 2017 Benchmark:** Determine baseline of schools and institutions populating data fields accurately in these systems

   **Performance Measure:** Incorporation of PTE Postsecondary teacher certifications into the Secondary database system to increase automation, accuracy, and standardization.
   
   **FY 2016 Benchmark:** Begin transfer of postsecondary certifications and documents to the secondary SDE database

   **Performance Measure:** Through on-site reviews, technical assistance, or other oversight mechanisms, the percent of secondary programs reviewed for quality and performance on an annual basis.
   
   **Annual Benchmark:** 20% of programs

11. **PTE Objective:** Program Funding – Funding at the secondary and postsecondary levels will provide the necessary resources for high quality programs and be responsive to the needs of business and industry.

   **Performance Measure:** A secondary funding model that includes performance-based funding.
   
   **FY 2017 Benchmark:** Develop a plan for secondary funding that will be presented to the Board
Performance Measure: A postsecondary funding model that includes performance-based funding.

FY 2017 Benchmark: Develop a plan for postsecondary funding will be presented to the Board

12. PTE Objective: Highly Qualified Staff – The teacher preparation and certification process will provide for the recruitment and retention of quality PTE teachers.

Performance Measure: Number of qualified teachers in every program

FY 2020 Benchmark: Ensure all employed teachers in secondary/postsecondary PTE programs meet the appropriate endorsement standards

13. PTE Objective: Health Matters – Health Matters will result in a better educated citizenry and more efficient and positive service delivery to Idaho’s citizens by increasing their access to credible health resources, which include healthy lifestyle and behavior opportunities.

Performance Measure: Annual website hits

FY 2020 Benchmark: Increase total number of website hits by 10% from FY2016

14. PTE Objective: Certified Public Manager® (CPM) Program – The program will provide public employees with the skills and abilities to serve as effective leaders and managers at all levels of the public sector.

Performance Measure: Enrollment from non-participating state agencies .

FY 2020 Benchmark: Increase by a minimum of 10% from FY2016

Performance Measure: Enrollment of non-state government entities.

FY 2020 Benchmark: Increase by one participant for each new cohort track through 2020
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Vision

Our vision is to be a superior professional-technical college. We value a dynamic environment as a foundation for building our College into a nationally recognized technical education role model. We are committed to educating all students through progressive and proven educational philosophies. We will continue to provide high quality education and state-of-the-art facilities and equipment for our students. We seek to achieve a comprehensive curriculum that prepares our students for entering the workforce, articulation to any college and full participation in society. We acknowledge the nature of change, the need for growth, and the potential of all challenges.

Mission

Eastern Idaho Technical College provides superior educational services in a positive learning environment that champion’s student success and regional workforce needs.

Core Themes

Learning for work and Life: EITC is a place of learning where students prepare for careers and effective citizenship. We embrace hands-on learning and provide instruction that is not only academically rigorous, but tailored to the needs of the community. Learning for work and life takes place in all areas of campus through professional-technical education, adult basic education, and workforce education.

Student Centered: EITC faculty and staff throughout the college are committed to students and their success. Well-functioning student support areas are critical to our students’ success, help model outstanding workplace behaviors, and provide comprehensive student support from pre-enrollment through employment.

Community Engagement: EITC’s value of community is evident in our safe, clean and inviting campus, which fosters communication and professional growth; and our broader, collaborative relationships within the local, regional, and academic communities who are key stakeholders.
STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS, METHODS, AND OBJECTIVES

GOAL 1: LEARNING FOR WORK AND LIFE

Objective A: Eastern Idaho Technical College will provide industry-driven Professional Technical Education (PTE).

Method 1: Program Reporting
- **Performance Measure**: Number of program advisory committee meetings annually
- **Benchmark**: One meeting per year for each full-time program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method 2: Degree Production (SBOE Goal 1 Objective B)
- **Performance Measure**: Degree and certificate production and headcount of recipients (Split by undergraduate/graduate).
- **Benchmark**: Increase number of completions greater than prior year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Degrees</th>
<th>Headcount</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Performance Measure**: Unduplicated number of graduates over rolling 3-year average degree seeking FTE (split by undergraduate/graduate). (SBOE Goal 1 Objective B) (PTE Objective D ii.)
- **Benchmark**: Maintain at or above 55%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Degrees</th>
<th>3-year average degree seeking FTE</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• **Performance Measure:** Pass rates on Technical Skills Assessments (SBOE Goal 2 Objective B) (PTE Objective D ii.)

• **Benchmark:** Students performance will meet the 90% of Perkins State performance level measure. (Perkins Performance Measures Report – State performance required level is 92%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>EITC Perf. Level</th>
<th>State Perf. Level</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>92.16%</td>
<td>91.07%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>88.83</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>91.67%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Method 3:** EITC Placement Office Report

• **Performance Measure:** Training Related Placement Rates (SBOE Goal 1 Objective D) (PTE Objective D vii.)

• **Benchmark:** Maintain 85% placement rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Placement Rate</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2011</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Method 4:** Dual Credit

• **Performance Measure:** Total credits earned and unduplicated headcount of participating students (SBOE Goal 1 Objective B) (PTE Objective D iii.)

• **Benchmark:** TBD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th># Students</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Benchmark to be determined (TBD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Objective B:** Adult Learner Re-Integration – Improve the process and increase the options for re-integration of adult learners into the education system.

**Method 1:** A designed pathway to transition students from Adult Basic Education (ABE) into EITC without further remediation.

• **Performance Measure:** Percentage of student’s continuing education at EITC from ABE (SBOE Goal 1 Objective C) (PTE Objective D iii.)
• **Benchmark:** 60% of ABE students entering into EITC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• **Performance Measure:** Academic gains of ABE students (SBOE Goal 1 Objective C)

• **Benchmark:** Meets state targets for academic gains for all levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Method 2:** Remediation - Monitor remedial needs in English and Math

• **Performance Measure:** Percentage of students successfully completing English and Math plus classes (Complete College Initiative) (SBOE Goal 1 Objective C)

• **Benchmark:** 70% of students successfully complete plus classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• **Performance Measure:** Number and percentage of first-time freshmen who graduated from an Idaho high school in the previous year requiring remedial education -unduplicated

• **Benchmark:** Decrease students enrolled in remedial courses by two percent (2%) annually.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Freshmen</th>
<th>% Requiring remedial</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Objective C: Workforce Training division will provide on-demand customized training.

Method 1: Respond to industry requests or identified needs. (SBOE Goal 1 Objective B) (PTE Objective C iii.)

- **Performance Measure**: Provide customized training to local industries
- **Benchmark**: Increase Workforce Training headcount annually

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Headcount</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>14,143</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>11,789</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>11,446</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Objective D: Services will be efficient and cost effective.

Method 1: Monitor cost of college to deliver educational resources

- **Performance Measure**: Undergraduate cost per credit - Non-weighted (SBOE Goal 3 Objective A)
- **Benchmark**: At or below 25% of IPEDS Peers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Cost per credit hour</th>
<th>IPEDS PEERS</th>
<th>EITC</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>$599</td>
<td>$13,078</td>
<td>$17,877</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td>Peer comparison form IPEDS DFR report Fig.15 (Instruction, academic support, student services, institutional support)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>$671</td>
<td>$15,210</td>
<td>$17,978</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>$663</td>
<td>$15,937</td>
<td>$20,102</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Performance Measure**: Graduates per $100,000: Total cost of certificate or degree completions (e.g. cost of instruction, academic support, student services, institutional support, and other expenses) (SBOE Goal 3 Objective A)
- **Benchmark**: Within 20% of statewide mean for 2 year college peers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>EITS Efficiency</th>
<th>Peers</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td>Peers compared are state funded 2-year colleges (CSI, CWI, NIC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Method 2: Controller’s Office Report

- **Performance Measure:** Institutional reserves comparable to best practice.
- **Benchmark:** 5% of operating expenditures (SBOE Goal 3 Objective A)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>4.11%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 2: STUDENT CENTERED: EITC FACULTY AND STAFF ARE COMMITTED TO STUDENTS AND THEIR SUCCESS.

**Objective A:** EITC Faculty Provides Effective and Student Centered Instruction. (SBOE Goal 1 Objective B for all under objective A)

Method 1: Faculty utilization of the Learning Management System (LMS) to communicate with students efficiently.
- **Performance Measure:** Percentage of faculty using the LMS (SBOE Goal 3 Objective B)
- **Benchmark:** 100%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method 2: Utilization of annual Student Satisfaction Survey results for Student Centeredness (Noel Levitz Annual Survey)
- **Performance Measure:** Noel Levitz scale report gap result for Student Centeredness
- **Benchmark:** Performance gap less than our peer comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 2012</th>
<th>EITC Gap</th>
<th>Peer Gap</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td>Annual survey administered in the FY Fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Method 3: Utilization of annual Student Satisfaction Survey results for Instructional Effectiveness (Noel Levitz Annual Survey).

- **Performance Measure:** Noel Levitz scale report gap result for Instructional Effectiveness
- **Benchmark:** Performance gap less than our peer comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>EITC Gap</th>
<th>Peer Gap</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td>Annual survey administered in the FY Fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method 4: Fall to Fall Retention - Institutional Research Report (SBOE Goal 1 Objective B)

- **Performance Measure:** Fall to Fall full-time student retention
- **Benchmark:** At or above 70%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method 5: Retention Rate (SBOE Goal 1 Objective B)

- **Performance Measure:** Percent of full-time new and transfer degree seeking students that are retained or graduate the following year (excluding death, military service, and mission). Split into two rates – one for transfer students and one for new freshmen.
- **Benchmark:** At or above 70%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Full time New Student</th>
<th>Returning students</th>
<th>% Retention</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2011</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Full time New Transfer Students</td>
<td>Returning students</td>
<td>% Retention</td>
<td>Benchmark Results</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2011</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Objective B:** EITC Staff Provides Effective and Student Centered Support Services. (SBOE Goal 1 Objective B for all listed under this objective)

**Method 1:** Utilization of annual Student Satisfaction Survey results for Admission Services (Noel Levitz Annual Survey)

- **Performance Measure:** EITC Admissions services meets the expectations of students
- **Benchmark:** Performance gap less than our peer comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>EITC Gap</th>
<th>Peer Gap</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Method 2:** Utilization of results of Student Satisfaction Survey results for Financial Aid Services (Noel Levitz Annual Survey)

- **Performance Measure:** Financial Aid services meets the expectations of students
- **Benchmark:** Performance gap less than our peer comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>EITC Gap</th>
<th>Peer Gap</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td>Annual survey administered in the FY Fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td>Annual survey administered in the FY Fall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Objective C:** Tutoring center provides services to support education success (SBOE Goal 1 Objective B for all of objective C)

**Method 1:** End of semester student evaluations of effectiveness
- **Performance Measure:** Percentage of students satisfied
- **Benchmark:** 80 % satisfaction (*FY 2015 benchmark at 95%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>Benchmark of 80% Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>Benchmark of 80% Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>Benchmark of 80% Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Method 2:** Tutoring contact hours to support student needs.
- **Performance Measure:** Number of contact hours annually per unduplicated headcount
- **Benchmark:** 6 hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>6 hours</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>5 Hours</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Objective D:** EITC Technology Services meet the expectations of students (SBOE Goal 1 Objective B for all in this objective)

**Method 1:** Utilization of results of Student Satisfaction Survey results for Information Technology Services (Noel Levitz Annual Survey)

- **Performance Measure:** Information Technology services meet the expectations of students
- **Benchmark:** Student satisfaction ratings report less than a 1.0 gap between importance and satisfaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Importance</th>
<th>Satisfaction</th>
<th>Gap</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>New measure for 2014FA – * No Peer data on NL survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Method 2: EITC helpdesk satisfaction surveys.
- **Performance Measure:** Measure: Information technology services meet the expectations of students, faculty, and staff
- **Benchmark:** Customer satisfaction levels at or above 90%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>99% Avg. for Jan &amp; Feb</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td>New Measure – status data provided for 2mos.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Objective E:** EITC library services meets the expectation of students.  
(SBOE Goal 1 Objective B)

Method 1: Noel Levitz Survey
- **Performance Measure:** Library services meet the expectations of students
- **Benchmark:** Performance gap less than our peer comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>EITC Gap</th>
<th>Peer Gap</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>more than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>more than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>more than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td>Annual survey administered in the FY Fall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Objective F:** Increase the reach of the Center for New Directions (CND) to individuals seeking to make positive life changes. (SBOE Goal 1 Objective C for all in Objective F)

Method 1: CND Reporting
- **Performance Measure:** Number of applicants/students receiving CND services.
- **Benchmark:** Number of clients served per year, increase by at least one percent (1%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Clients Served</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- **Performance Measure:** Number of client contact hours
- **Benchmark:** Number of contact hours per year, increase by at least one percent (1%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>New Measure No Data Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 3: COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT**

**Objective A:** On Campus Community provides a safe interactive professional learning environment

**Method 1:** Comply with federal safety reporting.
- **Performance Measure:** Annual safety reporting (Title IX, Clery Act)
- **Benchmark:** 100% compliance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>% Compliance</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Method 2:** Maintain active EITC safety committee
- **Performance Measure:** Regular meetings to review and improve safety
- **Benchmark:** 10 meetings annually, 10 reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th># Meetings</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Measure No Data Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Method 3: Noel Levitz Survey Safety and Security Scale Report

- **Performance Measure:** On Campus safety and security student satisfaction
- **Benchmark:** Performance gap less than our peer comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>EITC Gap</th>
<th>Peer Gap</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>more than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>less than peers</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td>Annual survey administered in the FY Fall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method 4: On-Campus Communication

- **Performance Measure:** Publish and distribute college newsletter
- **Benchmark:** 6 issues annually

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th># Issues</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>6 issues</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>6 issues</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>6 issues</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>6 issues</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method 5: On-Campus Communication

- **Performance Measure:** President forums
- **Benchmark:** 2 forums annually

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th># Forums</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>2 forums</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>2 forums</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>2 forums</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>2 forums</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method 6: Professional Development

- **Performance Measure:** Provide funds for faculty and staff professional development
- **Benchmark:** 10K Annually

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>New Measure No Data Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>New Measure No Data Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Method 7: Professional Development (SBOE Goal 2 Objective B)
- **Performance Measure:** Faculty and staff that participate in professional development
- **Benchmark:** 80% participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>New Measure No Data Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Objective C:** Regional Community Engagement - EITC will seek input and will provide regional community members educational opportunities (SBOE Goal 1 Objective A)

Method 1: Enrollment reports of credit and non-credit courses (SBOE Goal 1 Objective B)
- **Performance Measure:** Headcount (Unduplicated) in regional centers
- **Benchmark:** Increase headcount 1% annually at off-campus sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Headcount</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method 2: Annual Report from the Eastern Idaho Technical College Foundation (EITCF) (SBOE Goal 1 Objective A)
- **Performance Measure:** Percentage of students receiving EITCF scholarships
- **Benchmark:** 25%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>% EITC Scholarships</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>Benchmark Not Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Method 3: Eastern Idaho Technical College Advisory Council Meetings

- Performance Measure: Council will meet at least 2 times per calendar year.
- Benchmark: Measure Attained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th># Meetings</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Measure No Data Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Measure No Data Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Objective C: EITC supports statewide educational initiatives (SBOE Goal 1 Objective C for all listed in EITC Objective C)

Method 1: State Board of Education (SBOE) confirmation of participation

- Performance Measure: Participate in SBOE statewide initiatives (i.e. Complete College Idaho, General Education Reform, GEM stamping, etc.)
- Benchmark: College participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method 2: Idaho Division for Professional Technical Education (PTE) confirmation of participation

- Performance Measure: Participate in PTE statewide initiatives (i.e. TCLC Meetings, Advanced Placement Opportunities, Host Institution Delivery, etc.)
- Benchmark: College participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>Benchmark Attained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment 1 –
Goal 1, Objective B, Method 1. Academic gains of Adult Basic Education (ABE) students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY12 Results</th>
<th>FY12 State Target</th>
<th>FY13 Results</th>
<th>FY13 State Target</th>
<th>FY14 Results</th>
<th>FY14 State Target</th>
<th>FY15 Results</th>
<th>FY15 State Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABE1</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>ABE1 33%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>ABE1 N/A</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE2</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>ABE2 57%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>ABE2 58%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE3</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>ABE3 54%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>ABE3 58%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE4</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>ABE4 36%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>ABE4 48%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE5</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>ABE5 41%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>ABE5 44%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESL1</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>ESL1 56%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>ESL1 (no students)</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESL2</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>ESL2 53%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>ESL2 57%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESL3</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>ESL3 50%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>ESL3 48%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESL4</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>ESL4 33%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>ESL4 42%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESL5</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>ESL5 32%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>ESL5 40%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESL6</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>ESL6 20%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>ESL6 25%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## EITC Strategic Plan FY 2016 – FY 2020

### EITC Strategic Plan Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOAL 1: A WELL EDUCATED CITIZENRY</th>
<th>Learning for work and Life</th>
<th>Student Centered</th>
<th>Community Engagement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective A: Access - Set policy and advocate for increasing access for individuals of all ages, abilities, and economic means to Idaho’s P-20 educational system.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective B: Higher Level of Educational Attainment – Increase the educational attainment of all Idahoans through participation and retention in Idaho’s educational system.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective C: Adult learner Re-Integration – Improve the processes and increase the options for re-integration of adult learners into the education system</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective D: Transition – Improve the ability of the educational system to meet educational needs and allow students to efficiently and effectively transition into the workforce</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOAL 2: CRITICAL THINKING AND INNOVATION</th>
<th>Learning for work and Life</th>
<th>Student Centered</th>
<th>Community Engagement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective A: Critical Thinking, Innovation and Creativity – Increase research and development of new ideas into solutions that benefit society.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective B: Quality Instruction – Increase student performance through the development, recruitment, and retention of a diverse and highly qualified workforce of teachers, faculty, and staff.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOAL 3: EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT DELIVERY SYSTEMS</th>
<th>Learning for work and Life</th>
<th>Student Centered</th>
<th>Community Engagement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective A: Cost Effective and Fiscally Prudent – Increased productivity and cost-effectiveness</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective B: Data-informed Decision Making - Increase the quality, thoroughness, and accessibility of data for informed decision-making and continuous improvement of Idaho’s educational system.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✓ Indicates the specific SBOE’s Goals and Objectives that are supported by EITC’s Strategic Plan.

Some EITC goals fit into more than one SBOE category and have been identified in a single category.
Key External Factors

**Funding:**

Many of our strategic goals and objectives assume on-going and sometimes significant additional levels of State legislative appropriations. Availability of state revenues (for appropriation), gubernatorial, and legislative support for some Board initiatives can be uncertain. An example is our Goal 1 Objective A Methods 2 and 3: The number of awards each year is restricted by the current number of programs being offered and their respective capacity. We will be offering a new program beginning the fall of 2015 which has the potential of adding approximately 15 certificates/degrees per year. This addition was made possible through a state-wide line-item funding request facilitated by the State Division for Professional Technical Education. The potential for additional certificates/awards will rely on this technique in addition to specific line-item requests made by the institution. Our ability to produce a greater number of awards will in part be dictated by support for additional funding.

**Compliance:**

Ever increasing compliance issues arise from State and Federal policies/programs. This creates a tremendous burden on staff resources.
Leading Idaho:
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INTRODUCTION

The University of Idaho is the first choice for student success and statewide leadership. We are the premier land-grant research university in our state. We lead in teaching and engaged student learning in our undergraduate, graduate, and professional programs. We excel at interdisciplinary research, service to businesses and communities, and in advancing diversity, citizenship, and global outreach. Through our growing residential and networked university and strong alumni connections, we develop leaders who will guide Idaho to global economic success, create a sustainable American West, and address our nation’s most challenging problems.

As Idaho’s land-grant institution, our students, faculty, and staff are engaged in a vast network of powerful partnerships through statewide locations, laboratories, research and extension centers, outreach programs, and a base of loyal alumni worldwide. These resources provide connections to individuals, businesses, and communities that strive to improve the quality of life of all Idaho citizens and secure the economic progress of the world.

We are committed to a student-centered, engaged learning environment. Our unique geography, intimate setting, residential campus, and dedicated faculty provide aspiring leaders with the skills and abilities to challenge themselves and learn by doing.

Our leadership position in research and creative activity presents opportunities to interact and innovate with world-class faculty. Our students gain firsthand experience addressing global challenges, and bring contemporary knowledge and experience into their careers and lives.

Students, faculty, and staff at the University of Idaho are dedicated to advancing a purposeful and just community that respects individuality and provides access and inclusion for all cultures to create a climate that is civil and respectful. Innovative, productive collaborations that foster community and build morale are encouraged.

Over the past five years, the university community has implemented a strategic plan to further the vision and mission of the university. This 2016-20 Strategic Plan fulfills the promise of a 21st century land-grant institution to lead and inspire Idaho, the nation, and the world. To achieve this, all units will develop strategic actions that advance the overall strategic direction, vision, and values of the institution.

MISSION

The University of Idaho is the state’s land-grant research university. From this distinctive origin and identity comes our commitment to enhance the scientific, economic, social, legal, and cultural assets of our state, and to develop solutions for complex problems facing society. We deliver on this commitment through focused excellence in teaching, research, outreach, and engagement in a collaborative environment at our residential main campus, regional centers, extension offices, and research facilities throughout the state. Consistent with the land-grant ideal, our outreach activities serve the state at the same time they strengthen our teaching as well as scholarly and creative capacities.

Our teaching and learning includes undergraduate, graduate, professional, and continuing education offered through both resident instruction and extended delivery. Our educational programs are enriched by the knowledge, collaboration, diversity, and creativity of our faculty, students, and staff.

Our scholarly and creative activities promote human and economic development, global understanding, and progress in professional practice by expanding knowledge and its applications in the natural and applied sciences, social sciences, arts, humanities, and the professions.
VISION
Our commitment to focused excellence includes developing and delivering pre-eminent statewide programs. These programs are delivered in the Morrill Act-mandated primary emphases areas in agriculture, natural resources, and engineering; and sustaining excellence in architecture, law, liberal arts, sciences, education, business and economics, and programs in medical and veterinary medical education, all of which shape the core curriculum and give meaning to the concept of a land-grant research university.

PRINCIPLES AND VALUES
Learn, create, and innovate
Preserve and transmit knowledge
Act with integrity
Treat others with respect
Celebrate excellence
Change lives
Welcome and include everyone
Take responsibility for the future

Goal 1: Teaching and Learning Goal: Enable student success in a rapidly changing world.

Context: Our graduates live, work, compete, and prosper in a constantly changing environment. Consequently, curricula, co-curricular activities, pedagogy, and assessment must be quickly adaptable as the environment changes. Learning experiences drawn from our disciplinary and interdisciplinary strengths will help students develop the ability to identify and address complex problems and opportunities.

Objective A: Build adaptable, integrative curricula and pedagogies.

Strategies:
1. Streamline policies and practices to enable creative program revision and course scheduling.
2. Implement general education requirements that emphasize integrative learning throughout the undergraduate experience.
3. Use external and internal assessments to keep teaching and learning vital.
4. Build curricula to support timely degree completion.
5. Expand opportunities for professional education.
6. Apply emerging technologies to increase access and respond to the needs of local and global learners.
7. Develop increased learning opportunities for underserved or underrepresented communities.
8. Employ active learning pedagogies to enhance student learning where appropriate.

Performance Measure: The average time to complete a Bachelor’s degree.
**Objective B: Develop integrative learning activities that span students’ entire university experience.**

**Strategies:**

1. Increase educational experiences within the living and learning environments.
2. Engage alumni and stakeholders as partners in student mentoring.
3. Increase student participation in co-curricular activities.
4. Integrate curricular and co-curricular activities.
5. Increase opportunities for student interaction and interdisciplinary collaboration.

**Performance Measure:** Number and percent of students participating in Study Abroad and National Student Exchange programs.

**Benchmark:** Five percent of the full-time undergraduate degree-seeking student body.

**Rationale:** Enabling students to not only progress through their academic career but also to do so while learning in diverse settings provides them with greater perspective.
Goal 2: Scholarly and Creative Activity Goal: Promote excellence in scholarship and creative activity to enhance life today and prepare us for tomorrow.

Context: Our quality of life today and in the future depends on the merit of our scholarship and creative endeavors. Many of the most pressing issues facing society cut across disciplines and require solutions that do the same. At the University of Idaho we are committed to helping address society’s pressing issues by continuing to support strong disciplinary and interdisciplinary activities that emphasize quality, innovation, critical thinking, and collaboration. We intend to improve the quality of life of all Idaho citizens and secure the economic progress of our world.

Objective A: Strengthen all scholarly and creative activities consistent with the University’s strategic missions and signature areas.

Strategies:

1. Engage accomplished scholars to provide mentoring and leadership for key research and creative initiatives.
2. Increase the number of endowed faculty positions and postdoctoral, graduate, and undergraduate fellowships.
3. Support faculty, student, and staff entrepreneurial activity to develop new areas of excellence.
4. Implement university-wide mechanisms to provide attractive start-up packages for faculty and reward systems that recruit and retain world class faculty and staff.
5. Leverage the skills of non-tenure track faculty to promote research growth.
6. Increase the application of and public access to the results of scholarly and creative activities.

Performance Measure: The number of grant applications supporting or requiring interdisciplinary activities in which two or more faculty from different departments are listed as Co-Principal Investigators.

Benchmark: 20%
Rationale: Increased from 10% in FY2009 to 25% in FY2013; sustainable growth is our goal.

Performance Measure: Funding from competitive federally funded grants per full-time instruction and research faculty.

Benchmark: $150,000
Rationale: Increased from $128k to $153k from FY2010 through FY2013; sustainable growth is our goal.

Objective B: Enable faculty, student, and staff engagement in interdisciplinary scholarship and creative activity.

Strategies:

1. Expand opportunities for ongoing interactions among faculty, students, and staff to identify areas of common interest.
2. Increase support for graduate and undergraduate interdisciplinary research and
creativity.
3. Develop clear criteria for evaluating engaged scholarship.
4. Increase the national and international visibility of the University’s contributions to interdisciplinary activities.
5. Partner with other educational institutions, industry, not-for-profits, and public agencies to expand resources and expertise.
6. Facilitate the submission of large, interdisciplinary proposals to obtain funding and to sustain successful projects.

*Performance Measure:* Percent of undergraduate degrees conferred in STEM fields.
*Benchmark:* Peer median (most recent value was 32%)
*Rationale:* Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics fields are essential in our highly technological society; these degree recipients contribute disproportionately to the Idaho economy.

**Goal 3: Outreach and Engagement Goal:** Meet society’s critical needs by engaging in mutually beneficial partnerships.

**Context:** As the state’s land-grant institution, the University of Idaho is uniquely positioned to expand its impact in Idaho and beyond. We seek to achieve that end through engagement—working across disciplines; integrating teaching, research, and outreach; and partnering with constituents for the mutually beneficial exchange of knowledge and resources.

**Objective A:** Develop processes, systems, and rewards that foster faculty, staff, and student outreach and engagement.

**Strategies:**

1. Increase the internal visibility of our outreach and engagement activities to facilitate interaction and develop synergies across the university.
2. Develop clear criteria for evaluating outreach and engagement.
3. Recognize and reward engagement with communities, businesses, non-profits, and agencies.
4. Develop an infrastructure and streamline administrative processes to coordinate outreach and engagement efforts.
5. Communicate best practices for development and implementation of outreach and engagement projects.

*Performance Measure:* Evidence of an institutional commitment to supporting faculty outreach and engagement activities in each strategic area noted above.
*Benchmark:* Qualitative and quantitative evidence indicating progress in each area.
*Rationale:* Demonstrating progress in this area requires a mixed-methods approach, which will include noting establishment of distinct organizational structures, changes in annual position descriptions, promotion and tenure policies, recognition from national agencies (e.g. Carnegie Classification for Engagement, US Presidential Higher Education Community Service Honor Role, Magrath and Kellogg Foundation Engagement Awards).
Objective B: Strengthen and expand mutually beneficial partnerships with stakeholders in Idaho and beyond.

Strategies:

1. Increase opportunities for faculty and students to connect with external constituents. Develop new partnerships with others who are addressing high priority issues.
2. Increase student participation in defining and delivering experiential learning opportunities.
3. Increase the external visibility of our outreach and engagement activities.
4. Coordinate plans to increase external funding for outreach and engagement.

Performance Measure: Percentage of students participating in service learning activities, as reported by the University of Idaho Service Learning Center and the ASUI Volunteerism Center.
Benchmark: One-third of the total student body (approximately 3200 students) will engage in community service activities.
Rationale: Over the course of the 2012-2013 academic year approximately 33% of University of Idaho students participated in 98 service-learning activities and provided more than 150,000 hours of service to more than 160 community organizations throughout Idaho.

Goal 4: Community and Culture Goal: Be a purposeful, ethical, vibrant, and open community.

Context: Our community is characterized by openness, trust, and respect. We value all members for their unique contributions, innovation, and individuality. Our community and culture must adapt to change, seek multiple perspectives, and seize opportunity. We are committed to a culture of service, internally and externally. We value a diverse community for enhanced creativity, cultural richness, and an opportunity to apply our full intellectual capacity to the challenges facing Idaho, the nation, and the world.

Objective A: Be a community committed to access and inclusion.

Strategies:

1. Recruit and retain a diverse student body.
2. Recruit and retain diverse faculty and staff.
3. Expand opportunities for cultural competency training.
4. Build extended community partnerships to enhance an environment that values diversity.

Performance Measure: Percentage of disadvantaged minority students, faculty and staff.
Benchmark: Meet or exceed peer medians (most recently 13% of students, 5% of faculty and 7% of staff).
Rationale: The diversity of our campus should be compared with our land-grant, high research peer institutions’ diversity.

Objective B: Be a community committed to civility and respect.
Strategies:

1. Promote civil and respectful dialogue and debate both in and out of the classroom.
2. Increase systematic, consistent, and productive responses to behaviors that are destructive to the community.
3. Promote a sense of concern for and accountability to others.

Performance Measure: Percentages of faculty, staff and students who report positive experiences on surveys conducted periodically to assess the culture and climate. These include the every-third-year HERI/UCLA Faculty and UI Staff surveys, and the annual Graduating Senior Survey.

Benchmark: Peer medians when available, prior results if not (95% for students, 75% for faculty and 88% for staff).

Rationale: The periodic surveys listed above provide historical data suitable for trend analyses. The UI Diversity Task Force is also in the process of studying these issues and developing additional measures.

Objective C: Be a community committed to productivity, sustainability, and innovation.

Strategies:

1. Reward individuals and units that aim high, work across boundaries, and capitalize on strengths to advance the overall strategic direction, vision, and values of the institution.
2. Develop and promote activities to increase collaboration with new and unique partners.
3. Energize the community and foster commitment to university-wide endeavors by communicating our successes.
4. Create efficiencies through innovative collaboration, shared goals, and common experiences.
5. Invigorate the community by promoting attitudes of leadership and excellence.
6. Steward our financial assets, infrastructure, and human resources to optimize performance.

Performance Measure: For finances, the institution primary reserve ratio.

Benchmark: The institution primary reserve ratio, as reported by UI Business Systems and Accounting Services, should be comparable to the advisable level of reserves established by NACUBO, which was most recently 40%.

Rationale: This benchmark is based on NACUBO recommendations.

Key External Factors

State Board of Education (SBOE): Achievement of strategic goals and objectives assumes SBOE support and commitment to UI’s unique role and mission.
Funding: Economic conditions will play an important role in the perceived value and
effectiveness of higher education in the coming years. On-going and appropriate levels of
funding from state and federal sources will be critical for the success of our strategic plan.
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Focus on Effectiveness
Mission Statement

Boise State University is a public, metropolitan research university providing leadership in academics, research, and civic engagement. The university offers an array of undergraduate degrees and experiences that foster student success, lifelong learning, community engagement, innovation, and creativity. Research, creative activity and graduate programs, including select doctoral degrees, advance new knowledge and benefit the community, the state and the nation. The university is an integral part of its metropolitan environment and is engaged in its economic vitality, policy issues, professional and continuing education programming, and cultural enrichment.

Core Themes

Each core theme describes a key aspect of our mission. A complete description can be accessed at http://academics.boisestate.edu/planning/accreditation-standard-one/.

Undergraduate Education. Our university provides access to high quality undergraduate education that cultivates the personal and professional growth of our students and meets the educational needs of our community, state, and nation. We engage our students and focus on their success.

Graduate Education. Our university provides access to graduate education that addresses the needs of our region, is meaningful in a global context, is respected for its high quality, and is delivered within a supportive graduate culture.

Research and Creative Activity. Through our endeavors in basic and applied research and in creative activity, our researchers, artists, and students create knowledge and understanding of our world and of ourselves, and transfer that knowledge to provide societal, economic, and cultural benefits. Students are integral to our faculty research and creative activity.

Community Commitment. The university is a vital part of the community, and our commitment to the community extends beyond our educational programs, research, and creative activity. We collaborate in the development of partnerships that address community and university issues. The community and university share knowledge and expertise with each other. We look to the community to inform our goals, actions, and measures of success. We work with the community to create a rich mix of culture, learning experiences, and entertainment that educates and enriches the lives of our citizens. Our campus culture and climate promote civility, inclusivity and collegiality.

Vision for Strategic Plan

Boise State University aspires to be a research university known for the finest undergraduate education in the region, and outstanding research and graduate programs. With its exceptional faculty, staff and student body, and its location in the heart of a thriving metropolitan area, the university will be viewed as an engine that drives the Idaho economy, providing significant return on public investment.
**Focus on Effectiveness: A Strategic Plan for Boise State University**  
*Initially developed for the years 2012-2017*  
*Updated in this document to cover the fiscal years 2016-2020*  
(* denotes system-wide measure required by SBOE*)

**Goal 1:** Create a signature, high-quality educational experience for all students.

**Objectives:**
- Develop the Foundational Studies Program into a memorable centerpiece of the undergraduate experience.
- Provide bountiful opportunities within and across disciplines for experiential learning.
- Facilitate respect for the diversity of human cultures, institutions, and experiences in curricular and co-curricular education.
- Cultivate intellectual community among students and faculty.
- Invest in faculty development, innovative pedagogies, and an engaging environment for learning.

### Goal 1: Key Performance Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% students achieving University Learning Outcomes</th>
<th>Recent data</th>
<th>Performance Targets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Written &amp; oral communication (ULOs 1-2)</td>
<td>New program: Fall 2012</td>
<td>Initial assessment of ULO’s 1, 3, 5, 6 in spring 2015 via ePortfolios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Critical inquiry, innovation, teamwork (ULOs 3-4)</td>
<td>New program: Fall 2012</td>
<td>90% of graduates rated as “good” or “exemplary”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Civic &amp; Ethical foundations (ULOs 5-6)</td>
<td>New program: Fall 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NSSE benchmark measures of student perception of quality of educational experience (as % of urban peer rating; for seniors only):</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>For FY2016</th>
<th>For FY2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Level of academic challenge</td>
<td>97.1%</td>
<td>97.8%</td>
<td>98.2%</td>
<td>98.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Active and collaborative learning</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>102.0%</td>
<td>96.5%</td>
<td>97.9%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Student-faculty interaction</td>
<td>93.4%</td>
<td>96.9%</td>
<td>87.0%</td>
<td>90.8%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Enriching educational experience</td>
<td>99.4%</td>
<td>96.7%</td>
<td>95.9%</td>
<td>93.0%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Supportive campus environment</td>
<td>93.6%</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
<td>90.1%</td>
<td>88.3%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1 % of graduating undergraduates who achieve a competency of “exemplary” or “good” for each of ULOs 1-6 (Intellectual foundations and Civic & ethical foundations) and for ULO 7-11 (Disciplinary areas). The ULOs are based on the “LEAP” program of the AAC&U, and are incorporated into our Foundational Studies Program.
Goal 2: Facilitate the timely attainment of educational goals of our diverse student population.

Objectives:
- Identify and remove barriers to graduation.
- Bring classes to students using advanced technologies and multiple delivery formats.
- Design and implement innovative policies and processes that facilitate student success.
- Connect students with university services that address their individual needs.
- Ensure that faculty and staff understand their roles and responsibilities in facilitating student success.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 2: Key Performance Measures</th>
<th>Recent data</th>
<th>Performance Targets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number degree graduates (distinct by award level)*</td>
<td>FY 2011</td>
<td>FY 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Associate</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Baccalaureate</td>
<td>2,411</td>
<td>2,584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SBOE target for baccalaureate graduates¹)</td>
<td>(2,127)</td>
<td>(2,270)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Graduate Certificate</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Master’s</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Doctoral</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baccalaureate graduates per 3-year average FTE⁴</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate degree graduates per 3-year average FTE⁵*</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual enrollment **</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;# credits produced</td>
<td>9,435</td>
<td>10,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;# students served</td>
<td>2,030</td>
<td>2,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eCampus (Distance Education)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Student Credit Hours</td>
<td>52,590</td>
<td>55,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Distinct Students Enrolled</td>
<td>9,147</td>
<td>9,381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Percent first-time, full-time freshmen retained to year 2</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
<td>71.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Percent full-time transfers retained or graduated by year 2</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
<td>73.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Distinct graduates by award level, totaled for summer, fall, and spring terms. Note that these totals cannot be summed to get the overall distinct graduate count due to some students earning more than one award (e.g., graduate certificate and a master’s) in the same year.

² Number in parentheses is the SBOE target for the # of baccalaureate graduates as per PPGA agenda materials, August 12, 2012, Tab 10 page 3.

³ Includes the unduplicated number of annual baccalaureate degree graduates divided by a three-year running average of FTE. FTE are determined using PSR1 Annual methodology of total annual credits taken by degree-seeking undergraduates divided by 30.

⁴ Includes unduplicated number of annual graduate certificates and master’s and doctoral degree graduates divided by a three-year running average of FTE. FTE are determined using PSR1 Annual methodology of total annual credits taken by degree-seeking graduate students divided by 24.

⁵ Includes unduplicated number of annual graduate certificates and master’s and doctoral degree graduates divided by a three-year running average of FTE. FTE are determined using PSR1 Annual methodology of total annual credits taken by degree-seeking graduate students divided by 30.

⁶ Dual enrollment credits and students are measures of activity that occur over the entire year at multiple locations using various delivery methods. When providing measures of this activity, counts over the full year (instead of by term) provide the most complete picture of the number of unduplicated students that are enrolled and the number of credits earned. Reflects data from the annual Dual Credit report to the Board.

⁷ Retention is measured as the percent of first-time, full-time baccalaureate-seeking freshmen cohort retaining to enroll the subsequent year. Transfer retention reflects the percent of the full-time baccalaureate-seeking transfer cohort that returned to enroll the following year or graduated by that time.
Goal 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Success and Progress Rate (at six years)§</th>
<th>F2005 cohort</th>
<th>F2006 cohort</th>
<th>F2007 cohort</th>
<th>F2008 Cohort</th>
<th>Fall 2010 Cohort</th>
<th>Fall 2013 Cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;First-time, full-time Freshmen cohort</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Full-time Transfer student cohort</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-year graduation rate of first-time, full-time freshmen</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NSSE student rating of administrative offices (as % of urban peer average score)</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>For FY2016</th>
<th>For FY2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>98.4%</td>
<td>94.5%</td>
<td>97.1%</td>
<td>96.9%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures required by OSBE but not used by Boise State</th>
<th>FY 2011</th>
<th>FY 2012</th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>For FY2016</th>
<th>For FY2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Degrees and Certificates Awarded§*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Professional Technical Degrees &amp; Certificates</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Associate</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Baccalaureate</td>
<td>2,575</td>
<td>2,770</td>
<td>2,882</td>
<td>2,901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Graduate Certificate</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Master’s</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>640</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Doctoral</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Unduplicated graduates per 3-YR average FTE##*         |         |         |         |         |             |             |
| >Undergraduate                                        | 18.3    | 19.4    | 19.8    | 20.0    | 21.0       | 22.5        |

§ “Success and Graduation Rate” is used by the Voluntary System of Accountability to provide a more comprehensive view of progress and attainment than can be provided by measures such as the 6-year graduation rate or the 1-year retention rate. The rate equals the total percent of students who fall into one of the following groups: graduated from or are still enrolled at Boise State, or graduated or still enrolled elsewhere.

§§ Reflects the number of awards made (first major, second major, plus certificates as reported to IPEDS). This is greater than the number of graduating students because some graduating students received multiple awards.

## Includes the unduplicated number of annual undergraduate degree graduates (Associate plus Bachelor’s) divided by a three-year running average of FTE. FTE are determined using PSR1 Annual methodology of total annual credits taken by degree-seeking undergraduates divided by 30. Boise State focuses on the ratio pertaining to baccalaureate graduates since that is our primary mission.
**Goal 3:** Gain distinction as a doctoral research university.

**Objectives:**
- Recruit, retain, and support highly qualified faculty, staff, and students from diverse backgrounds.
- Identify and invest in select areas of excellence with the greatest potential for economic, societal, and cultural benefit.
- Build select doctoral programs with a priority in professional and STEM disciplines.
- Build infrastructure to keep pace with growing research and creative activity.
- Design systems to support and reward interdisciplinary collaboration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 3: Key Performance Measures</th>
<th>Recent data</th>
<th>Performance Targets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Research &amp; Development Expenditures</strong>&lt;br&gt;(as reported to the National Science Foundation)</td>
<td>FY 2011 $24.2M</td>
<td>FY 2012 $27.9M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of doctoral graduates (PhD and EdD)</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New doctoral programs</strong></td>
<td>No new doctoral programs</td>
<td>Fall 2012 start: PhD Biomolecular Science; PhD Material Science &amp; Engineering; EdD Educational Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of peer-reviewed publications over 5-year period</strong>&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CY 2007-11 1,225</td>
<td>CY 2008-12 1,317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Citations of publications by Boise State authors over five year span</strong>&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CY 2007-11 4,998</td>
<td>CY 2008-12 5,351</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>11</sup> # of publications over five year span with Boise State listed as an address for one or more authors; from Web of Science.

<sup>12</sup> Total citations, during the listed five year span, of peer-reviewed publications published in that same five year span, limited to those publications with Boise State listed as an address for at least one author. From Web of Science.

http://library.boisestate.edu/researchindicators/index.php
Goal 4: Align university programs and activities with community needs.

Objectives:
- Include community impact in the creation and assessment of university programs and activities.
- Leverage knowledge and expertise within the community to develop mutually beneficial partnerships.
- Collaborate with external partners to increase Idaho students’ readiness for and enrollment in higher education.
- Increase student recruitment, retention, and graduation in STEM disciplines.
- Evaluate our institutional impact and effectiveness on a regular basis and publicize results.

Goal 4: Key Performance Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 4: Key Performance Measures</th>
<th>Recent data</th>
<th>Performance Targets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FY 2011</td>
<td>FY 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of graduates with high impact on Idaho’s college completion rate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baccalaureate graduates traditionally underrepresented groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;from rural counties</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;from ethnic minorities</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baccalaureate graduates who are Idaho residents</td>
<td>2,188</td>
<td>2,264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baccalaureate graduates who started as Idaho community college transfers (in Transfer Cohort)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of graduates in high demand disciplines (bachelor’s, master’s, doctoral)</strong></td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of STEM graduates (bachelor’s, STEM education, master’s, doctoral)</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of employers listing career-level jobs with BroncoJobs</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students Participating in Courses with Service Learning Component</td>
<td>2,577</td>
<td>2,648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of students requiring remedial coursework</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnegie Foundation Community Engagement Classification recognizing community partnerships and curricular engagement</td>
<td>Boise State was one of 76 recipients of the 2006 inaugural awarding of this designation</td>
<td>Boise State’s Community Engagement Classification was renewed in Spring 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

13 Distinct number of graduates who began college as members of one or more in the following groups traditionally underrepresented as college graduates: (i) from a rural county in Boise State’s 10 county service area (Ada and Canyon counties are excluded) and (ii) identified as American Indian/Alaska Native or Hispanic/Latino.

14 “Rural counties” is defined as the ten service area counties minus Ada and Canyon counties.

15 Defined as distinct number of graduates in those disciplines appropriate for the top 25% of jobs listed by the Idaho Department of Labor, based on projected # of openings 2008-2018.

16 STEM refers to Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math. We define STEM disciplines as being included in either or both of the NSF-defined list of STEM disciplines and the NCES-defined list of STEM disciplines. We also include STEM secondary education graduates.

17 Includes all new Idaho students who have been out of high school 1 year or less needing to complete remedial coursework.
**Goal 5:** Transform our operations to serve the contemporary mission of the university.

**Objectives:**

- Reinvent our academic and business practices to improve service and efficiency.
- Simplify or eliminate policies and regulations that waste effort and resources.
- Invest in faculty and staff to develop key competencies and motivate top performance.
- Break down silos that inhibit communication, collaboration and creativity.
- Provide widespread and timely access to reliable and understandable data, and use it to drive decision-making across the university.
- Build an infrastructure to encourage and accommodate external funding, philanthropic support, private-sector relationships, and a diversity of funding models.
- Develop and implement a model for resource allocation that supports strategic goals and promotes innovation, effectiveness, and responsible risk-taking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 5: Key Performance Measures</th>
<th>Recent data</th>
<th>Performance Targets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost of education</strong>&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt; (resident undergrad with 15-cr load per semester; tuition &amp; fees per year)</td>
<td>Boise State&gt;</td>
<td>$5,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WICHE avg&gt;</td>
<td>$6,005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BSU as % of W&gt;</td>
<td>88.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expense per EWA</strong>&lt;sup&gt;19&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2011 $&gt;</td>
<td>$235.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted SCH delivered: Undergraduate Only&lt;sup&gt;19&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Unadjusted&gt;</td>
<td>$235.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expense per EWA</strong>&lt;sup&gt;19&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2011 $&gt;</td>
<td>$218.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted SCH delivered: Undergraduate and Graduate</td>
<td>Unadjusted&gt;</td>
<td>$218.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Distinct baccalaureate graduates per $100k undergraduate expense</strong>&lt;sup&gt;20&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2011 $&gt;</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted&gt;</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Distinct baccalaureate, grad certificate, and graduate degree graduates per $100k total undergraduate and graduate expenses</strong>&lt;sup&gt;21&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2011 $&gt;</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted&gt;</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<sup>18</sup> WICHE average from Table 1a of annual Tuition and Fees report. We use the average without California. A typical report can be found at [http://www.wiche.edu/info/publications/Tuition_and_Fees2012-13.pdf](http://www.wiche.edu/info/publications/Tuition_and_Fees2012-13.pdf)

<sup>19</sup> Expense information is from the Cost of College study, which is produced yearly by Boise State’s controller office. Includes the all categories of expense: Instruction/Student Services (Instruction, Academic Support, Student Services, Library), Institutional/Facilities (Cultural, Religious Life and Recreation, Museums, Gardens, etc., Net Cost of Intercollegiate Athletics, Net Cost of Other Auxiliary Operations, Plant Operations, Depreciation: Facilities, Depreciation: Equipment, Facility Fees Charged Directly to Students, Interest, Institutional Support), and Financial Aid. “Undergraduate only” uses Undergraduate costs and the sum of EWA weighted credit hours for remedial, lower division, upper division. “Undergraduate and graduate” uses undergraduate and graduate expenses, and includes EWA weighed credit hours from the undergraduate and graduate levels.

<sup>20</sup> Expense information is from the Cost of College study. Distinct graduates reflect unduplicated numbers of baccalaureate graduates for summer, fall, and spring terms.

<sup>21</sup> Expense information is from the Cost of College study and includes undergraduate and graduate expenses. Distinct graduates reflect unduplicated numbers of graduates at the baccalaureate, graduate certificate, and graduate degree (master’s and doctoral) levels for summer, fall, and spring terms.
Goal 5 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures required by OSBE but not used by Boise State</th>
<th>CPI adjusted?</th>
<th>FY 2011</th>
<th>FY 2012</th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>For FY2016</th>
<th>For FY2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distinct undergraduate graduates (baccalaureate plus associate) per $100k undergraduate expense</td>
<td><strong>In 2011 $$/ Unadjusted</strong></td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>No decrease in CPI adjusted # per $100k</td>
<td>No decrease in CPI adjusted # per $100k</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

22 Expense information includes undergraduate costs from the Cost of College study. Distinct undergraduate graduates include unduplicated associate’s and baccalaureate degree completers for summer, fall, and spring terms.
### Mapping of Boise State University’s Strategic Plan onto the SBOE Strategic Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boise State Strategic Goals →</th>
<th>Goal 1: Create a signature, high-quality education experience for all students</th>
<th>Goal 2: Facilitate the timely attainment of educational goals of our diverse student population.</th>
<th>Goal 3: Gain distinction as a doctoral research university</th>
<th>Goal 4: Align university programs and activities with community needs.</th>
<th>Goal 5: Transform our operations to serve the contemporary mission of the university.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal 1: A well-educated citizenry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective A: Access - Set policy and advocate for increasing access for individuals of all ages, abilities, and economic means to Idaho’s P-20 educational system.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective B: Higher level of educational attainment - Increase the educational attainment of all Idahoans through participation and retention in Idaho’s educational system.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective C: Adult learner re-Integration - Improve the processes and increase the options for re-integration of adult learners into the education system.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective D: Transition – Improve the ability of the educational system to meet educational needs and allow students to efficiently and effectively transition into the workforce.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 2: Critical Thinking and innovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective A: Critical Thinking, Innovation and Creativity – Increase research and development of new ideas into solutions that benefit society.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective B: Quality Instruction - Increase student performance through the development, recruitment, and retention of a diverse and highly qualified workforce of teachers, faculty, and staff.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 3: Effective and Efficient Delivery Systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective A: Cost Effective and Fiscally Prudent - Increased productivity and cost-effectiveness.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective B: Data-informed Decision Making - Increase the quality, thoroughness, and accessibility of data for informed decision-making and continuous improvement of Idaho’s educational system.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boise State Strategic Goals</td>
<td>Complete College Idaho Strategic Goals</td>
<td>Goal 1: Create a signature, high-quality education experience for all students</td>
<td>Goal 2: Facilitate the timely attainment of educational goals of our diverse student population.</td>
<td>Goal 3: Gain distinction as a doctoral research university</td>
<td>Goal 4: Align university programs and activities with community needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRENGTHEN THE PIPELINE</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure College and Career Readiness</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop Intentional Advising Along the K-20 Continuum that Links Education with Careers</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Accelerated High School to Postsecondary and Career Pathways</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSFORM REMEDIATION</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarify and Implement College and Career Readiness Education and Assessments</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a Statewide Model for Transformation of Remedial Placement and Support</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide three options: Co-requisite, Emporium, or Accelerated</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRUCTURE FOR SUCCESS</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicate Strong, Clear, and Guaranteed Statewide Articulation and Transfer Options</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REWARD PROGRESS &amp; COMPLETION</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish Metrics and Accountability Tied to Institutional Mission</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognize and Reward Performance</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redesign the State's Current Offerings of Financial Support for Postsecondary Students</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEVERAGE PARTNERSHIPS</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengthen Collaborations Between Education and Business/Industry Partners</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Access Network</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEM Education</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key External Factors

A wide variety of factors affect Boise State University's ability to implement our strategic plan. Here we present three factors that we regard as impediments to progress and that can be influenced by the state government and its agencies.

Lack of funding of Enrollment Workload Adjustment. Lack of consistent funding for the Enrollment Workload Adjustment, especially during the recession, has resulted in a significant base funding reduction to Boise State University. As a result, Boise State University students receive less appropriated funding compared to other Idaho universities.

Administrative Oversight. Boise State University is subject to substantial administrative oversight through the State of Idaho Department of Administration and other Executive agencies. Significant operational areas subject to this oversight include capital projects, personnel and benefit management, and risk and insurance. The additional oversight results in increased costs due to additional bureaucracy and in decreased accountability because of less transparency in process. The current system places much of the authority with the Department of Administration and the other agencies, but funding responsibility and ultimate accountability for performance with the State Board of Education and the University. As a result, two levels of monitoring and policy exist, which is costly, duplicative, and compromises true accountability. In 2010, the state legislature passed legislation that exempted the University, under certain conditions, from oversight by the State’s Division of Purchasing. As a result, the university has streamlined policy and procedure and has gained substantial efficiencies in work process and in customer satisfaction, while at the same time maintaining the integrity of the purchasing process. Additional relief from administrative oversight in other areas should produce similar increases in efficiency and customer satisfaction.

Compliance. Increases in state and federal compliance requirements are a growing challenge in terms of cost and in terms of institutional effectiveness and efficiency.
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Mission

The mission of Idaho State University is to advance scholarly and creative endeavor through the creation of new knowledge, cutting-edge research, innovative artistic pursuits and high-quality academic instruction; to use these achievements to enhance technical, undergraduate, graduate, and professional education, health care services, and other services provided to the people of Idaho and the nation; and to develop citizens who will learn from the past, think critically about the present, and provide leadership to enrich the future in a diverse, global society.

Idaho State University is a public research institution which serves a diverse population through its broad educational programming and basic, translational, and clinical research. Idaho State University serves and engages its communities with health care clinics and services, professional technical training, early college opportunities, and economic development activities. The University provides leadership in the health professions and related biomedical and pharmaceutical sciences, as well as serving the region and the nation through its environmental science and energy programs.

STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Goal 1: LEARNING AND DISCOVERY – Idaho State University promotes an environment that supports learning and discovery through the many synergies that exist among teaching, learning, research and scholarly activities.

Objective 1.1 ISU provides a rich learning environment, in and out of the classroom.

Performance Measures
1.1.1 Number of online course sections offered.
1.1.2 Number of students participating in Career Path Internships.
1.1.3 Number of high school students participating in ISU dual credit courses.

Benchmarks:
1.1.1 900 course sections
1.1.2 600 CPI students
1.1.3 1,800 dual credit students

Objective 1.2 ISU provides a dynamic curriculum to ensure programs are current, relevant, and meet student and workforce needs.
Performance Measure:
1.2.1 Number of certificate and degree programs begun/expanded/revised; and number of certificate and degree programs discontinued.

Benchmark:
1.2.1 Number of new programs approximately equal to number of programs discontinued.

Objective 1.3 Undergraduate and graduate students participate in undergraduate teaching.

Performance Measures
1.3.1 Number of graduate assistantships and fellowships with teaching responsibilities.
1.3.2 Number of students employed as English, math, and content area tutors.

Benchmarks:
1.3.1 Increase graduate teaching assistants by 10 over the next 3 years.
1.3.2 Maintain adequate numbers of tutors to meet student need.

Objective 1.4 Undergraduate and graduate students engage in research and creative/scholarly activity.

Performance Measures
1.4.1 Number of students employed to work with a faculty member on research/creativity activities.
1.4.2 Number of students who participate each year in ISU’s research symposia.

Benchmarks:
1.4.1 Increase by 3% per year for next five years.
1.4.2 Increase to 250 students per year.

Objective 1.5 The core faculty is actively engaged in research and creative/scholarly activity.

Performance Measures
1.5.1 Faculty scholarly productivity, as demonstrated by the number of publications, juried shows, exhibits, performances, and other scholarly activities.
1.5.2 Number of proposals submitted for external funding, number funded, and total amount of funding received.

Benchmarks:
1.5.1 This is a new performance measure; data will be obtained from Activity Insight, to be implemented fall 2013 (this is an electronic curriculum vitae and workload program).
1.5.2 Increase the number of proposals submitted, number funded and total amount of funding by 3% per year for next 5 years.

Objective 1.6 Graduates of ISU’s programs are well prepared to enter the workforce and/or continue their education at the graduate and professional levels.

Performance Measures
1.6.1 Pass rates on professional licensure and certification exams.
1.6.2 Placement rates of graduates from academic, professional, and professional-technical programs.

Benchmarks:
1.6.1 Maintain pass rates at or above the national averages for each program where national data are available.
1.6.2 Maintain placement rates at or above the national averages for each program where national data are available.
Goal 2: ACCESS AND OPPORTUNITY – Idaho State University provides diverse opportunities for students with a broad range of educational preparation and backgrounds to enter the University and climb the curricular ladder so that they may reach their intellectual potential and achieve their educational goals.

Objective 2.1 Support services provided to enhance retention are utilized by students.

Performance Measures

2.1.1 Number of face-to-face advising contacts provided to undergraduate students by the central academic advising office.
2.1.2 Number of full-time freshmen students who participate in First Year Seminar and ACAD courses.
2.1.3 Average amount of need-based and merit-based financial aid/scholarships awarded to students.
2.1.4 Number of hours the content area tutoring, math and writing centers are utilized.

Benchmarks:

2.1.1 Maintain sufficient access to Central Academic Advising.
2.1.2 Increase to 50% over the next 3 years.
2.1.3 To be determined (based on changes in federal and state financial aid/scholarship programs).
2.1.4 To be determined (based on SBOE changes to the remedial education delivery models).

Objective 2.2 Students’ progression from initial enrollment to graduation is monitored, and efforts to increase enrollment, retention and completion are in place (e.g., targeted recruitment, optimal scheduling of courses, early warning system to help students in need, etc.).

Performance Measures (red text indicates 2013-2014 SBOE-required measures for all institutions)

2.2.1 Average time to degree completion by college for full-time and part-time students.
2.2.2 Retention rates from freshman to sophomore and sophomore to junior years, for full-time and part-time students.
2.2.3 Cost per weighted credit hour to deliver undergraduate education.
2.2.4 Completion of undergraduate certificates (1 year or greater) and degrees per $100,000 of education and related spending (i.e., full cost of instruction and student services, plus the portion of institutional support and maintenance assigned to instruction).
2.2.5 Total degree production (split by undergraduate/graduate).
2.2.6 Unduplicated headcount of graduates and percent of graduates to total unduplicated headcount (split by undergraduate/graduate).
2.2.7 Total full-time new and transfer students that are retained or graduate the following year (excluding death, military service, and mission).

Benchmarks:

2.2.1 Positively impact time to degree by 5% over next 3 years.
2.2.2 Positively impact retention rates by 5% over next 3 years.
2.2.3 Positively impact by 5% over next 3 years.
2.2.4 Positively impact this ratio by 5% over next 3 years.
2.2.5 Increase undergraduate and graduate awards by 5% over the next 3 years.
2.2.6 Positively impact this ratio by 5% over next 3 years.
2.2.7 Increase retention rate to 75% over the next 3 years.

Objective 2.3 Students who require remedial coursework are successful in completing their
2.3.1 Percent of students who successfully complete required remedial courses.  
2.3.2 Retention rates (fall to fall) of students who complete remedial courses.  

**Benchmarks:**  
2.3.1 To be determined based on changes to be made by the SBOE on remediation delivery models.  
2.3.2 Increase retention rate to 70% over the next 3 years.

**Objective 2.4** Students who enter with college credits earned while in high school (dual credit) are successful in completing their certificate or degree programs.  

**Performance Measures**  
2.4.1 Total number of students enrolled in ISU’s Early College program, and total number of credits earned.  

**Benchmark:**  
2.4.1 Increase total number of students (unduplicated headcount) to 1,800, and increase total student credit hours generated to 10,800 over the next 3 years.

**Objective 2.5** Students participate in community and service learning projects and activities, student organizations, and learning communities.  

**Performance Measures**  
2.5.1 Number of student organizations, and annual number of students participating in those organizations.  

**Benchmarks:**  
2.5.1 Increase number of students participating in student organizations to 4,500 over next 3 years.

**Goal 3 THREE: LEADERSHIP IN THE HEALTH SCIENCES** – Idaho State University values its established leadership in the health sciences with primary emphasis in the health professions. We offer a broad spectrum of undergraduate, graduate, and postgraduate training. We deliver health-related services and patient care throughout the State in our clinics and postgraduate residency training sites. We are committed to meeting the health professions workforce needs in Idaho. We support professional development, continuing education, and TeleHealth services. We are active in Health Sciences research.

**Objective 3.1** A broad array of health professions certificate and degree programs are offered, many statewide.  

**Performance Measures**  
3.1.1 Number of certificate and degree programs offered, and number of students enrolled, in ISU’s health professions programs.  
3.1.2 Percent of graduates of ISU health professions programs who obtain employment in Idaho.  
3.1.3 Pass rates on clinical licensure and certification exams in the health professions.  

**Benchmarks:**  
3.1.1 Maintain number of health professions programs offered, and maintain enrollments at or near program capacity.
3.1.2 To be determined (Data to be obtained in the future from the State Longitudinal Data System (SLDS).
3.1.3 Maintain pass rates at or above the national averages, where national data is available.

Objective 3.2 ISU serves the State, the public, and its health professions students through its clinics and other community health venues.

Performance Measures
3.2.1 Number of patient visits to ISU clinics and clinical services.
3.2.2 Number of people served by ISU’s community health fairs and screening events.

Benchmarks:
3.2.1 Number of patient visits will increase by 5% over the next 3 years.
3.2.2 Number of people attending these events will increase by 5% over the next 3 years.

Objective 3.3 ISU faculty and students engage in basic, translational, and clinical research in the health sciences.

Performance Measures
3.3.1 Number of faculty engaged in research in the health and biomedical sciences.
3.3.2 Amount of external funding received for health-related and biomedical research.
3.3.3 Number of students participating in clinical research/scholarly activity as part of their degree program.

Benchmarks:
3.3.1 Increase to 40 faculty over the next 3 years.
3.3.2 Funding will increase by 3% per year over the next 3 years.
3.3.3 Increase to 750 students over the next 3 years.

Goal 4: COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND IMPACT – Idaho State University, including its outreach campuses and centers, is an integral component of the local communities, the State and the intermountain region, and benefits the economic health, business development, environment, and arts and culture in the communities it serves.

Objective 4.1 ISU directly contributes to the economic well-being of the State, region, and communities it serves.

Performance Measure:
4.1.1 Total economic impact of the University.

Benchmark:
4.1.1 Total economic impact will increase by 5% over the next 5 years.

Objective 4.2 Campus resource conservation efforts have been initiated; and students and faculty conduct research in the areas of environment and in energy to benefit the State.

Performance Measure:
4.2.1 Resource conservation efforts initiated.

Benchmark:
4.2.1 ISU’s efforts to conserve campus resources will continue to be developed.
Objective 4.3  ISU participates in formal and informal partnerships with other entities and stakeholders.

Performance Measure:
4.3.1  Number of active ISU partnerships, collaborative agreements, and contracts with public agencies and private entities.

Benchmark:
4.3.1  Number of partnerships, collaborative agreements, and contracts will increase by 5% over the next 5 years.

Goal 5: STEWARDSHIP OF INSTITUTIONAL RESOURCES – The University has policies and procedures in place to ensure the effective and efficient use of its internal resources to address its infrastructure requirements and to meet the needs of its various constituent groups.

Objective 5.1  The institutional reserves meet the Board’s expectations based on best practices.

Performance Measures:
5.1.1  Level of Institutional reserves as a percent of total operating budget.

Benchmark:
5.1.1  The institution maintains or exceeds reserves of 5% of total budget.

Objective 5.2  The institution continually assesses and periodically reviews its utilization of resources.

Performance Measure:
5.2.1  Number of academic, co-curricular, and non-academic program/unit reviews completed each year.

Benchmark:
5.2.1  All academic, co-curricular, and non-academic programs/units will be reviewed at least once every five years.
Key External Factors
(BEYOND DIRECT CONTROL OF IDAHO STATE UNIVERSITY)

Funding

Many Idaho State University strategic goals and objectives assume on-going and sometimes substantive additional levels of State legislative appropriations. Availability of state revenues, upon which appropriation levels depend, can be uncertain from year to year. Similarly, while gubernatorial and legislative support for ISU efforts are significant, priorities set by those bodies vary from year to year, affecting planning for institutional initiatives and priorities. When we experience several successive years of deep reductions in state appropriated funding, as has occurred in the recent past, it makes it increasingly difficult to plan for and implement strategic growth.

Legislation/Rules

Beyond funding considerations, many institutional and SBOE policies are embedded in state statute and are not under institutional control. Changes to statute desired by the institution are accomplished according to state guidelines. Proposed legislation, including both one-time and ongoing requests for appropriated funding, must be supported by the Governor, gain approval in the germane legislative committees, and pass both houses of the Legislature.

The recent directives related to creation of the Student Longitudinal Data System, revision of general education and remedial education, common core standards, Smarter Balance Assessment, Complete College America/Idaho, the 60% Goal, zero-based budgeting, performance-based funding, and the additional financial and institutional research reporting requirements have required the reallocation of staff resources and time and effort to comply.

Institutional and Specialized Accreditation Standards

The Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU), our regional accreditation body, recently initiated a new 7-year review cycle and a set of new standards. Similarly, the specialized accrediting bodies for our professional programs periodically make changes to their accreditation standards and requirements, which we must address.

ISU has the largest number of degree programs with specialized accreditation among the state institutions, which significantly increases the workload in these programs due to the requirements for data collection and preparation of periodic reports. The programs in the health professions are reliant on the availability of clerkship sites in the public and private hospitals, clinics, and medical offices within the state and region. The potential for growth in these programs is dependent on maintaining the student to faculty ratios mandated by the specialized accrediting bodies, as well as the availability of a sufficient number of appropriate clerkship sites for our students.
Federal Government

A great deal of educational and extramural research funding for ISU and the SBOE is provided by the federal government. Funding is often tied to specific federal programs and objectives, and therefore can greatly influence both education policy and extramurally-funded research agendas at the state and the institutional levels. The recent decrease in funding for Pell Grants has had a negative impact on need-based financial aid for our students. The impact of the sequestration-mandated federal budget reductions initiated in early 2013 will likely have a negative impact on higher education.

Local/Regional/National/Global Economic Outlook

Conventional wisdom has long tied cyclic economic trends to corresponding trends in higher education enrollments. While some recent factors have caused this long relationship to be shaken in terms of funding students have available for higher education, in general the perceived and actual economic outlooks experienced by students continues to affect both recruitment into our colleges and universities as well as degree progress and completion rates. A greater proportion of our students must work and therefore are less able to complete their education in a timely manner.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOAL 1: A WELL EDUCATED CITIZENRY</th>
<th>Learning and Discovery</th>
<th>Access and Opportunity</th>
<th>Leadership in the Health Sciences</th>
<th>Community Engagement and Impact</th>
<th>Stewardship of Institutional Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Set policy and advocate for increasing access for individuals of all ages, abilities, and economic means to Idaho’s P-20 educational system.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Postsecondary student enrollment by race/ethnicity/gender as compared against population.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the educational attainment of all Idahoans through participation and retention in Idaho’s educational system.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Percent of high school students enrolled and number of credits earned in duel credit.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Percent of first-year full-time freshmen returning for second year.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Number of postsecondary unduplicated students receiving awards (Associate, bachelor’s, master’s, doctoral degrees) each year.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve the processes and increase the options for re-integration of adult learners into the education system.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Number of bridge programs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Number of adults enrolled in upgrade and customized training.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Percent of first-year part-time freshmen returning for second year.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve the ability of the educational system to meet educational needs and allow students to efficiently and effectively transition into the workplace.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Number of degrees conferred in STEM fields.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Percent of students participating in internships.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Percent of students participating in undergraduate research.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✓ Indicates the specific SBOE’s Goals and Objectives that are supported by ISU’s Strategic Plan.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISU STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS</th>
<th>Learning and Discovery</th>
<th>Access and Opportunity</th>
<th>Leadership in the Health Sciences</th>
<th>Community Engagement and Impact</th>
<th>Stewardship of Institutional Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 2: CRITICAL THINKING AND INNOVATION</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase research and development of new ideas into solutions that benefit society.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Institution expenditures from competitive Federally funded grants.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Institution expenditures from competitive industry funded grants.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Number of sponsored projects involving the private sector.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Total amount of research expenditures.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase student performance through the development, recruitment and retention of a diverse and highly qualified workforce of teachers, faculty, and staff.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Percent of first-time students from public institution teacher training programs that pass the Praxis II</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 3: EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT DELIVERY SYSTEMS</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase productivity and cost-effectiveness.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cost per successfully completed weighted student credit hour.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Average net cost to attend public 4 year institution.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Average number of credits earned at completion of a degree program.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Institutional reserves comparable to best practice.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the quality, thoroughness, and accessibility of data for informed decision-making and continuous improvement of Idaho’s educational system.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Develop P-20 workforce longitudinal data system with the ability to access timely and relevant data.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicates the specific SBOE’s Goals and Objectives that are supported by ISU’s Strategic Plan.
Strategic Plan Performance Measure Data FY 2010 – FY 2014

*Notes: Data are presented where available. The university implemented a new enterprise resource planning (ERP) system in 2010. Comparable data from the legacy system may not be available for some measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISU Strategic Plan Goals and Objectives</th>
<th>Strategic Plan Performance Measures</th>
<th>FY 2010</th>
<th>FY 2011</th>
<th>FY 2012</th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>Current (FY 2014) Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal 1: Learning &amp; Discovery</td>
<td># online course sections</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>1,023</td>
<td>900 course sections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 ISU provides a rich learning environment</td>
<td># students in CPI program</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>600 CPI students</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 ISU provides a dynamic curriculum</td>
<td># dual credit students</td>
<td>1,559</td>
<td>1,434</td>
<td>1,668</td>
<td>1,914</td>
<td>2,111</td>
<td>1,800 dual credit students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Students participate in undergraduate teaching</td>
<td># teaching GTAs/Fellowships</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>Increase by 10 over the next 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># English, math, content area student tutors</td>
<td></td>
<td>191</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>Maintain adequate number of student tutors to meet need</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISU Strategic Plan Goals and Objectives</td>
<td>Strategic Plan Performance Measures</td>
<td>FY 2010</td>
<td>FY 2011</td>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>Current (FY 2014) Benchmarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 Students engage in research/creative activities</td>
<td># students employed to work with faculty on research projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase by 3% per year for next 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># students participating in research symposia</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>250 students per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 Core faculty engaged in research/creative activity</td>
<td># Faculty scholarly productivity output</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># proposals submitted for funding</td>
<td>398 Proposals</td>
<td>377 Proposals</td>
<td>378 Proposals</td>
<td>360 Proposals</td>
<td>366 Proposals</td>
<td>Increase amount of funding by 3% per year for next 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># proposals funded</td>
<td>282 Funded</td>
<td>244 Funded</td>
<td>287 Funded</td>
<td>217 Funded</td>
<td>219 Funded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount of funding awarded</td>
<td>$37.1M Awarded</td>
<td>$36.3M Awarded</td>
<td>$30.6M Awarded</td>
<td>$23.9M Awarded</td>
<td>$25.02M Awarded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 Graduates prepared to enter workforce or advanced education</td>
<td>Pass rates on licensure/certification exams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maintain pass rates at or above national averages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placement rates of graduates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maintain placement rates at or above national averages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 2: Access and Opportunity</td>
<td># of student contacts with a central advisor</td>
<td>7,327</td>
<td>7,737</td>
<td>7,171</td>
<td>8,436</td>
<td>8,495</td>
<td>Maintain sufficient access to Central Academic Advising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of full-time freshmen participating in First Year Seminar, and/or ACAD courses</td>
<td>28.7%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase to 50% or more over the next 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average amount of need-based and merit-based financial aid/scholarships awarded</td>
<td>Average grant aid $4,951 / Average loan amount $6,608</td>
<td>Average grant aid $5,011 / Average loan amount $6,242</td>
<td>Average grant aid $5,226 / Average loan amount $6,033</td>
<td>Average grant aid $4,934 / Average loan amount $5,939</td>
<td>Average grant aid $5,428 / Average loan amount $5,996</td>
<td>To be determined (with changes in federal and state financial aid programs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># of hours of content area tutoring, math and writing centers</td>
<td>21,409</td>
<td>22,576</td>
<td>22,319</td>
<td>18,946</td>
<td></td>
<td>To be determined (impact of SBOE changes to remedial delivery models unknown)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISU Strategic Plan Goals and Objectives</td>
<td>Strategic Plan Performance Measures</td>
<td>FY 2010</td>
<td>FY 2011</td>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>Current (FY 2014) Benchmarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Student’s progression to graduation</td>
<td>Average time to degree for full-time and part-time undergraduate students by college</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Appendix C</td>
<td>Positively impact by 5% over next 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention rates from freshman to sophomore, and sophomore to junior years, for full-time and part-time students</td>
<td>See Appendix D</td>
<td>Positively impact retention rates by 5% over next 3 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per weighted credit hour to deliver undergraduate education</td>
<td>$283.77</td>
<td>$280.68</td>
<td>$287.65</td>
<td>$301.93</td>
<td>$308.44</td>
<td>Positively impact by 5% over next 3 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates per $100,000 of education and related spending (undergraduates)</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>Positively impact this ratio by 5% over next 3 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total degree production (split by undergraduate/graduate)</td>
<td>UG: 1,574 GR: 571 Total: 2,145</td>
<td>UG: 1,608 GR: 547 Total: 2,155</td>
<td>UG: 1,644 GR: 635 Total: 2,279</td>
<td>UG: 1,709 GR: 634 Total: 2,343</td>
<td>UG: 1,741 GR: 620 Total: 2,361</td>
<td>Increase undergraduate and graduate awards by 5% over the next 3 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unduplicated headcount of graduates and percent of graduates to degree-seeking FTE</td>
<td>Undergraduate: 1,562 : 19% Graduate: 546 : 30%</td>
<td>Undergraduate: 1,577: 19% Graduate 633: 35%</td>
<td>Undergraduate: 1,626: 19% Graduate: 633: 35%</td>
<td>Undergraduate: 1,676: 20% Graduate: 615: 33%</td>
<td>Positively impact this ratio by 5% over next 3 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total first-time full-time students and new transfer students that are retained or graduate the following year.</td>
<td>first-time full-time: 62.1% new transfer: 73.9%</td>
<td>first-time full-time: 61.7% new transfer: 69.1%</td>
<td>first-time full-time: 66.9% new transfer: 77.3%</td>
<td>first-time full-time: 70.6% new transfer: 76.3%</td>
<td>Increase retention rate to 75% for first-time full-time and 80% for new transfer students over the next 3 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 Students who require remedial coursework are successful in completing their degree</td>
<td>% of students who successfully complete required remedial courses</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
<td>63.1%</td>
<td>56.6%</td>
<td>55.9%</td>
<td>To be determined (based on changes to be made by the SBOE on remediation delivery models)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISU Strategic Plan Goals and Objectives</td>
<td>Strategic Plan Performance Measures</td>
<td>FY 2010</td>
<td>FY 2011</td>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>Current (FY 2014) Benchmarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention rate of students who complete remedial courses (fall-to-fall)</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td>68.5%</td>
<td>69.8%</td>
<td>71.9%</td>
<td>Increase retention rate to 70% over the next 3 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 Students who enter college with dual credit are successful</td>
<td># students enrolled in ISU's early college program; # credits earned while in high school</td>
<td>1,588 students 9,306 credit hours</td>
<td>1,434 students 8,644 credit hours</td>
<td>1,669 students 10,453 credit hours</td>
<td>1,914 students 11,438 credit hours</td>
<td>2,111 students 12,746 credit hours</td>
<td>Increase to 1,800 students and 10,800 credits in the next 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 Students participate in community and service learning projects, activities, etc.</td>
<td># student organizations, and # students participating in those organizations</td>
<td>137 organizations 3,852 students</td>
<td>142 organizations 3,238 students</td>
<td>143 organizations 4,191 students</td>
<td>148 organizations 4,273 students</td>
<td>153 organizations 4,782 students</td>
<td>Increase participation to 4,500 students over the next 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 3: Leadership in the Health Sciences</td>
<td># certificate and degree programs offered, and # of students enrolled</td>
<td>Programs: 30 Enrollment: 3,377</td>
<td>Programs: 34 Enrollment: 3,622</td>
<td>Programs: 34 Enrollment: 3,619</td>
<td>Programs: 34 Enrollment: 3,400</td>
<td>Maintain number of health professions programs offered, and maintain enrollments at or near program capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of graduates who are employed in Idaho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Data to be obtained in the future from the State Longitudinal Data System (SLDS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass rates on professional licensure and certification exams in the health professions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pass rates at or above national averages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 ISU serves the State, public, and health professions students through its clinics and other community health venues</td>
<td># of patient visits to ISU clinics and clinical services</td>
<td>41,486</td>
<td>51,817</td>
<td>54,234</td>
<td>49,394 (this number has decreased slightly due to the transition with Family Medicine and Health West)</td>
<td>47,357</td>
<td># of patient visits will increase by 5% over next 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISU Strategic Plan Goals and Objectives</td>
<td>Strategic Plan Performance Measures</td>
<td>FY 2010</td>
<td>FY 2011</td>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>Current (FY 2014) Benchmarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># people attending ISU’s community health fairs and screening events</td>
<td>1,277</td>
<td>1,159</td>
<td>1,208</td>
<td>1,088</td>
<td>975</td>
<td># of people attending ISU’s health fairs and screening events will increase by 5% over next 3 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Faculty and students engage in basic, translational, and clinical research in the health sciences</td>
<td># of faculty engaged in health sciences/ biomedical research *Principal Investigators (PIs) and co-PIs.</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Increase to 80 over the next 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of external funding received for health-related and biomedical research</td>
<td>$5.3M</td>
<td>$3.6M</td>
<td>$4.0M</td>
<td>$6.2M</td>
<td>$7.3M</td>
<td>Funding will increase by 3% per year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># students participating in clinical/applied research as part of their degree program</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>684</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase to 750 students over the next 3 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 4: Community Engagement and Impact</td>
<td>Total economic impact of the University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A new economic impact study will be published in the summer of 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1 ISU directly contributes to the economic well-being of the State, region, and communities it serves</td>
<td>baseline established by the 2011 ISU Economic Impact Study: $312 million</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total economic impact will increase by 5% over next 5 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Campus resource conservation efforts initiated</td>
<td># resource conservation efforts initiated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Appendix E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Efforts to conserve campus resources will continue to be developed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISU Strategic Plan Goals and Objectives</td>
<td>Strategic Plan Performance Measures</td>
<td>FY 2010</td>
<td>FY 2011</td>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>Current (FY 2014) Benchmarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3 ISU participates in partnerships with other entities and stakeholders</td>
<td># of active partnerships, collaborative agreements, and contracts with public and private entities</td>
<td>1,066</td>
<td>1,071</td>
<td>1,008</td>
<td>1,023</td>
<td>ISU built an electronic workflow tracking system and database for all contracts with public and private entities. This project was completed in December 2014. Data will be reported in FY 2015.</td>
<td># of partnerships will increase by 5% over next 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal 5: Stewardship of Institutional Resources</strong></td>
<td><strong>5.1 Institutional reserves comparable to best practice</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maintain a minimum target reserve of 5% of total budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The institution maintains or exceeds reserves of 5% of total budget - (Formula: Unrestricted Net Asset Balance “Reserves”/Operating Expenses)</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>5.2 Institution continually assesses and periodically reviews its utilization of resources.</strong></td>
<td># of academic, non-academic and co-curricular program reviews conducted each year.</td>
<td>4 academic</td>
<td>2 academic</td>
<td>13 academic</td>
<td>6 academic</td>
<td>All academic and non-academic programs were reviewed with the Program Prioritization Project.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A

Idaho State University - Pass rates for required licensing & certification exams

*Notes: This is not an exhaustive list of pass rates. Rates for Nursing, Pharmacy, Physician Assistant programs etc. are provided as examples; pass rates for graduates of all academic health professions programs consistently meet or exceed the national pass rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nursing (RN) – ISU pass rate</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing (RN) – National pass rate</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing (FNP AANPCP Certification) - ISU pass rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing (FNP AANPCP Certification) - National pass rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing (ACNS ANCC Certification - ISU pass rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>No students graduating in this option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing (ACNS ANCC Certification) - National pass rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>75.8%</td>
<td>No students graduating in this option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacy – ISU pass rate</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacy – National pass rate</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician Assistant – ISU pass rate</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician Assistant – National pass rate</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRAXIS-II Subject Area Tests required for Teacher Certification - All Program Completer for ISU</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Therapy NBCOT - ISU first-time test takers (2010-2012)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B

Idaho State University - Placement rates for selected programs

*Notes: This is not an exhaustive list of placement rates. ISU intends to utilize the State Longitudinal Database System (SLDS) as soon as Idaho Department of Labor data is available to assist with placement rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>College of Technology - All Professional Technical Education</td>
<td>77.75%</td>
<td>83.33%</td>
<td>85.68%</td>
<td>87.20%</td>
<td>87.60%</td>
<td>91.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic Science (self-reported on a survey)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Therapy (self-reported on a survey)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacy (self-reported on survey)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C

Idaho State University –

Performance Measure 2.2.1 - Average Time to Complete Degree in Years

*Notes: This is methodology counts the number of years between the year a student first enters the university and the year the student is awarded a degree. The methodology is impacted by “stop-outs” between when the student first enters the university and when the student receives their degree.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree Type</th>
<th>FY11</th>
<th>FY12</th>
<th>FY13</th>
<th>FY14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technical Certificate</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>3.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate's</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>6.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor's</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>8.09</td>
<td>7.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master's</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>5.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctorate</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>5.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix D

Idaho State University – Retention Rates from Freshmen to Sophomore and Sophomore to Junior (fall-to-fall retention)

*Notes: The methodology used is all full-time and part-time degree-seeking freshmen and the number that re-enroll the next fall term. All full-time and part-time degree-seeking sophomores enrolled and the number that re-enroll the next fall term. The student classification (freshmen, sophomore, junior, and senior) is not considered on re-enrollment the next fall term, only if the student returned in the fall. Students that are awarded a degree between the fall-to-fall time period are counted as retained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class level</th>
<th>FY11</th>
<th>FY12</th>
<th>FY13</th>
<th>FY14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freshmen to Sophomore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-time</td>
<td>62.3%</td>
<td>62.3%</td>
<td>67.6%</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore to Junior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-time</td>
<td>77.8%</td>
<td>77.3%</td>
<td>78.7%</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The first-time full-time freshmen cohort is a subset of the total full-time freshmen in the table above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>FY11</th>
<th>FY12</th>
<th>FY13</th>
<th>FY14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First-time Full-time</td>
<td>62.1%</td>
<td>61.7%</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix E

Idaho State University – Conservation and Energy Reducing Projects

*Notes: This is not an exhaustive list of conservation and energy reducing projects. The university has completed other projects like window replacements and HVAC upgrades/repair/replacement that makes ISU more energy efficient.

1. **2008-9:** Purchased 5 electric vehicles for the grounds operations.
   - Replaced fuel consumption of 5 gasoline powered pick-up trucks with electricity.
   - Improved air quality surrounding academic buildings.
   - Reduced noise pollution around surrounding residential and academic buildings.
   - Saves on average 15.95 gal/day of gasoline.

2. **2010:** Stopped burning coal at the heat plant.
   - Eliminated transportation of 3000 tons of coal to the heat plant.
   - Reduced emissions of sulfur dioxide, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxide and many other volatile organic compounds (VOC) in to the environment by switching to natural gas.
   - The heat plant runs more efficient on cleaner burning natural gas.
   - Deleting the use of coal as a heating fuel has eliminated a problem of fugitive dust in the facility.

3. **2011:** Bio-diesel production and increased recycling sustainability.
   - Bio-diesel production begins with the idea to make recycling more sustainable by operating the recycling pick up vehicle on a clean renewable fuel.
   - Processing waste cooking oil, produced by campus kitchens, into bio-diesel reduces emissions as compared to burning petroleum fuel.
   - Bio-diesel is one of the EPA's preferred clean burning fuels, and is also a carbon neutral energy source.
   - Facilities in partnership with the college of Technology's, Energy Systems Technology & Education Center (ESTEC) operate the production process together.
   - Besides providing a clean source of power, the bio-diesel program is a marketing tool for attracting and retaining students. The processing unit is located in an enclosed mobile trailer that can be transported to high schools for demonstrating the science and opportunities at ISU.
Appendix E - continued

- Currently 5 to 10 gallons of waste cooking oil per week are collected from one kitchen, and processed into bio-diesel during the school sessions. The potential to collect oil from the other three kitchens are in the future plans.
- To date bi-diesel production has saved the purchase of approximately 160 gallons of petroleum fuel.

4. 2011-12: The greater part of recycling is operated by the custodial department.

- Recycling reports 208 tons of recyclable material recovered around campus to date.
- Custodial is phasing in waterless urinals that use only one gallon of water every 3 months.

5. 2011-12: Maintenance and operations.

- The maintenance department reports installation of 17 new water fountains that have the ability to refill reusable water bottles.
- Has reduced the plastic waste steam comparable to 48,871 plastic water bottles.


- Eight projects totaling 338,039 KWH in energy use reduction.
- Reduction in utility billing totaling $19,872.00 annually.


- Quad Lighting project phase II, will reduce electrical energy by an additional 30,590 KWH.
- Custodial is piloting high-efficiency hand dryers which will eliminate the need for paper towels in restrooms.
- LED retro-fit kits for standard fluorescent lighting are being installed and tested for suitability.


- 100,000 watts of energy savings for changing wall packs and flood lights on exterior of buildings to LED lighting.
- 27,000 watts of energy savings for changing emergency exit signs to LED lighting.
- LED retrofit projects will save electricity at approximately 4 amps @ 120V per 4-tube fixture. Retro-fit work will continue as a stock of fixtures remains.
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VISION

Lewis-Clark State College (LCSC) will fulfill the Idaho State Board of Education’s vision of a seamless public education system by integrating traditional baccalaureate programs, professional-technical training programs, and community college and community support programs within a single institution, serving diverse needs within a single student body, and providing outstanding teaching and support by a single faculty and administrative team.

The college’s one-mission, one-team approach will prepare citizens from all walks of life to make the most of their individual potential and will contribute to the common good by fostering respect and close teamwork among all Idahoans. Sustaining a tradition that dates back to its founding as a teacher training college in 1893, LCSC will continue to place paramount emphasis on effective instruction—focusing on the quality of the teaching and learning environment for traditional and non-traditional academic classes, professional-technical education, and community instructional programs.

As professed in the college’s motto, “Connecting Learning to Life,” instruction will foster powerful links between classroom knowledge and theory and personal experience and application. Accordingly, LCSC will:

- Actively partner with the K-12 school system, community service agencies, and private enterprises and support regional economic and cultural development
- Strive to sustain its tradition as the most accessible four-year higher-education institution in Idaho by rigorously managing program costs, student fees, housing, textbook and lab costs, and financial assistance to ensure affordability
- Vigorously manage the academic accessibility of its programs through accurate placement, use of student-centered course curricula, and constant oversight of faculty teaching effectiveness
- Nurture the development of strong personal values and emphasize teamwork to equip its students to become productive and effective citizens who will work together to make a positive difference in the region, the state, the nation, and the world.

MISSION

Lewis-Clark State College is a regional state college offering instruction in the liberal arts and sciences, professional areas tailored to the educational needs of Idaho, applied technical programs which support the local and state economy and other educational programs designed to meet the needs of Idahoans.

Core Theme One: Connecting Learning to Life Through Academic Programs
The first segment of the three part mission of Lewis-Clark State College is fulfilled under aegis of Academic Programs. This theme guides the offering of undergraduate instruction in the liberal arts and sciences and professional programs tailored to the educational needs of Idaho.

Core Theme Two: Connecting Learning to Life Through Professional-Technical Programs
The second segment of the three part mission of LewisClark State College is fulfilled under the aegis of Professional-Technical Programs. LCSC functions under this theme by offering an array of credit and non-credit educational experiences to prepare skilled workers in established and emerging occupations that serve the region’s employers.

Core Theme Three: Connecting Learning to Life Through Community Programs
The third and last theme of Lewis-Clark State College is fulfilled through Community Programs. The primary function of Community Programs is to provide quality delivery of outreach programs and services to students, customers, and communities throughout Region II as well as degree completion programs in Region I.
STRATEGIC PLAN
FY 2016-2020
Goal 1
Sustain and enhance excellence in teaching and learning.

Objective 1A.
Strengthen courses, programs, and curricula consonant with the mission and core themes of the institution.

Courses and programs will be assessed. The college will identify opportunities for improvement, expansion, and/or elimination of courses and programs; will foster closer collaboration and integration with the K-12 system; and will engage the local community and business leadership in the planning of current and future program offerings. The college will explore initiatives to improve student preparation and readiness to succeed in college level courses.

Timeline: FY 2014-2018 ongoing
Action: President, Provost and Vice Presidents, Director of Institutional Planning, Research and Assessment, Assessment Coordination Committee, Functional Area Committees, Division/Unit Assessment Committees

Progress: During FY14, all instructional and non-instructional programs, including auxiliaries and athletics, were reviewed through the program prioritization process. Program changes have been implemented and reported to the State Board at prescribed intervals. Action plans and major reviews are ongoing.

Performance Measure(s):

Assessment submission
Benchmark: All units of the college will submit assessment documents that reflect genuine analysis and accurate reporting
Performance: 98% of units completed assessment (FY 2014)

First-time licensing/certification exam pass rates for graduates of professional programs
Benchmark: Meet or exceed national average
Performance: RN: LCSC 95%/National 84%, PN: 75%/85%, ARRT 100%/89% (FY 2014)

Percentage of responding LCSC graduates with positive placement
Benchmark: 95% of responding LCSC graduates will have positive placement
Performance: 95% (FY 2014)

Number of teacher education candidates who are certified each year by specialty and meet the Federal Highly Qualified Teacher definition
Benchmark: The percentage of first-time students passing the PRAXIS II will exceed 90%
Performance: 83% (FY 2014)
Objective 1B.
Ensure the General Education Core achieves its expected learning outcomes.

The alignment of the General Education Core with institutional General Education goals and statewide General Education standards will be assessed. Cross-disciplinary communication and collaboration will improve faculty design and delivery of General Education Core courses. The college will ensure faculty with teaching assignments within the General Education Core understand institutional General Education goals.

Timeline: FY 2015
Action: Provost, Dean of Academic Programs, General Education Committee

Progress: Over the course of AY14-15, college faculty have completed the GEM stamping process of all general education coursework and an internal parallel process or the institutionally designated categories. A faculty-designed and developed General Education Core Assessment Plan will be completed by the end of Spring 2015 and implemented in AY15-16. Academic Dean, Mary Flores, was appointed to the State General Education Committee. The ETS Proficiency Profile was administered in 2014 and will be administered again in spring 2017.

Performance Measure(s):

ETS Proficiency Profile critical thinking construct
Benchmark: LCSC will score at the 90th percentile or better of comparison participating institutions (Carnegie Classification-Baccalaureate Diverse) on the ETS Proficiency Profile critical thinking construct.
Performance: 88th percentile (FY 2014); New performance measures and benchmarks will be set once General Education Assessment Plan is completed.

Objective 1C.
Optimize technology-based course delivery, resources, and support services for students, faculty, and staff.

Equipment, software, and technological capabilities will be current and sufficient for student, faculty, and staff needs. Training in effective online course design and instruction for faculty will be strengthened.

Timeline: FY 2014-2015
Action: Provost, Chief Technology Officer, Director of e-Learning Services, Data Advisory Committee, Instructional Technology Advisory Committee

Progress: The college moved to managed hosting and outsourced Help Desk functions for the online teaching platform, Blackboard. The e-Learning Services department continues to provide online training modules for faculty and one-on-one personalized instruction. Information Technology outfitted three classrooms with touch-screen switching devices, assumed support for Apple technology across campus, expanded Help Desk hours in support of students and faculty, and
installed a cloud-based simulation control system in the human simulation lab.

**Performance Measure(s):**

Annual end-of-term duplicated headcount for students enrolled in web, hybrid, and lecture/web-enhanced courses  
Benchmark: 8,000  
Performance: 8,726 (FY 2014)

**Objective 1D.**  
Maximize direct faculty and student interactions inside and outside the classroom.

LCSC will maintain appropriate student-to-faculty ratios by providing adequate numbers of sections for high-demand courses and by keeping course capacities at appropriate levels. The college will seek to increase student participation and engagement in academic and non-curricular activities.

**Timeline: FY 2016**  
**Action:** Provost, Vice President for Student Affairs, Director of Institutional Planning, Research and Assessment

**Progress:** PG 14-19, Demand-based Course Scheduling, was initiated to explore options to achieve a schedule of course offerings which meets the needs of students for completing degree requirements and makes the best use of campus facilities and faculty resources. Additional late-afternoon and evening classes were scheduled, beginning in Fall 2014, and the feasibility of offering intense weekend sections of core classes (including English 101 and Communication 204) is being explored. IVC use between the main campus and the Coeur d’Alene Center has expanded. During the 2014-15 academic year, several faculty have been invited to share meals and enjoy gaming and/or conversations with students living in LCSC residence halls.

**Performance Measure(s):**

Student to teacher ratio  
Benchmark: LCSC will maintain a 16 to 1 student teacher ratio  
Performance: 16 to 1 (FY 2014)

Number of students participating in undergraduate research  
Benchmark: 150  
[Faculty: 4; Student oral presentations: 70; Student posters: 40]

The number of presentations at the LCSC Senior Research Symposium  
Benchmark: 150  
Performance: Total 114 [Faculty: 4; Student oral presentations: 70; Student posters: 40]

**Objective 1E.**  
Recruit and retain a highly qualified and diverse faculty and staff.

The college will work to provide fair and competitive compensation for faculty and staff and will support increased opportunities for faculty and staff development. All faculty and staff pay will meet or exceed the median reported from peer institutions. Faculty development opportunities will be increased. Adjunct faculty pay will be increased.
Timeline: FY 2014-2018
Action: President, Provost and Vice Presidents, Deans

**Progress:** College administration supported the SBOE’s FY 2015 line item request for increased compensation for faculty and staff. A 2% change in employee compensation (CEC: 1% ongoing, 1% one-time) was approved by the legislature. The legislature has recommended a 3% ongoing CEC request for FY206. The college Compensation Review Committee meets regularly to consider issues of employee compensation, both monetary and non-monetary.

**Performance Measure(s):**

**Classified Staff:**
State of Idaho Classified Staff Pay Schedule
Benchmark: LCSC Classified Staff agency compa-ratio will equal or exceed 100% of State Policy
Performance: (from Dec 2014 DHR State Employee Compensation report): LCSC Classified Staff average salary = 81.2% of policy.

**Professional (Exempt) Staff:**
College and University Professional Association for Human Resources (C.U.P.A.) - Administrative Salary Survey
Benchmark: Average aggregated salaries of LCSC Professional Staff will equal or exceed average of C.U.P.A. average for corresponding job descriptions.
Performance: Average LCSC Professional Staff salary levels estimated at 84% of C.U.P.A. average.

**Faculty:**
Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) Data Feedback Report
Benchmark: Average compensation for LCSC Faculty members (“all ranks” measure) will equal or exceed the all-ranks, combined average for LCSC’s peer institutions.
Performance: LCSC’s 2014 IPEDS DFR all-ranks faculty salary average is 86% of peers’ average.

**Objective 1F.**
Provide a safe, healthy, and positive environment for teaching and learning.

The college will increase the accessibility and safety of campus facilities and processes, expand wellness and healthy lifestyle participation, and foster a positive learning and working environment.

Timeline: Ongoing
Action: Vice President for Finance and Administration

**Progress:** Access improvements in FY 2013 through FY 2015 included construction projects to increase ADA access for campus users including, *inter alia*, additional sidewalk cuts to accommodate wheelchair access in high traffic areas, sidewalk repairs, installation of external wheelchair ramps, and internal ADA-compliant ramps within the Administration Building as part of the Silverthorne Theatre upgrade project. The LCSC Safety Committee identified traffic hazards (need for additional street lighting, signage, and tree trimming where drivers’ views were obstructed) which were subsequently eliminated by Physical Plant, Security, and the City of Lewiston. Continued progress was made on the Presidential Planning Guidance wellness initiative (PG-65) which included implementation of LCSC’s fresh air (smoke free) campus beginning in Fall 2013. Employee participation in the statewide “thriveidaho” program increased. The college’s Behavioral Response Team and the Title IX Coordinator conducted specialized training for faculty
who serve on the college’s hearing board. This training was focused on helping them understand their roles and responsibilities when hearing cases involving sexual misconduct. In addition, an information campaign about Title IX related matters was initiated during the Fall 2014 student orientation program and has continued with informational posters and emails to LCSC students. Finally, an on-line sexual harassment training was piloted with selected groups of LCSC students including residence hall occupants and student athletes.

**Performance Measure(s):**

ADA compliance
Benchmark: Zero ADA-related discrepancies noted in annual Division of Building Safety (DBS) campus inspection (and prompt action to respond to any such discrepancies if benchmark not achieved)
Performance: No significant ADA-related discrepancies were noted during the 2014 DBS inspection. Two minor items (sign placement and rail adjustment) were corrected on the spot.

Wellness Programs
Benchmark: Provide information and updates to all College employees on wellness activities at least 10 times each Fiscal Year
Performance: 16 wellness activities conducted in 2014.

**Goal 2**

**Optimize student enrollment and promote student success.**

**Objective 2A.**
Marketing efforts will focus on clearly identified populations of prospective students.

The college will establish a brand identity for advertising and marketing. It will expand outreach to students seeking a residential college experience and to potential students who do not think they need college, do not think they can succeed in college, or do not think they can afford college. The college will increase its recruiting efforts for non-traditional students, strengthen its support of community college transfer students, and establish enrollment targets for out-of-state and international students. The college will leverage dual credit and Tech Prep programs as a means to connect with high school students and invest in scholarships to strategically grow enrollment.

Timeline: FY 2013 ongoing
Action: Vice President for Student Affairs, Director of College Communications, Director of New Student Recruitment, Director of International Programs.

**Progress:** An advertising calendar was developed in August 2013 and a marketing committee has been formed. Community college and non-traditional recruitment strategies are being vetted with the campus community. A marketing committee was formed by the president in August 2014 and, through the fall semester, identified a five-point marketing plan intended to be implemented over the course of the next year. In addition, the college increased the use of billboard and other media in all target markets but especially in Southern Idaho.

**Performance Measure(s):**

(SBOE system-wide performance measure)
Dual credit hours earned and the unduplicated headcount of participating students
High school students participating in concurrent enrollment programs (headcount and total credit hours)

Benchmark: Annual Enrollment - 1,500*  Annual Total Credit Hours – 8,000*
*These values reflect anticipated loss of enrollment due to proposed fee changes for Tech Prep students.

Performance: 1,959; 7,963 (FY 2014)

Scholarship dollars awarded per student FTE

Benchmark: $1,950

Performance: $2,142 (FY 2014)

Objective 2B.
Retain and graduate a diverse student body.

LCSC will implement a student success course to enhance academic skills, impart post-secondary values and expectations, and coach students during their first semester. The course will supplement other curricular and advising reforms targeted towards students who place into Math and English courses below core levels.

Timeline: FY 2014

Action: Provost, Vice President for Student Affairs, Dean of Academic Programs

Progress: Centralized Advising has been implemented and is serving over 700 students. The program has been assessed via student surveys and feedback from faculty. Pre-admission programs include new correspondence intended better explain the financial aid, scholarship, and fee payment processes. Working with faculty leadership, the college established ID 140 – a student success courses required for students who are admitted to the college on a conditional basis or who place into developmental coursework. The courses were offered in Fall 2014 and retention rates will be monitored into Fall 2015. In addition, the college adopted a policy requiring orientation of degree-seeking students. The LCSC Teaching-Learning Center opened January 2015 with numerous formal and informal events held throughout spring semester. Next steps include fuller integration with existing campus departments such as e-Learning Services and regional K-12 partners.

Timeline: FY 2014

Action: Vice President for Student Affairs; Provost

Performance Measures:

(SBOE system-wide performance measure)

Total degree production (undergraduate)

Benchmark: 800

Performance: 739 (FY 2014)
(SBOE system-wide performance measure)
Unduplicated headcount of graduates and percent of graduates to total unduplicated headcount
(split by undergraduate/graduate).
Benchmark: 700/12%
Performance: 675; 12% (FY 2014)

(SBOE system-wide performance measure)
Unduplicated headcount of graduates over rolling 3-year average degree-seeking FTE (split by undergraduate/graduate).
Benchmark:
Performance: 675/2756; 25%

(SBOE system-wide performance measure)
Total full-time new and transfer students that are retained or graduate the following year
(excluding death, military service, and mission)
Benchmark: 70%
Performance: 370/ 575=64% (FY 2014)

First-year/ full-time cohort retention rate
Benchmark: 60%
Performance: 61% (FY 2014)

The number of degrees and certificates awarded per 100 FTE undergraduate students enrolled
Benchmark: 24
Performance: 25 (FY 2014)

First-year/ full-time cohort 150% graduation rate
Benchmark: 35%
Performance: 27% (FY 2014)

LCSC will establish a Center for Teaching and Learning in order to support and share improvements in teaching, assessment, and curriculum development. [Center operations commenced in FY2015.]

Timeline: FY 2015
Action: Provost, Vice President for Student Affairs

Objective 2C.
Maximize student satisfaction and engagement.

The college will conduct student satisfaction surveys on an annual basis and participate in the National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) every three years. The college will also conduct an internal analysis to identify areas for improvement in the student enrollment cycle and academic cycle. The college will expand infrastructure to entice students to reside on campus and, with the input and guidance of student government, will support a wide variety of social and academic student activities.
Timeline: FY 2014-2015  
Action: Vice President for Student Affairs, Director of Institutional Planning, Research and Assessment

**Progress:** A new committee called “Student Support Committee” was formed and consists of Student Affairs directors whose primary role is to provide on-going support to students once they are enrolled at the college. This committee has developed a new student satisfaction survey, which is under review. If approved, the survey should assist college personnel in identifying gaps in the quality and overall delivery of services.

**Performance Measure(s):**

National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)  
Benchmark: 90% of LCSC students will be satisfied  
Performance: 89% (FY 2014)

**Goal 3**  
*Strengthen and expand collaborative relationships and partnerships.*

**Objective 3A.**  
Increase volunteer, internship, and career placement opportunities.

The college will foster, promote and track student internship opportunities within each division, determine local business and industry needs through periodic surveys or professional forums, and leverage campus expertise to build and maintain relationships with local business and industry. All matriculated students will serve as volunteers and/or interns as part of their educational program.

Timeline: FY 2017  
Action: Provost, Deans

**Progress:** Every instructional program either requires or provides an optional internship opportunity for students. With the loss of the AmeriCorps grant, funding for coordination of volunteer or service learning services has been greatly reduced. Efforts are underway to realign these activities with existing programming.

**Performance Measure:**

Number of students participating in internships  
Benchmark: 800  
Performance: 655 (FY 2014)

**Objective 3B.**  
Collaborate with relevant businesses, industries, agencies, practitioners, and organizations for the beneficial exchange of knowledge and resources.

The college will develop an inventory of faculty expertise that committees and boards of local
organizations may draw upon. Faculty and staff will actively participate in statewide development of processes and systems to strengthen K-20 partnerships. LCSC will foster, promote, and support student, faculty, and staff research or other projects that benefit the community and region. LCSC will increase Workforce Training efforts.

Timeline: FY 2015
Action: Provost, Dean of Community Programs and Governmental Relations, Director of Grants and Contracts

Progress: Partnerships with K-12 through the Teacher Education Preparation programs and the new Teaching-Learning Center continues. The annual Research Symposium provides an opportunity to engage with community members and business leaders.

Performance Measure(s):

Number of adults enrolled in customized training (including statewide fire and emergency services training programs).
Benchmark: 4,000
Performance: 3,533 (FY 2014)

Objective 3C.
Increase cooperation and engagement of alumni for the advancement of the college.

LCSC will invite alumni to participate in ongoing networking activities and campus events, create an alumni mentorship program for students, and incorporate alumni presence and testimonials in institutional advertising campaigns and recruiting efforts.

Timeline: FY 2017
Action: Director of College Advancement, Director of Alumni and Community Relations, President of the LCSC Alumni Association

Progress: The LCSC Alumni Association continues to boost program and event participation of alumni and students, regional outreach, volunteer service, and leadership opportunities. The Alumni Mentorship Program launched this year with ten (10) alumni from across the region serving as mentors to current students. The LCSCAA is actively involved in the creation and implementation of L-C’s Homecoming event, which is scheduled to take place this fall.

Performance Measure(s):

Number of Alumni Association members
Benchmark: 17,500
Performance: 15,819 (FY14)

Objective 3D.
Advance the college with community members, business leaders, political leaders, and current and future donors.

The college will invite local community and business leaders to participate in college activities and arrange for current students and alumni to meet with key individuals to promote the benefits of higher education and the needs of LCSC. LCSC will create opportunities for business and political leaders and future donors to engage in learning sessions with current students.
Timeline: Ongoing

Action: President, Provost and Vice Presidents, Deans, Director of College Advancement, President of the LCSC Foundation

**Progress:** College Advancement has hosted a variety of special events that promote higher education and advance the needs of LCSC. The annual Scholarship Luncheon-attended by 100+ donors, business leaders, community members and students who benefit from scholarships-provides guests an opportunity to engage with students and get involved in giving. Foundation Scholar events are social events that connect students with donors who sponsor scholarships throughout the student’s entire college career. Alumni Affairs recently established a mentorship program that connects a business leader to a current LCSC student in need of career advice and support.

The College Advancement team meets with businesses and community members daily to better educate the community on higher education and areas in need of support. College Advancement continues to work diligently to pursue community partners that can assist the college in achieving its mission via volunteer opportunities, mentorship programs, internships, and donations. In 2014, LCSC successfully completed a five year capital campaign generating over $13.5 million.

**Performance Measure(s)**  
LCSC will continue to strengthen its relationship to the local community through promotion of the National Association of Intercollegiate Athletics Champions of Character student-athlete program  
Benchmark: Annually meet National Association of Intercollegiate Athletics (NAIA) Five Star Champions of Character criteria  
Performance: Met criteria (FY 2014)

Timeline: FY 2017  
Action: Athletic Director

**Progress:** LC Athletics continues to advance the College with all constituents through community service and engagement. This year members of the department will exceed 1,000 hours of community service. The NAIA criteria to be a Five Star Champions of character institution changed this year and LCSC expects to meet this benchmark as projected in FY17.

**Goal 4**  
**Leverage resources to maximize institutional strength and efficiency.**

**Objective 4A.**  
Allocate and reallocate funds to support priorities and program areas that are significant in meeting the role and mission of the institution.

Budget and assessment instruments will provide clear links to the strategic plan. Information regarding existing and expected financial resources and targeted priorities will be readily available.

Timeline: Ongoing  
Actions: President, Provost and Vice Presidents, Deans, Chair of Faculty Senate

**Progress:** Presidential Planning Guidance (PGs) and Unit Action Plan templates and procedures were revamped prior to the Fall 2014 planning and budgeting cycle to reflect the new LCSC
strategic plan and incorporate Zero-Base Budgeting (ZBB) and Program Prioritization (PP) findings. Unit Action Plan proposals were directly tied to the strategic plan. The Institutional Assessment Plan dovetails with the Strategic Plan. ZBB and PP guidelines are embedded in an expanded program assessment process. All planning and assessment reference materials and plans/reports were posted on the LCSC intranet for the Fall 2014 and Spring 2015 planning, budgeting, and assessment cycles. Strategic Plan priorities and budget plans were briefed by the President to faculty, staff, students and other key stakeholders. Budgets, strategic plan documents, annual performance measures reports, and assessment documents—directly linked to the overall strategic plan—are readily available.

**Performance Measure(s):**
(SBOE system-wide performance measure)
Cost per credit hour – Financials divided by total weighted undergraduate credit hours from the EWA report
Benchmark: $290
Performance: $301 (FY 2014)

**Objective 4B.**
Assess and modify organizational structure and institutional processes to ensure the most effective use of resources.

LCSC will review current organizational structure and implement modifications to streamline processes and enhance communication.

**Timeline:** Ongoing
**Action:** President, Provost and Vice Presidents, Faculty Senate, Professional Staff Organization, Classified staff Organization

**Progress:** In Spring 2014, an expanded Functional Area Committee (FAC) structure was put in place and utilized to focus on the State Board of Education-directed Program Prioritization initiative during the annual assessment cycle. This successful effort enabled a smooth transition into the FAC efforts in the Fall 2014 planning cycle and during budget development for FY2016. Program assessment has been fortified at the division/unit level, and Program Prioritization action plans and follow-up efforts are underway.

**Performance Measure(s):**
(SBOE system-wide performance measure)
Efficiency – Certificates (of at least 1-year or more) and degree completions per $100,000 of financials
Benchmark: 2.5
Performance: 1.5 (FY 2014)

**Objective 4C.**
Continuously improve campus buildings, grounds, and infrastructure to maximize environmental sustainability and learning opportunities.

The college will assess and update the Campus Facilities Master Plan on an annual basis, with priority given to classrooms and teaching. The college will implement building maintenance initiatives to increase energy efficiency, use of green technology, and recycling.
Timeline: Ongoing  
Action: Provost, Vice President for Finance and Administration

**Progress Report:** An updated Campus Facilities Master Plan went into effect in July 2014. Classroom refurnishing and carpeting projects continued during FY 2014 and FY 2015. A major renovation to the Administration Building (Silverthorne Theatre, and support areas) is nearing completion. A campus-wide Energy Survey and Analysis project is underway. Other major improvements included establishment of a consolidated testing center and a new Teaching and Learning Center (as part of PG-66).

**Objective 4D.**  
Create a timetable for the sustainable acquisition and replacement of instruments, machinery, equipment, and technologies and ensure required infrastructure is in place.

LCSC will create an inventory schedule of campus physical resources that includes lifespans, maintenance contracts, and estimated replacement dates, and will update the schedule on an annual basis. The college will develop a campus-wide funding plan for maintenance and replacement of resources.

Timeline: FY 2014  
Action: Provost, Vice President for Finance and Administration

**Progress:** The revised capital replacement process is now in operation. LCSC’s capital equipment has been inventoried, and, using the value of these assets and the depreciation schedules based on the useful life spans of the various equipment categories, the college submitted capital replacement requests to the Legislature for the FY 2016 budget (this laid the groundwork for the first significant replacement capital outlay appropriation for LCSC in over five years). Budgeting for high-cost institutional technology equipment and upgrades to classroom technology is in place. A capital equipment replacement funding mechanism has also been established within the Student Union operating budget to address planned or emergency replacement of high-cost equipment used by dining services.

**Objective 4E.**  
Identify and secure public and private funding to support strategic plan priorities.

Faculty and staff capacity to secure external funding will be strengthened by supporting grant writing efforts at both the departmental and institutional level. LCSC will collaborate with public and private stakeholders to generate the resources necessary to expand facilities and programs and will broaden communication and outreach to connect the entire college community to the LCSC Foundation and evolving fundraising initiatives.

Timeline: Ongoing  
Action: President, Provost and Vice Presidents, Director of College Advancement, President of the LCSC Foundation, Director of Grants and Contracts

**Progress:** LCSC’s General Education appropriation is expected to grow to $32.3M for FY2016, representing an increase of 11.6% in total funds and 5.7% in ongoing funds over the college’s FY2015 funding level. The Professional-Technical budget appropriation is expected to exceed $4.2M, representing a 6% increase from FY2015 funding levels. Training of new grant writers and unit supervisors continues. At the end of FY 2014, the college had over 85 active grants worth over $7M, despite the negative impacts of federal sequestration on key LCSC programs and elimination of Congressional earmarks. In the College Advancement arena, the “Campaign LCSC” fund-raising...
initiative concluded in May 2014—and the campaign’s $12M goal was exceeded by over $1.5M. The LCSC Foundation’s total assets reached an all-time high of over $8.24M (as of 1 Mar 2015).

**Performance Measure(s):**

Institution funding from competitive grants  
Benchmark: $2.0M  
Performance: $2.5M (FY2014 year-end snapshot)

Institutional reserves comparable to best practice.  
Benchmark: A minimum target reserve of 5% of operating expenditures  
Performance: 6.5% (State Board calculation based on end of year FY2014 financials)

LCSC Capital Campaign  
Benchmark: $12M to be raised by 1 June 2014.  
Performance: $13.5M was raised. Campaign is complete.
## Goal 1 - Sustain and enhance excellence in teaching and learning

### Performance Measure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 1A: Strengthen courses, programs and curricula consonant with the mission and core themes of the institution</th>
<th>FY 2011</th>
<th>FY 2012</th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessment submission</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>All units of the college will submit assessment documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCLEX RN</td>
<td>95% (National Average=89%)</td>
<td>NCLEX RN</td>
<td>89% (National Average=90%)</td>
<td>NCLEX RN</td>
<td>92% (National Average=91%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-time licensing/certification exam pass rates</td>
<td>NCLEX PN</td>
<td>100% (National Average=87%)</td>
<td>NCLEX PN</td>
<td>86% (National Average=84%)</td>
<td>NCLEX PN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARRT</td>
<td>100% (National Average=93%)</td>
<td>ARRT</td>
<td>100% (National Average=93%)</td>
<td>ARRT</td>
<td>92% (National Average=90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of LCSC graduates with positive placement</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Idaho teachers who are certified each year by specialty and meet the Federal Highly Qualified Teacher definition</td>
<td>PRAXIS II</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>PRAXIS II</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>PRAXIS II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of credits earned at completion of certificate or degree program</td>
<td>Associate 108</td>
<td>Associate 107</td>
<td>Associate 102</td>
<td>Associate 94</td>
<td>Associate 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor 148</td>
<td>Bachelor 148</td>
<td>Bachelor 147</td>
<td>Bachelor 148</td>
<td>Bachelor 130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective 1B: Ensure the General Education Core achieves its expected outcomes.</td>
<td>ETS Proficiency Profile Critical Thinking Construct(^1)</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective 1C: Optimize technology-based course delivery, resources, and support services for students, faculty, and staff.</td>
<td>Fall end of term duplicated headcount for student enrolled in web and hybrid courses</td>
<td>7,431</td>
<td>7,945</td>
<td>7,726</td>
<td>8,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student to teacher ratio</td>
<td>16:1</td>
<td>16:1</td>
<td>16:1</td>
<td>16:1</td>
<td>16:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of students participating in undergraduate research</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of presentations at the LCSC Senior Research Symposium</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective 1D: Maximize direct faculty and student interactions inside and outside the classroom.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective 1E: Recruit and retain a highly qualified and diverse faculty and staff.</td>
<td>State of Idaho Classified Staff Pay Schedule</td>
<td>80.3%</td>
<td>81.7%</td>
<td>80.9%</td>
<td>81.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional Personnel-Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) Data Feedback Report(^2)</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>100% of Average of Peer Institutions all Academic Rank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Goal 2 - Optimize student enrollment and promote student success

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>FY 2011</th>
<th>FY 2012</th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 2A: Marketing efforts will focus on clearly identified populations of prospective students.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit hours of high school students participating in dual credit programs*</td>
<td>2,268</td>
<td>2,865</td>
<td>3,328</td>
<td>2,224</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headcount of high school students participating in dual credit programs*</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit hours of high school students participating in concurrent enrollment programs</td>
<td>6,103</td>
<td>6,972</td>
<td>8,312</td>
<td>7,963</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headcount of high school students participating in concurrent enrollment programs</td>
<td>1,488</td>
<td>1,805</td>
<td>1,797</td>
<td>1,959</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholarship dollars per FTE</td>
<td>$1,624</td>
<td>$1,728</td>
<td>$1,831</td>
<td>$2,142</td>
<td>$1,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 2B: Retain and graduate a diverse student body.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total degree production and headcount (undergraduate)*</td>
<td>607/573</td>
<td>773/712</td>
<td>688/652</td>
<td>739/675</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unduplicated headcount of graduates and percent of graduates to total unduplicated headcount (split by undergraduate and graduate)*</td>
<td>573/10%</td>
<td>712/12%</td>
<td>652/11%</td>
<td>675/12%</td>
<td>700/12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unduplicated number of graduates over rolling 3-year average degree-seeking FTE (separated by undergraduate/graduate)</td>
<td>573/2643</td>
<td>712/2762</td>
<td>652/2812</td>
<td>675/2756</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total full-time new and transfer students that are retained or graduate the following year (exclude death, military service, and mission)*</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-time full-time degree-seeking freshman retention rate</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total certificates and degrees conferred and number of undergraduate certificate and degree completions per 100 (FTE) undergraduate students enrolled.</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-time/full-time cohort 150% graduation rate</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 2C: Maximize student satisfactions and engagement.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSSE-National Survey of Student Engagement*</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td></td>
<td>90% of LCSC Students will be satisfied</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Goal 3 - Strengthen and expand collaborative relationships and partnerships

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>FY 2011</th>
<th>FY 2012</th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 3A:</strong> Increase volunteer, internship, and career placement opportunities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of students participating in internships</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 3B:</strong> Collaborate with relevant businesses, industries, agencies, practitioners, and organizations for the beneficial exchange of knowledge.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of adults enrolled in customized training (including statewide fire and emergency services training programs)</td>
<td>2,921</td>
<td>3,627</td>
<td>3,659</td>
<td>3,533</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 3C:</strong> Increase cooperation and engagement of alumni for the advancement of the college.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Alumni Association members</td>
<td>12,176</td>
<td>12,726</td>
<td>13,301</td>
<td>13,904</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Goal 4 - Leverage resources to maximize institutional strengths and efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 4A: Allocate and reallocate funds to support priorities and program areas that are significant in meeting the role and mission of the institution.</th>
<th>FY 2011</th>
<th>FY 2012</th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost per credit hour - Financials divided by total weighted undergraduate credit hours from the EWA report.*</td>
<td>$289</td>
<td>$261</td>
<td>$289</td>
<td>$301</td>
<td>$290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 4B:</strong> Assess and modify organizational structure and institutional processes to ensure the most effective use of resources.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency - Graduates (of at least 1-year or more) and degree completions per $100,000 of financials*</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates SBOE System-wide performance measures

**Notes:**

1. This test is administered every 3 years. LCSC achieved an 86 percentile in the FY2008 (MAPP) administration. LCSC Mean Critical Thinking score for 2014 was 114.55 which places us in the 88 percentile and means that 88% of institutions who used this exam had a mean score lower than LC per the ETS Proficiency Profile Comparative Data.

2. The percentages for faculty represent LCSC’s weighted average 9-month equivalent salary divided by the weighted average 9-month equivalent salary of LCSC’s peer institutions, based on results of annual IPEDS DFR report.

3. Reflects the overall percentage of students satisfied with LCSC. This survey is administered every 3 years.
Key External Factors

**Imperative for Growth:** The Idaho State Board of Education has directed the higher education institutions under its supervision to increase the proportion and number of Idahoans (25 to 34 year old cohort) with a college certificate or degree to 60% by 2020—essentially doubling output. The following factors will affect LCSC’s efforts to achieve this target:

LCSC is essentially an open-access institution—reducing admission standards likely would not generate significant numbers of new students. As LCSC reaches out to encourage college participation by underserved segments in Idaho’s population, the average level of college-preparedness of the student body is likely to decrease, and the level of support needed for students is likely to increase.

The current demographic trends in Idaho foretell low to modest growth in the number of secondary students and good, but flat, high school graduation rates. It is therefore not likely that the output of the K-12 pipeline would lead to a dramatic increase in enrollment at LCSC during the five-year planning window.

While a dramatic increase in Idaho’s high-school graduation rates is not foreseen during the five-year planning window, LCSC may be able to increase the number of high school graduates who elect to enroll in college, taking into account that Idaho’s current participation rate, less than 50%, is one of the lowest in the nation.

Although the national and Idaho 60% goals have been based on the premise that 60% of jobs in 2020 will require some degree of college education, the current and projected proportion of college educated employees within the Idaho workforce seems to be at a market clearing level of 36%, according to the report of the Idaho Legislature Office of Performance Evaluations. Currently, unemployment in Idaho is low compared to many states in the region. Strategically, this means it is unlikely that systemic structural unemployment rates will be a major driver of additional students applying to LCSC before the end of the five-year planning horizon. In fact, improving employment rates in Idaho would likely reduce the applicant pool as workers enter or re-enter the work force as the effects of the recession ease.

**Infrastructure:** Currently-available facilities, or a modest expansion thereof, are sufficient to support an increase in on-campus students proportionate to LCSC’s share of the State Board of Education’s 60% goal. Classroom and laboratory utilization rates have sufficient slack time throughout the day and week to absorb an estimated 50% or more increase in student enrollment. Within the course of the five-year planning window, the college, if necessary, could increase faculty and staff office space and parking. While expansion on such a scale is theoretically possible, it is unlikely to be necessary given headcount enrollment trends, currently averaging 3% per year. If the combined impact of LCSC action strategies to increase enrollment, improve retention, and increase program completion rates were to double the historical rate to 6% per year, the main campus student population would increase 50 percent by 2020—a level which, with good planning, could be accommodated by the current physical infrastructure.

Unlike the situation on the Normal Hill campus, infrastructure is a major limiting factor for LCSC’s Coeur d’Alene operations. A strategic initiative is underway to provide a joint facility to serve LCSC, North Idaho College (NIC), and University of Idaho students and staff on the NIC campus. The new facility has been recommended for funding by the Legislature and is expected to be completed before the end of
the current strategic planning window (FY 2020). Infrastructure at the other LCSC outreach centers is estimated to be sufficient to support operations over the next five years.

Deferred maintenance needs over the course of the five-year planning window are estimated at roughly $25 million for alteration and repair of existing facilities. Recent momentum in addressing HVAC and roof repairs needs to be sustained, but will depend primarily on availability of Permanent Building Fund dollars.

Over the past decade several major capital projects to expand facilities on the main campus have been completed (e.g., Activity Center, Sacajawea Hall, new parking lots, upgrades of Meriwether Lewis Hall and Thomas Jefferson Hall). For the main campus, LCSC’s strategy for the five-year planning window is to focus on upgrades of existing facilities rather than erecting major new facilities.

Classroom capacity is sufficient to sustain current and projected enrollment levels for brick-and-mortar classes. Increased enrollment will necessitate scheduling adjustments that spread classes throughout day, evening, and weekend hours. Utility costs of extended class hours would increase marginally, but overall efficiency of facility operations would increase with the reduction of slack hours.

Recent efforts have increased the number of classroom seats and modernized classrooms and labs. Nevertheless, continued efforts are needed to modernize the classroom and lab infrastructure (teaching technology, lighting, furniture, acoustical treatments, and flooring).

Student housing units are not currently at maximum capacity. A study is underway (Presidential Guidance initiative PG-50) on possible strategies to add bed spaces. If projected trends warrant, it would be feasible to add new student housing by the end of the five-year strategic planning window and to convert some older housing units in the LCSC inventory to other uses, including office space.

On-campus and neighborhood parking is adequate to sustain employee and student operations through the remainder of FY 2015. The college has acquired property on the perimeter of the Normal Hill campus to accommodate additional parking (or facility construction) when needed. Parking options for LCSC’s downtown facilities are more limited and cooperation with the city and local merchants will be needed if main street operations continue to expand.

Recent office space modernization efforts need to continue over the five-year planning window. In the event of growth of faculty and staff beyond current levels, additional office space could be provided through conversion of rental housing units and/or conversion of older residential hall space into modern offices.

A major vulnerability is the lack of redundant capabilities for heating and cooling of major buildings—almost every major structure is dependent upon a single source of HVAC. The main campus needs a loop to interconnect multiple facilities and provide a backup in the event of single-point failure. Use of energy-saving incentive dollars and cooperative projects with external entities could help fund these improvements.

**Personnel:** While the current physical infrastructure of LCSC (with the exception of the Coeur d’Alene Center) is sufficient to support the increased output envisioned by the Idaho State Board of Education, this is not the case with respect to faculty and staff. Although class sizes could be increased in some upper division courses, many lower division courses and some professional courses are already up
against faculty-student ratio limits imposed by specialized accreditation agencies and could not significantly expand without concomitant expansion of faculty and supporting staff. Faculty and staff workload levels at LCSC are high compared to other higher education institutions. An expanded LCSC student population will require ratios at least as low as current levels. Based on peak hiring periods over the past decade, funding an expansion spread over the next five years is technically feasible, but would require careful planning and coordination.

While increased utilization of distance learning technology could alleviate stress on the physical infrastructure, it is not the critical factor limiting expansion. While in some cases learning technology may enhance the effectiveness of course delivery and student success, it does not reduce the need for student-faculty interaction or significantly increase the desirable maximum ratio of students to faculty members. The current student to faculty ratios for academic and professional courses (14.8 to one, and 11.0 to one, respectively) may not be at a maximum level; the course delivery mode, however, is probably not the primary factor in establishing the ideal balance as we seek to maintain high levels of faculty-student engagement and interaction.

Economy and the Political Climate: Many factors and trends will have a major impact on LCSC strategies to achieve its goals and objectives over the five-year planning window.

Funding for higher education has been used as a “rainy day” reserve to support other state operations, most notably K-12, during economic downturns and the prolonged recent recession. There has been limited enthusiasm among Idaho policy makers to restore pre-crisis levels of funding to higher education.

Since FY 2009, the state has not provided sufficient funding to cover maintenance of current operation costs (inflation, replacement of capital items, and employee salaries), nor has it funded LCSC line-item budget requests to support increased enrollment, including LCSC’s Complete College Idaho request that directly supports State Board of Education goals.

Employee salary levels at LCSC are significantly lower than those at peer institutions. Change in Employee Compensation (CEC) raises appear to have resumed as a “normal” part of the Legislative appropriation (a 1% and a 3% ongoing CEC increase after a long drought)—with approximately half of the cost of increases being placed by state policymakers to student tuition. Continued effort must be made to encourage the lawmakers to fully fund future increases in college employee pay and benefit costs, rather than increasing the burden on students.

There has been significant political support for funding community college operations in the Treasure Valley, though little interest, as yet, in equalizing tuition rates among the three Idaho community colleges and no interest in providing funding to support the State Board-assigned community college function for LCSC and ISU. There has been strong political support to expand concurrent enrollment programs to enable completion of college-level coursework while students are still in high school; however, there has been limited support for additional funding directed to higher education for this purpose. The dual impacts of community college expansion and in-high school programs erode for LCSC the probability of future revenues for lower-division courses.

The relative financial burden borne by students for college costs has dramatically shifted, with student tuition and fees now nearly equal to the general fund appropriation. Notwithstanding the facts that reduced state support has necessitated tuition increases to sustain higher education operations and that
Idaho tuition rates remain well below regional and national averages, state policymakers are reluctant to support additional tuition increases.

Students in Idaho and across the nation have become more dependent upon federal financial aid to pay for college, and increased student debt load and default rates have caused consternation among policymakers. Federal funding available for higher education has been reduced in some cases and new policy restrictions aimed at curbing operations of for-profit higher education enterprises have inflicted collateral damage on public college operations.

Costs for employee and State Board of Education mandated student healthcare plans are ballooning and threaten to have a significant impact on college access for students. Increased tax rates and sluggish economic growth may further reduce college enrollment.

Economic and population growth within LCSC’s local operating area, Region II, appears to be increasing again after a sustained “flat” period. The highest growth rates in the state have been focused in southern Idaho and the northern panhandle. LCSC is increasingly reliant on a statewide market.

**Implications for Lewis-Clark State College:** The college cannot depend upon major infusions of state-appropriated dollars to fund growth and new initiatives during the next five years. The primary sources of funding for strategic initiatives will be reallocation of current funds and utilization of student tuition and fee dollars. The primary engine for funding growth is increased tuition from students as a result of increased enrollment (higher accessions, increased retention) with tuition rate increases likely to be restricted by policymakers and limited due to the need to provide access to financially-pressed students and their families.

LCSC needs to continue to build its grassroots support within the region and throughout the state to increase awareness of its unique strengths and its support of the values of Idaho’s citizens. Strong support of students, parents, alumni, community members, and businesses is essential to undergird the tangible support provided to LCSC by Idaho policymakers.
Statutory Authority

The College of Southern Idaho Strategic Plan has been approved by the CSI Board of Trustees. The statutory authority and the enumerated general powers and duties of the Board of Trustees of a junior (community) college district are established in Sections 33-2101, 33-2103 to 33-2115, Idaho Code.
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Mission Statement

The College of Southern Idaho, a comprehensive community college, provides quality educational, social, cultural, economic, and workforce development opportunities that meet the diverse needs of the communities it serves. CSI prepares students to lead enriched, productive, and responsible lives in a global society.

Vision

The College of Southern Idaho shapes the future through its commitment to student success, lifelong learning, and community enrichment.

Core Values

The following core values, principles, and standards guide our vision and conduct:

**People**
Above all, we value our students, employees, and community. We celebrate individual uniqueness, worth, and contributions while embracing diversity of people, backgrounds, experiences, and ideas. We are committed to the success of our students and employees.

**Learning**
We are committed to student learning and success. We value lifelong learning, informed engagement, social responsibility, and global citizenship.

**Access and Opportunity**
We value affordable and equitable access to higher education. We make every effort to eliminate or minimize barriers to access and support student success and completion of educational goals. We create opportunities for educational, personal, and economic success.

**Quality and Excellence**
We strive for excellence in all of our endeavors. We offer high-quality educational programs and services that are of value to our constituents. We are committed to high academic and professional standards, and to the continuous improvement of our educational programs, services, processes, and outcomes.

**Creativity and Innovation**
We value and support innovative and creative ideas and solutions that foster improvement and allow us to better serve our students and our community. We encourage entrepreneurial spirit.

**Responsibility and Accountability**
We value personal, professional, and institutional integrity, responsibility, and accountability. We believe in serving our constituents responsibly in order to preserve the public’s trust. We strive to develop a culture of meaningful assessment and continuous improvement. We value inspired, informed, transparent, and responsible leadership and decision-making at all levels of the College. We value our environment and the conservation of our natural resources.

**Collaboration and Partnerships**
We value collaboration and actively pursue productive and mutually beneficial partnerships among people, institutions, organizations, and communities to share diverse ideas, talents, and resources.
Core Themes*

1. Transfer Education
2. Professional-Technical Education
3. Basic Skills Education
4. Community Connections

Strategic Initiatives

I. Student Learning and Success
II. Responsiveness
III. Performance and Accountability

Strategic Goals

1. Demonstrate a continued commitment to and shared responsibility for student learning and success
2. Meet the diverse and changing needs and expectations of our students and the community we serve
3. Support employee learning, growth, wellness, and success
4. Commit to continuous improvement and institutional effectiveness

* Core Themes were developed as part of the Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU) accreditation process (Standard One). Merging Core Themes and Strategic Initiatives into one document allows the College to focus its planning efforts while meeting Idaho Code, SBOE and DFM guidelines, as well as NWCCU accreditation standards.
Core Themes and Objectives*

Core Theme 1: Transfer Education

Objective: To prepare students intending to transfer and who earn an Associate of Arts, Associate of Science, or Associate of Engineering degree for success at the baccalaureate level.

Core Theme 2: Professional-Technical Education

Objective: To prepare students for entry into a job or profession related to their field of preparation and study.

Core Theme 3: Basic Skills Education

Objective: To provide developmental courses in math, reading, writing, grammar, vocabulary, spelling, and English as a second language to assist students who need to raise existing skills to college-level competency.

Core Theme 4: Community Connections

Objectives: To meet the economic development and non-credit educational, social, cultural, and community support needs of the eight-county service region by making the college’s human and physical resources available, including facilities and the expertise of faculty and staff.

*Each Objective under the Core Themes has Indicators of Achievement defined. These Indicators of Achievement can be found in the accreditation planning documents.
Strategic Initiatives, Goals, Objectives, Performance Measures, and Benchmarks

**Strategic Initiative I: Student Learning and Success**

1. **Goal:** Demonstrate continued commitment to and shared responsibility for student learning and success

**Objectives:**

1.1. Provide quality educational programs and experiences that prepare students to reach their educational and career goals  
1.2. Maintain high standards for student learning, performance, and achievement – academic rigor and integrity  
1.3. Continually improve the quality and effectiveness of teaching and support services  
1.4. Identify and reduce barriers to student learning, and develop clear pathways to student success  
1.5. Develop students’ intellectual curiosity and subject matter competence, as well as communication, critical thinking, creative problem-solving, interpersonal, and leadership skills  
1.6. Encourage meaningful engagement and social responsibility  
1.7. Ensure that our students gain the knowledge, skills, perspectives, and attitudes necessary to thrive in a global society and become responsible global citizens  
1.8. Continue to improve educational attainment (persistence, retention, degree/certificate completion, transfer) and achievement of educational and career goals  
1.9. Maintain a healthy, safe, and inviting learning environment that is conducive to learning  
1.10. Develop and maintain mutually beneficial partnerships with K-12 schools, community colleges, four-year institutions, employers, industry, and other public and private entities that will allow us to help our students reach their educational and career goals

**Performance Measure:** Student engagement  
**Benchmark:**  
- Academic challenge - CCSSE\(^1\) survey results will demonstrate academic challenge ratings at or above the national comparison group  
- Student effort - CCSSE survey results will demonstrate student effort ratings at or above the national comparison group  
- Active and collaborative learning - CCSSE survey results will demonstrate active and collaborative learning ratings at or above the national comparison group

---

\(^1\) CCSSE – Community College Survey of Student Engagement
Performance Measure: Retention/persistence rates  
Benchmark: CSI’s first-time full-time retention rate will be at or above the median for its IPEDS² peer group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>2014 CSI</th>
<th>2014 Ntl. CC Peer Colleges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Challenge</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Effort</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>49.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active and Collaborative Learning</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>49.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Performance Measure: Technical skills attainment  
Benchmark: At least 92% of PTE concentrators will pass a state approved Technical Skill Assessment (TSA) during the reporting year

Performance Measure: Licensure and certification pass rates  
Benchmark: Maintain licensure and certification rates at or above state or national rates for all programs with applicable exams (and where the national/state rates are available)

Performance Measure: Employment status of professional-technical graduates  
Benchmark: At least 95% of PTE completers will achieve a positive placement in the second quarter after completing the program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technical Skills Attainment</td>
<td>94.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensure and Certification Pass Rates</td>
<td>94.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment Status of PTE Graduates</td>
<td>86.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

² IPEDS – Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System
Performance Measure: Graduation rates
Benchmarks: CSI’s first-time full-time graduation rate will be at or above the median for its IPEDS peer group
The number of degrees and certificates awarded will increase by 3% per year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graduation Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPEDS Comparison</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>(186/1011)</td>
<td>(200 / 1062)</td>
<td>(165 / 949)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2010 Cohort</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPEDS Comparison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Performance Measure: Transfer rates
Benchmarks: CSI’s transfer-out rate will be at or above the median for its IPEDS peer group
The number of students transferring with a CSI degree will increase by 2% per year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transfer Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPEDS Comparison</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>(132/1011)</td>
<td>(144 / 1062)</td>
<td>(138 / 949)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2010 Cohort</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPEDS Comparison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strategic Initiative II: Responsiveness

2. Goal: Meet the diverse and changing needs and expectations of our students and the community we serve

Objectives:

2.1. Meet the diverse and changing needs and expectations of our students
   2.1.1. Offer quality educational programs and support services that meet the needs of students with diverse backgrounds, preparation levels, abilities, and educational objectives
   2.1.2. Maintain access and support student success
   2.1.3. Provide university parallel curriculum for transfer students, state-of-the-art programs of professional-technical education, as well as
appropriate developmental education, continuing education, and enrichment programs

2.2. Meet the diverse and changing needs and expectations of employers in the area
   2.2.1. Provide workforce training and development, and industry certifications
   2.2.2. Ensure that the curricula provide the skills, knowledge, and experiences most needed by employers

2.3. Meet the diverse and changing needs and expectations of the community we serve
   2.3.1. Provide lifelong learning opportunities
   2.3.2. Serve as an engine for economic, social, and cultural development

Performance Measure: Enrollment and Full-Time Equivalency (FTE) - end-of-term unduplicated headcount, end-of-term total FTE, end-of-term transfer FTE, end-of-term professional-technical FTE, annual unduplicated dual credit enrollment, annual dual credit FTE, end-of-term unduplicated developmental enrollment, end-of-term developmental FTE, annual non-credit workforce training enrollment, annual continuing education enrollment

Benchmark: Overall headcount will increase by 2% a year
Overall FTE will increase by 1% a year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enrollment</th>
<th>FY 2011</th>
<th>FY2012</th>
<th>FY2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual (unduplicated) Enrollment Headcount</td>
<td>13,740</td>
<td>12,915</td>
<td>12,042</td>
<td>11,747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Technical Transfer</td>
<td>1,869</td>
<td>1,578</td>
<td>1,354</td>
<td>1,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PSR Annual Enrollment)</td>
<td>11,871</td>
<td>11,337</td>
<td>10,688</td>
<td>10,557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Enrollment FTE</td>
<td>5,535.54</td>
<td>5,182.73</td>
<td>4,934.83</td>
<td>4,468.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Technical Transfer</td>
<td>1,111.57</td>
<td>1,031.13</td>
<td>961.43</td>
<td>892.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PSR Annual Enrollment)</td>
<td>4,423.97</td>
<td>4,151.60</td>
<td>3,973.40</td>
<td>3575.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Dual Credit**
- Unduplicated Headcount 2,412 2,685 2,774 2,486
- Enrollments 4,576 4,742 5,131 3,986
- Total Credit Hours 13,241 14,187 14,218 12,171
  (SBOE Dual Credit Enrollment Report)

Performance Measure: Affordability - tuition and fees
Benchmark: Maintain tuition and fees, both in-state and out-of-state, at or below that of our peer institutions (defined as community colleges in Idaho)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>2014 - 15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuition and Fee Charges</td>
<td>CSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-State</td>
<td>$115/credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-State</td>
<td>$280/credit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Performance Measure:**  
**Student satisfaction rates**

**Benchmarks:**  
Student satisfaction – CCSSE survey results will demonstrate that over 92% of students would recommend CSI to a friend.  
Student satisfaction – CCSSE survey results will demonstrate that over 90% of students will evaluate their entire experience at CSI “Excellent” or “Good”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion of students who ...</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondent would recommend this college to a friend or family member</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent would evaluate their entire educational experience at this college as either “Excellent” or “Good”</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Performance Measure:**  
**Employer satisfaction with PTE graduates**

**Benchmark:**  
Survey results will demonstrate an overall (85% or higher) employer satisfaction with PTE graduates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employer satisfaction with PTE graduates</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Strategic Initiative III: Performance and Accountability**

**3. Goal:** Support employee learning, growth, wellness, and success

**Objectives:**

3.1. Recruit and retain faculty and staff who are committed to student learning and success
3.2. Support employees by providing the necessary information, resources, tools, training, and professional development needed to do their jobs effectively
3.3. Expect and reward competence, performance, excellent customer service, and contributions to the attainment of the institution’s mission, goals, and objectives
3.4. Maintain competitive faculty and staff compensation that is comparable to that of our peer institutions
3.5. Improve the health and well-being of employees through health education and activities that support positive lifestyle changes, thereby resulting in improved morale, productivity, and healthcare cost savings

**Performance Measure:**  
**Student-faculty interaction** - CCSSE survey results will

**Benchmark:**  
Determine student-faculty interaction ratings at or above the national comparison group
Support for learners - CCSSE survey results will demonstrate ratings for learner support at or above the national comparison group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student-Faculty Interaction</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for Learners</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Employee compensation competitiveness
CSI faculty salaries will be at the mean or above for comparable positions in the Mountain States Community College survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>FY 15</th>
<th>FY 14</th>
<th>FY 13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Salaries: Percentage of Mean for CSI vs. Mountain States Community Colleges</td>
<td>91.9%</td>
<td>93.4%</td>
<td>95.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Goal: Commit to continuous improvement and institutional effectiveness**

**Objectives:**

4.1. Ensure that the College’s mission, vision, Core Themes, and Strategic Plan drive decision-making, resource allocation, and everyday operations

4.2. Continually assess and improve the quality, relevancy, efficiency, and effectiveness of our systems, programs, services, and processes

4.3. Implement Lean Higher Education (LHE) principles and practices

4.4. Employ meaningful and effective measures, methodologies, and technologies to accurately and systematically measure and continually improve institutional performance and effectiveness

4.5. Maintain the trust of our constituents through transparency, accountability, and responsible stewardship

4.6. Allocate, manage, and invest resources prudently, effectively, and efficiently

4.7. Aggressively pursue new revenue sources and grant opportunities

4.8. Implement cost-saving strategies while maintaining the quality of programs and services

4.9. Utilize appropriate information technologies that support and enhance teaching and learning, improve the accessibility and quality of services, and increase the effectiveness and efficiency of operations

4.10. Develop and implement facilities, systems, and practices that are environmentally sustainable and demonstrative responsible stewardship of our natural resources

*Performance Measure: Alignment*
Benchmark: Individual Development Plans (IDP) and Unit Development Plans (UDP) will be aligned with the College’s mission, Core Themes, and Strategic Plan

The College’s IDP and UDP process is in alignment with its mission, core themes and strategic plan.

Performance Measure: Outcomes assessment
Benchmark: Every course and program will demonstrate effective use of outcomes assessment strategies to measure student learning outcomes and for continuous improvement

As is noted in the College’s Year-Seven Self-Evaluation Report to the Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities, each course and program has clearly defined outcomes assessment strategies which are used to measure student learning outcomes and are used for continuous improvement. These outcomes are used to measure attainment of program outcomes which are reported in Program Outcomes Assessment reports on December 1st of each year. The only exception to this is with the Liberal Arts Program where program level student learning outcomes are being developed in conjunction with general education reform efforts.

Performance Measure: Lean Higher Education (LHE)
Benchmark: Implement at least two LHE projects per year

The College did not implement LHE projects during the current cycle.

Performance Measure: Total yearly dollar amount generated through external grants
Benchmark: Submit a minimum of $3,500,000 yearly in external grant requests with a 33% success rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total yearly dollar amount generated through external grants</td>
<td>$3,608,174</td>
<td>$3,832,100</td>
<td>$3,740,814</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Performance Measure: Cost of instruction per FTE
Benchmark: Maintain the cost of instruction per FTE as reported through IPEDS at or below that of our peer institutions (defined as community colleges in Idaho)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instruction Expense per FTE:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Southern Idaho</td>
<td>$ 4,696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Western Idaho</td>
<td>$ 3,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Idaho College</td>
<td>$ 5,084</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Original Performance Measure Benchmark separated academic and PTE instructional costs into distinct measures, but this has been combined since this disaggregated data is not currently available. This measure is currently being refined.
Various external factors outside CSI’s control could significantly impact the achievement of the specific goals and objectives outlined in the Strategic Plan:

- Changes in the economic environment
- Changes in national or state priorities
- Significant changes in local, state, or federal funding levels
- Changes in market forces and competitive environment
- Circumstances of and strategies employed by our partners (e.g. K-12, higher education institutions, local industry)
- Supply of and competition for highly qualified faculty and staff
- Legal and regulatory changes
- Changes in technology
- Demographic changes
- Natural disasters, acts of war/terrorism

CSI will make every effort to anticipate and manage change effectively, establish and implement effective risk management policies and practices, and minimize the negative impacts of factors beyond the institution’s control.
## Part II. State Performance Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Success &amp; Progress Rate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Time Students</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>CSI's retention rate will be at or above the median for its IPEDS peer group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-time, full-time, degree/certificate seeking students still enrolled or program completers as of the following fall (IPEDS)</td>
<td>(611 / 1076)</td>
<td>(623 / 1148)</td>
<td>(574 / 1005)</td>
<td>(574 / 1020)</td>
<td>Fall 2012 Cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Success &amp; Progress Rate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer-In Students</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>CSI's transfer-in retention rate will be at or above the rate of our peer institutions (defined as community colleges in Idaho).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer-in, full-time, degree/certificate seeking students still enrolled or program completers as of the following fall</td>
<td>(180 / 295)</td>
<td>(187 / 313)</td>
<td>(180 / 285)</td>
<td>(195 / 295 )</td>
<td>Fall 2012 Cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost per credit hour</strong></td>
<td>$221.49</td>
<td>$187.29</td>
<td>$191.58</td>
<td>$177.54</td>
<td>Maintain the cost of instruction per FTE at or below that of our peer institutions (defined as community colleges in Idaho).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IPEDS Finance and Annual Weighted Credits)</td>
<td>($542,411,664 / 191,484)</td>
<td>($37,642,948 / 200,990)</td>
<td>($38,130,642 / 199,032)</td>
<td>($34,127,570 / 192,223)</td>
<td>Fall 2012 Cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Efficiency</strong></td>
<td>1.938</td>
<td>2.636</td>
<td>2.961</td>
<td>3.724</td>
<td>Maintain degree production per $100,000 instructional expenditures at or above that of our peer institutions (defined as community colleges in Idaho).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IPEDS Finance and Completions)</td>
<td>(822 / $424,12)</td>
<td>(993 / $376,43)</td>
<td>(1129 / $381,31)</td>
<td>(1271 / $341,28)</td>
<td>Fall 2012 Cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tuition and fees</strong></td>
<td>$1,260</td>
<td>$1,320</td>
<td>$1,320</td>
<td>$1,320</td>
<td>Maintain tuition and fees at or below that of our peer institutions (defined as community colleges in Idaho).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-Time</td>
<td>$105 per credit</td>
<td>$110 per credit</td>
<td>$110 per credit</td>
<td>$110 per credit</td>
<td>Fall 2012 Cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Graduation Rate</strong></td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>CSI's first-time full-time graduation rate will be at or above the median for its IPEDS peer group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-time, full-time, degree/certificate seeking students (IPEDS)</td>
<td>(167 / 919)</td>
<td>(165 / 949)</td>
<td>(200 / 1062)</td>
<td>(186 / 1011)</td>
<td>Fall 2012 Cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Graduate Ratio</strong></td>
<td>197</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>CSI's graduate ratio will be at or above the rate of our peer institutions (defined as community colleges in Idaho).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of graduates divided by 3-yr. average degree-seeking FTE</td>
<td>(759 / 3,844)</td>
<td>(895 / 4,043)</td>
<td>(1,032 / 4,376)</td>
<td>(1,102 / 4,372)</td>
<td>Fall 2012 Cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transfer Rate</strong></td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>CSI's transfer-out rate will be at or above the median for its IPEDS peer group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-time, full-time, degree/certificate seeking students (IPEDS)</td>
<td>(139 / 919)</td>
<td>(138 / 949)</td>
<td>(144 / 1062)</td>
<td>(132 / 1011)</td>
<td>Fall 2012 Cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employee Compensation Competitiveness</strong></td>
<td>93.5%</td>
<td>95.2%</td>
<td>93.4%</td>
<td>95.2%</td>
<td>CSI employee salaries will be at the mean or above for comparable positions in the Mountain States Community College Survey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Yearly Dollar Amount Generated Through External Grants</strong></td>
<td>$4,066,363</td>
<td>$3,740,814</td>
<td>$3,832,100</td>
<td>$3,589,429</td>
<td>Will submit a minimum of $2,750,000 yearly in external grant requests with a 33% success rate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Costs are derived from instructional, academic support, student services and institutional support expenses identified in the IPEDS Finance report divided by the annual credit hours (weighted academic credits from PSR 1.5 report plus PTE credits) for the corresponding year. This measure differs from that submitted by Idaho’s four-year colleges and universities, and should be considered under development pending further discussion with the community college financial officers and the SBOE staff.

2 Certificates and Degrees awarded per $100,000 of Education and Related Spending (as defined by the IPEDS Finance expense categories of instruction, academic support, student services and institutional support) for the corresponding year.
Each year a number of community colleges participate in the Mountain States Community College Survey. This measure reflects the College of Southern Idaho mean faculty salary divided by the Mountain States mean faculty salary. The resulting percentage demonstrates how College of Southern Idaho salaries compare with other institutions in the Mountain States region.

Part III. Profile of Cases Managed and/or Key Services Provided

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases Managed and/or Key Services Provided</th>
<th>FY2011</th>
<th>FY2012</th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual (unduplicated) Enrollment Headcount¹</td>
<td>13,740</td>
<td>12,915</td>
<td>12,042</td>
<td>11,747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Technical Transfer</td>
<td>1,869</td>
<td>1,578</td>
<td>1,354</td>
<td>1,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PSR Annual Enrollment)</td>
<td>11,871</td>
<td>11,337</td>
<td>10,688</td>
<td>10,557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Enrollment FTE¹</td>
<td>5,535.54</td>
<td>5,182.73</td>
<td>4,934.83</td>
<td>4,468.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Technical Transfer</td>
<td>1,111.57</td>
<td>1,031.13</td>
<td>961.43</td>
<td>892.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PSR Annual Enrollment)</td>
<td>4,423.97</td>
<td>4,151.60</td>
<td>3,973.40</td>
<td>3,575.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degrees/Certificates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degrees Awarded</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>1,129</td>
<td>1,271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unduplicated Headcount</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>1,032</td>
<td>1,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IPEDS Completions)</td>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>2012-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of unduplicated degree earners to total unduplicated headcount²</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IPEDS Completions and PSR Annual Headcount)</td>
<td>(759 / 7,495)</td>
<td>(895 / 7,700)</td>
<td>(1,032 / 7,829)</td>
<td>(1,102 / 7,481)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total degrees/certificates awarded per 100 FTE students enrolled</td>
<td>17.03</td>
<td>20.41</td>
<td>21.98</td>
<td>24.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IPEDS Completions and IPEDS Fall FTE)</td>
<td>(822 / 48.28)</td>
<td>(993 / 48.66)</td>
<td>(1,129 / 51.37)</td>
<td>(1,271/52.43 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workforce Training Headcount</td>
<td>5,218</td>
<td>4,426</td>
<td>3,368</td>
<td>3,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual Credit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unduplicated Headcount</td>
<td>2,412</td>
<td>2,685</td>
<td>2,774</td>
<td>2,486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrollments</td>
<td>4,576</td>
<td>4,742</td>
<td>5,131</td>
<td>3,986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Credit Hours</td>
<td>13,241</td>
<td>14,187</td>
<td>14,218</td>
<td>12,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SBOE Dual Credit Enrollment Report)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remediation Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-Time, First-Year Students Attending Idaho High School within Last 12 Months</td>
<td>72.5%</td>
<td>69.5%</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SBOE Remediation Report)</td>
<td>(923 / 1273)</td>
<td>(892 / 1284)</td>
<td>(820 / 1250)</td>
<td>(692 / 1141)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ There have been enrollment processing and reporting changes over the period of this report. A new PSR Annual Enrollment report was developed as of FY12 with some minor differences in enrollment calculations from prior reports. In addition, CSI continues to revise the process for determining a student’s headcount affiliation (Transfer vs. PTE).

² Unduplicated headcount includes only degree-seeking students of the total PSR-1 annual headcount.
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Strategic Plan FY2016 – 2020

MISSION
The College of Western Idaho is a public, open-access, and comprehensive community college committed to providing affordable access to quality teaching/learning opportunities to the residents of its service area in Western Idaho.

VISION
The College of Western Idaho provides affordable, quality teaching and learning opportunities for all to excel at learning for life

CORE THEMES
Professional technical programs
General education courses/programs
Basic skills courses
Community outreach

CORE VALUES
Acting with integrity
Serving all in an atmosphere of caring
Sustaining our quality of life for future generations
Respecting the dignity of opinions
Innovating for the 21st Century
Leaving a legacy of learning

STATUTORY AUTHORITY
This plan has been developed in accordance with Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU) and Idaho State Board of Education standards. The statutory authority and the enumerated general powers and duties of the Board of Trustees of a junior (community) college district are established in Sections 33-2101, 33-2103 to 33-2115, Idaho Code.
STRATEGIC PRIORITIES, OBJECTIVES, and MEASURES

GOAL 1: Student Success
CWI values its students and is committed to supporting their success (in reaching their educational and/or career goals).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 1</th>
<th>CWI will improve student retention and persistence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Performance Measures | - Course Completion rates will meet or exceed 80% by 2019  
- Semester-to-Semester Persistence rates will meet or exceed 80% by 2019  
- Fall-to-Fall Retention Rates will meet or exceed 55% by 2019  
- Develop and report all Voluntary Framework of Accountability Student Progress and Outcome Measures by 2019 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 2</th>
<th>CWI will improve student degree and certificate completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Performance Measures | - CWI will grant 750 AA, AS, and AAS degrees annually by 2019  
- CWI will grant 250 technical certificates annually by 2019  
- CWI will grant 9,300 certificates of completion annually by 2019 through Workforce Development non-credit programs |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 3</th>
<th>CWI will provide support services that improve student success</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Performance Measures | - Applicant to Enrolled matriculation rate will meet or exceed 40% by 2019  
- Persistence Rate first to second semester of enrollment for “1st time college attenders will meet or exceed 77% by 2019  
- Completion Rate within 150% of program/major requirements will meet or exceed the Community College national average of 19.6% by 2019  
- Average loan indebtedness and borrowing rates for CWI students will be below national averages (IPEDS) by 2019  
- Utilization of Tutoring Services/Student Success Center  
- CWI will provide tutoring support services that result in a penetration rate of 40% by 2019 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 4</th>
<th>CWI will develop educational pathways and services to improve accessibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Performance Measures | - By 2019, 60% of Students who complete college prep course work will earn a C or better in the corresponding gateway course  
- Dual credits awarded to high school students will increase to 17,000 credits by 2019  
- 20,000 discrete annual enrollments in online courses by 2019 |
GOAL 2: Employee Success
CWI values its employees and is committed to a culture of individual, team, and institutional growth which is supported and celebrated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 1</th>
<th>Employees will have the resources, information, and other support to be successful in their roles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Performance Measures** | • >=65% of IT Help Desk tickets are resolved upon initial contact  
• >= 80 % agree/strongly agree on annual Employee Survey questions listed below:  
  • CWI does a good job of meeting the needs of staff / faculty  
  • I have the information I need to do my job well  
  • It is easy for me to get information at CWI  
  • I feel my supervisor supports me  
  • I am empowered to resolve problems quickly |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 2</th>
<th>CWI will provide employees with professional development, training and learning opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Performance Measures** | • >= 80 % agree/strongly agree on annual Employee Survey questions listed below:  
  • I have adequate opportunities for professional development and training to improve my skills  
  • My supervisor helps me improve my job performance |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 3</th>
<th>Provide clear expectations for job performance and growth opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Performance Measures** | • >=80% agree/strongly agree on annual Employee Survey questions listed below:  
  • My job description accurately reflects my job duties  
  • My responsibilities are communicated clearly to me  
  • My department or work unit has written, up-to-date objectives  
  • I have adequate opportunities for advancement |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 4</th>
<th>Promote a culture to recognize employee excellence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Performance Measures** | • >=75% of our annual recognition budget is awarded  
• >= 80 % agree/strongly agree on annual Employee Survey questions listed below:  
  • I feel appreciated for the work that I do  
  • The type of work I do on most days is personally rewarding |
GOAL 3: Fiscal Stability
The College of Western Idaho will operate within its available resources and implement strategies to increase revenue, while improving operating efficiencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 1</th>
<th>CWI will operate using an annual balanced budget, will actively manage expenditures, and create operational efficiencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Performance Measures | • Develop at least 2 measures each year to actively identify unfavorable revenue & expense trends  
  • Conduct analyses of three college business processes each year to identify and correct inefficiencies  
  • Incorporate student fees for strategic reserve, into annual operating budget |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 2</th>
<th>CWI will maintain the integrity of existing revenue streams and will actively seek out new forms of revenue consistent with the College's mission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Performance Measures | • Comply with all requirements of funding agencies to ensure continued and increased revenue streams  
  • Advocate for additional state funding to achieve parity with other Idaho Community Colleges by 2019  
  • Apply for new grant funds each year that support the strategic mission of the college, and increase grant revenue by 10% annually  
  • Reapply for all applicable ongoing grants each year  
  • Increase amount of monetary awards through grants by 10% each year  
  • Reduce the amount of unpaid tuition balances sent to collections by 5% each year  
  • Increase annual revenue growth in Workforce Development by 10% each year |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 3</th>
<th>CWI will work to maintain and enhance its facilities &amp; technology and actively plan for future space and technology needs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Performance Measures | • Improve facility utilization rates to 90% by 2019  
  • Achieve 75% completion of technology work-plan each year |
GOAL 4: Community Connections
The College of Western Idaho will implement a variety of educational and developmental programs to bring the college into the community in meaningful ways.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 1</th>
<th>CWI creates and delivers educational programs and services to the community through short-term training programs which foster economic development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Performance Measures** | • Increase the number of people served through Workforce Development by 10% each year  
                              • Workforce Development participant survey reflects at least 85 percent positive satisfaction |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 2</th>
<th>CWI engages in educational, cultural, and organizational activities that enrich our community</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Performance Measures** | • Increase the number of hours CWI facilities are used by non-CWI organizations  
                              • Participate in at least 50 events that support community enrichment each year  
                              • Increase Basic Skills Education to the 8 non-district counties in southwest Idaho  
                              • CWI student-to-community engagement will exceed 6000 hours annually |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 3</th>
<th>Expand CWI’s community connections within its service area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Performance Measures** | • 100% Professional Technical Education programs and Apprenticeship programs in Workforce Development have Technical Advisory Committees with local business and industry members  
                              • CWI will engage in outreach activities with 100% of public high schools in its service area  
                              • 25% Increase in number of active business partnerships by 2019 |
GOAL 5: Institutional Sustainability
The College of Western Idaho (CWI) finds strength through its people and viability in its operations and infrastructure; therefore the institution will continually evaluate the colleges’ health to ensure sustainability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 1</th>
<th>CWI will promote the college’s health and wellbeing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Measures</strong></td>
<td>On annual Employee Survey questions listed below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• &gt;= 80% agree/strongly agree on the question listed below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i. Overall, I am satisfied with my employment with CWI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• &gt;=75% agree/strongly agree on the question listed below by 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i. There are effective lines of communication between departments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 2</th>
<th>CWI will have effective and efficient infrastructure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Measures</strong></td>
<td>• CWI will consolidate locations &amp; target development of 2 major campuses in Ada &amp; Canyon Counties by 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• &gt;= 80 % agree/strongly agree to “CWI has clearly written and defined procedures” by 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• CWI will reduce utility consumption (units consumed) by 10% by 2019 on college owned properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• CWI will optimize its’ Core Information &amp; Technology (IT) Network by achieving an annual target of 99.99% network availability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXTERNAL FACTORS

There are a number of key external factors that can have significant impact on our ability to fulfill our mission and institutional priorities in the years to come. Some of these include:

- Continued revenue. Over a quarter of CWI’s revenue comes from State of Idaho provided funds (general fund, PTE, etc.) Achieving parity with the state’s other community colleges is a stated objective within our strategic plan. Ongoing state funding is vital to the continued success of CWI.

- Enrollment. CWI is actively engaged in recruiting and retention efforts in all of its facets. With nearly 50% of revenue generated by active enrollments, it is critical that CWI reach out in meaningful ways to its service area to support ongoing learning opportunities for the community and maintain fiscal stability for the college.

- Economy. Recent years have shown that the state and national economy have significant impacts on the success of higher education.
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Mission
North Idaho College meets the diverse educational needs of students, employers, and the northern Idaho communities it serves through a commitment to student success, educational excellence, community engagement, and lifelong learning.

Vision
As a comprehensive community college, North Idaho College strives to provide accessible, affordable, quality learning opportunities. North Idaho College endeavors to be an innovative, flexible leader recognized as a center of educational, cultural, economic, and civic activities by the communities it serves.

Accreditation Core Themes
The college mission is reflected in its five accreditation core themes:

- Student Success
- Educational Excellence
- Community Engagement
- Stewardship
- Diversity

Key External Factors
- Changes in the economic environment
- Changes in local, state, or federal funding levels
- Changes in local, state, or national educational priorities
- Changes in education market (competitive environment)

Values
North Idaho College is dedicated to these core values which guide its decisions and actions.
**Goal 1 – Student Success:** A vibrant, lifelong learning environment that engages students as partners in achieving educational goals to enhance their quality of life

**Objectives**
1) Provide innovative, progressive, and student-centered programs and services.
2) Engage and empower students to take personal responsibility and to actively participate in their educational experience.
3) Promote programs and services to enhance access and successful student transitions.

**Performance Measures**

- Percentage of full-time, first-time and new transfer-in students who a) were awarded a degree or certificate, b) transferred without an award to a 2- or 4-year institution, c) are still enrolled, and d) left the institution within six years.
  *Benchmark: Expectation will be defined after 3 years of data is gathered*

- Total number of employers (out of total respondents) who indicate satisfaction with overall preparation of completers
  *Benchmark: 80% of employers indicate satisfaction with preparation of completers*

- Career Program Completers, percent employed in related field
  *Benchmark: 65% employed*

- Fall to Spring Persistence Rate, credit students
  *Benchmark: 84% persist*

- First-time, full-time, student retention rates
  *Benchmark: 63%*

- First-time, part-time, student retention rates
  *Benchmark: 45%*

**Goal 2 - Educational Excellence:** High academic standards, passionate and skillful instruction, professional development, and innovative programming while continuously improving all services and outcomes

**Objectives**
1) Evaluate, create and adapt programs that respond to the educational and training needs of the region.
2) Engage students in critical and creative thinking through disciplinary and interdisciplinary teaching and learning.
3) Strengthen institutional effectiveness, teaching excellence and student learning through challenging and relevant course content, and continuous assessment and improvement.
4) Recognize and expand faculty and staff scholarship through professional development.

**Performance Measures**

- Student Learning Outcomes Assessment goals achieved in general education
  *Benchmark: 80% percent or more of annual assessment goals are consistently met over 3-year plan*

- Full-time to Part-time faculty ratio
  *Benchmark: Maintain above average ratio*

- NIC is responsive to faculty and staff professional development needs
  *Benchmark: Maintain or increase funding levels available for professional development*

- Licensure pass rates at or above national pass rates
  *Benchmark: Maintain or improve current pass rates*
• Dual Credit students who enroll at NIC as degree-seeking postsecondary students as a percentage of total headcount  
  Benchmark: Sustain or increase  
• All instructional programs submit annual summary reports documenting program improvements  
  Benchmark: 20% of total programs per year over five years until fully implemented

**Goal 3 - Community Engagement:** Collaborative partnerships with businesses, organizations, community members, and educational institutions to identify and address changing educational needs

**Objectives**  
1) Advance and nurture relationships throughout our service region to enhance the lives of the citizens and students we serve.  
2) Demonstrate commitment to the economic/business development of the region.  
3) Promote North Idaho College in the communities we serve.  
4) Enhance community access to college facilities.

**Performance Measures**  
• Distance Learning proportion of credit hours  
  Benchmark: Increase by 2% annually for a total of 25%  
• Dual Credit annual credit hours in the high schools  
  Benchmark: Increase by 5% annually  
• Dual Credit annual credit hours taught via distance delivery  
  Benchmark: Increase by 5% annually  
• Market Penetration (Credit Students): Unduplicated headcount of credit students as a percentage of NIC's total service area population  
  Benchmark: 3.6%  
• Market Penetration (Non-Credit Students): Unduplicated headcount of non-credit students as a percentage of NIC's total service area population  
  Benchmark: 3.0%  
• Percentage of student evaluations of community education courses reflect a satisfaction rating of above average  
  Benchmark: 85% of total number score a satisfaction rating of above average

**Goal 4 – Diversity:** A learning environment that celebrates the uniqueness of all individuals and encourages cultural competency

**Objectives**  
1) Foster a culture of inclusion.  
2) Promote a safe and respectful environment.  
3) Develop culturally competent faculty, staff and students.

**Performance Measures**  
• Number of students enrolled from diverse populations  
  Benchmark: Maintain a diverse, or more diverse population than the population within NIC’s service region  
• Participation in sponsored events that promote diversity awareness  
  Benchmark: To be defined in 2016
Number of course outcomes related to multiculturalism, pluralism, equity, and diversity
*Benchmark: To be defined in 2016*

Students who respond “quite a bit or very much” to CCSSE survey question: “Does the college encourage contact among students from different economic, social and racial or ethnic backgrounds?”
*Benchmark: Increase by 2% annually until the national average is met or exceeded*

**Goal 5 – Stewardship:** Economic and environmental sustainability through leadership, awareness, and responsiveness to changing community resources

**Objectives**
1) Exhibit trustworthy stewardship of resources.
2) Demonstrate commitment to an inclusive and integrated planning environment.
3) Explore, adopt, and promote initiatives that help sustain the environment.

**Performance Measures**
- Dollars secured through the Development Department via private donations and grants
  *Benchmark: $2,000,000*
- College-wide replacement schedule for personal computers
  *Benchmark: 100% of the computers are replaced within the 42 month window*
- Efficiency measures and energy upgrades result in dollars saved
  *Benchmark: Sustain or Increase*
- Tuition and Fees for full-time, in-district students (full academic year)
  *Benchmark: Maintain rank in the lowest 40% against comparator institutions*
Student Success Performance Measures

- Percentage of full-time, first-time and new transfer-in students who a) were awarded a degree or certificate, b) transferred without an award to a 2- or 4-year institution, c) are still enrolled, and d) left the institution within six years.
  
  \[ \text{Benchmark: Expectation will be defined after 3 years of data is gathered} \]
  \[ \text{Status: a) 25.8\% b) 26.3\% c) 5.3\% d) 42.6\%} \]

- Total number of employers (out of total respondents) who indicate satisfaction with overall preparation of completers
  
  \[ \text{Benchmark: 80\% of employers indicate satisfaction with preparation of completers} \]
  \[ \text{Status: 97\%} \]

- Career Program Completers, percent employed in related field
  
  \[ \text{Benchmark: 65\% employed} \]
  \[ \text{Status: 50.3\%} \]

- Fall to Spring Persistence Rate, credit students
  
  \[ \text{Benchmark: 84\% persist} \]
  \[ \text{Status: 83.5\%} \]

- First-time, full-time, student retention rates
  
  \[ \text{Benchmark: 63\%} \]
  \[ \text{Status: 55\%} \]

- First-time, part-time, student retention rates
  
  \[ \text{Benchmark: 45\%} \]
  \[ \text{Status: 35\%} \]

Educational Excellence Performance Measures

- Student Learning Outcomes Assessment goals achieved in general education
  
  \[ \text{Benchmark: 80\% percent or more of annual assessment goals are consistently met over 3-yr plan} \]
  \[ \text{Status: 72\%} \]

- Full-time to Part-time faculty ratio
  
  \[ \text{Benchmark: Maintain above average ratio} \]
  \[ \text{Status: 0.8:1.0 (164 full-time and 204 part-time)} \]

- NIC is responsive to faculty and staff professional development needs
  
  \[ \text{Benchmark: Maintain or increase funding levels available for professional development} \]
  \[ \text{Status: $78,000 in current funding} \]

- Licensure pass rates at or above national pass rates
  
  \[ \text{Benchmark: Maintain or improve current pass rates} \]
  \[ \text{Status: 98\% or above for all programs for which data is available} \]

- Dual Credit students who enroll at NIC as degree-seeking postsecondary students as a percentage of total headcount
  
  \[ \text{Benchmark: Sustain or Increase} \]
  \[ \text{Status: 4.3\%} \]
• All instructional programs submit annual summary reports documenting program improvements  
  *Benchmark:* 20% of total programs per year over five years until fully implemented  
  *Status:* This is a new measure; no status available

**Community Engagement Performance Measures**

• Distance Learning proportion of credit hours  
  *Benchmark:* Increase by 2% annually for a total of 25%  
  *Status:* 25.1%

• Dual Credit annual credit hours in the high schools  
  *Benchmark:* Increase by 5% annually  
  *Status:* 2,399

• Dual Credit annual credit hours taught via distance delivery  
  *Benchmark:* Increase by 5% annually  
  *Status:* 3,407

• Market Penetration (Credit Students): Unduplicated headcount of credit students as a percentage of NIC’s total service area population  
  *Benchmark:* 3.6%  
  *Status:* 3.6%

• Market Penetration (Non-Credit Students): Unduplicated headcount of non-credit students as a percentage of NIC’s total service area population  
  *Benchmark:* 3.0%  
  *Status:* 2.2%

• Percentage of student evaluations of community education courses reflect a satisfaction rating of above average  
  *Benchmark:* 85% of total number score a satisfaction rating of above average  
  *Status:* 93%

**Diversity Performance Measures**

• Number of students enrolled from diverse populations  
  *Benchmark:* Maintain a diverse, or more diverse population than the population within NIC’s service region  
  *Status:* 81% White, 9% Other; 10% Unknown

• Participation in sponsored events that promote diversity awareness  
  *Benchmark:* To be defined in 2016  
  *Status:* This is a new measure; no status available

• Number of course outcomes related to multiculturalism, pluralism, equity, and diversity  
  *Benchmark:* To be defined in 2016  
  *Status:* This is a new measure; no status available

• Students who respond “quite a bit or very much” to CCSSE survey question: “Does the college encourage contact among students from different economic, social and racial or ethnic backgrounds?”  
  *Benchmark:* Increase by 2% annually until the national average is met or exceeded  
  *Status:* 37.7% (compared to national average of 52.7%)

**Stewardship Performance Measures**

• Dollars secured through the Development Department via private donations and grants  
  *Benchmark:* $2,000,000
• College-wide replacement schedule for personal computers
  Benchmark: 100% of the computers are replaced within the 42 month window
  Status: 94.5%

• Efficiency measures and energy upgrades result in dollars saved
  Benchmark: Sustain or Increase
  Status: 11% overall decrease (over 7 year period) in utilities expenditures

• Tuition and Fees for full-time, in-district students (full academic year)
  Benchmark: Maintain rank in the lowest 40% against comparator institutions
  Status: $2,974

Idaho State Board of Education System-Wide Performance Measures

• Degree Production – Degree and certificate production and headcount of recipients
  Benchmark: Maintain graduation rate at or above the median for IPEDS peer group
  Status: 998 awards / 930 headcount

• Degree Production - Unduplicated headcount of graduates over rolling 3-year average degree seeking FTE
  Benchmark: Compare favorably against Idaho peer group
  Status: 22.8%

• Retention Rate - Percent of full-time new and transfer degree-seeking students that are retained or graduate the following year
  Benchmark: To be defined after three years of VFA data is collected
  Status: 51% (new); 56% (transfer)

• Undergraduate cost per credit
  Benchmark: Compare favorably against Idaho peer group
  Status: $270.79

• Graduates per $100k – Graduates per $100,000 of education and related spending by institutions
  Benchmark: Maintain rank at or above the median for IPEDS peer group
  Status: 2.04

• Remediation - Number and percentage of first-time freshmen who graduated from an Idaho high school in the previous year requiring remedial education as determined by institutional benchmarks.
  Benchmark: This measure is an input from the K-12 system and is not benchmarkable, per ISBOE
  Status: 66.5%

• Dual Credit – Total annual credit hours
  Benchmark: This measure is an input from the K-12 system and is not benchmarkable, per ISBOE
  Status: 9,884

• Dual Credit – Unduplicated Annual Headcount
  Benchmark: This measure is an input from the K-12 system and is not benchmarkable, per ISBOE
  Status: 921
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MISSION STATEMENT
The College of Agricultural and Life Sciences fulfills the intent and purpose of the land-grant mission and serves the food-industry, people and communities of Idaho and our nation:

- through identification of critical needs and development of creative solutions,
- through the discovery, application, and dissemination of science-based knowledge,
- by preparing individuals through education and life-long learning to become leaders and contributing members of society,
- by fostering the healthy populations as individuals and as a society,
- by supporting a vibrant economy, benefiting the individual, families and society as a whole.

VALUES STATEMENT
The College of Agricultural and Life Sciences values:

- excellence in creative discovery, instruction and outreach,
- open communication and innovation,
- individual and institutional accountability,
- integrity and ethical conduct,
- accomplishment through teamwork and partnership,
- responsiveness and flexibility,
- individual and institutional health and happiness.

VISION STATEMENT
We will be the recognized state-wide leader and innovator in meeting the state’s current and future challenges to create healthy individuals, families and communities, and enhance sustainable food systems respected regionally and nationally through focused areas of excellence in teaching, research and outreach with extension serving as a critical knowledge bridge between the University of Idaho, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, and the people of Idaho.

Goals
**Teaching and Learning:** Enable student success in a rapidly changing world through transformed teaching and learning.

**Objective:**
1. Build adaptable, integrative curricula and pedagogies.
   *Performance Measure:* Approved ISEM 301 course listed in spring 2014 course catalog.
   *Benchmark:* Approved ISEM 301 course listed in spring 2014 course catalog.

2. Increase the number of course offerings via distance learning.
   *Performance Measure:* Exploration of additional course offerings to meet students’ curricular needs to support timely degree completion for on-campus and off-campus programs.
   *Benchmark:* 10% increase in distance course offerings from CALS

**Scholarly and Creative Activity:** Promote excellence in scholarship and creative activity to enhance life today and prepare us for tomorrow.

**Objectives:**
1. Increase grant submissions and awards from agencies, commissions, foundations, and private industry by all tenure and non-tenure track faculty, staff, and administration for scholarship and creative activities in research, extension, and teaching.
   *Performance Measure:* Number of grant proposals submitted per year, number of grant awards received per year, and amount of grant funding received per year
   *Benchmark:* Five percent increase per year in the number of grants submitted.

2. Increase grants awarded to faculty by hiring grant specialists to assist in identifying funding opportunities and grant writers to assist in proposal development
   *Performance Measures:* Availability and use of grant specialists and grant writers, number of grants identified by grant specialists and, number of grants submitted using the services of a grant writer
   *Benchmark:* Attain an average of $20 million in extramural funding across research, extension, and teaching scholarship during the 2015-2017 time period

3. Allocate resources preferentially to defined college Programs of Distinction and departmental areas of excellence, and to emerging Programs of Distinction and areas of excellence
Performance Measures: Funds or in-kind donations acquired through development, endowments, and collaborations with public and private organizations

Benchmark: Attain $45 million by 2016 as aligned with UI campaigns

4. Facilitate the formation of Programs of Distinction teams and other interdisciplinary teams to identify and address key research problems and opportunities

Performance Measures: Number of interdisciplinary teams formed

Benchmark: Formation of four or more interdisciplinary teams that will develop Programs of Distinction by July 2016

5. Provide competitive funding for planning and reward faculty participation in interdisciplinary programs by providing necessary incentives and training to improve competitiveness of center- or team-based grant proposals.

Performance Measures: Number of competitive grant proposals submitted and awarded

Benchmark: Be awarded 4 to 5 large, longer term competitive grants that are led by faculty by 2016

Outreach and Engagement: Meet society's critical needs by engaging in mutually beneficial partnerships.

1. Actively participate in identifying, developing, and implementing Programs of Distinction and areas of excellence.

Performance Measures: Programs of Distinction identified, work plans created, and measures of effectiveness established for each Program of Distinction by 2016; measures assessed annually thereafter

Benchmark: Twenty percent of faculty working effectively in Programs of Distinction and engaged with clientele and stakeholders

2. Redirect internal resources and recruit industry and agency funding for student internships and student service learning projects that support outreach and engagement in priority areas.

Performance Measures: Amount of funding redirected and recruited annually; number of students engaged in internships and in service learning projects during their undergraduate or graduate programs

Benchmark: By 2017, funding for internships and student projects doubled (2013 baseline); number of students involved in internships doubled (2013 baseline);
and number of students involved in service learning projects doubled (2013 baseline)

3. Recognize faculty for outreach and engagement accomplishments as part of annual evaluation, promotion and tenure
   *Performance Measures:* Unit administrators recognize, value, and reward significant outreach and engagement outcomes and impacts
   *Benchmark:* Unit administrators can clearly communicate outcomes and impacts resulting from outreach and engagement accomplishments of their faculty

4. Expand the role of all advisory boards by utilizing the networking capabilities of advisory board members to enhance partnership development
   *Performance Measures:* Partnerships developed through collaborative efforts with advisory board members, Development, and administration
   *Benchmark:* Outreach and engagement programming enhanced through partnerships with key agencies, organizations, and foundations

5. Market outcomes of Programs of Distinction and areas of excellence through college publications, popular press articles, and presentations to decision makers and stakeholders.
   *Performance Measures:* Number of articles featuring outcomes and impacts of Programs of Distinction and areas of excellence; number of major presentations featuring Programs of Distinction and areas of excellence outcomes and impacts
   *Benchmark:* Outcomes of Programs of Distinction and areas of excellence have been documented and reported to stakeholders and decision makers by 2018

**Organization, Culture and Climate:** Be a purposeful, ethical, vibrant and open community.

1. Include an emphasis on diversity by providing multi-cultural events and training opportunities or by participating in University sponsored activities.
   *Performance Measures:* Number of faculty and staff who complete a multi-cultural competency training in addition to increased faculty, staff, and student participation in multi-cultural events or UI sponsored activity.
   *Benchmark:* Increased diversity awareness among faculty, staff, and students.
2. Seek private and public funding for scholarships to increase enrollment by underrepresented groups
   
   **Performance Measures:** Amount of funding raised
   
   **Benchmark:** Double the scholarships over 5 years.

3. Utilize established university policies and procedures to address problematic behaviors
   
   **Performance Measures:** Number of reported incidences and investigations
   
   **Benchmark:** Reduce the number of reported incidences and investigations relative to the average of the previous five years

4. Encourage faculty and staff participation in conflict resolution and/or management training offered by UI Professional Development & Learning office.
   
   **Performance Measures:** Number of participants completing conflict resolution and/or management training
   
   **Benchmarks:** 100% participation

External Factors:

**Loss of essential personnel:** Comparisons of salary and benefits with peer institutions continues to hamper our ability to hire and retain highly qualified individuals within the Agricultural Research and Extension Service.

**Cultivation of Partnerships:** We continue to cultivate partnerships to maintain the agricultural research and extension system. Although to date these efforts have been successful, these efforts are very time consuming and take many months to reach agreement and produce revenue streams to help maintain this system and meet our land grant mission.

**Statewide Infrastructure Needs:** Our ability to fund infrastructure maintenance and improvements to maintain our research intensive facilities remains limited. As mentioned in previous years, this clearly impacts our ability to obtain external grant funding and develop collaborative partnerships with state, federal, and private entities and other institutions.
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Forest Utilization Research and Outreach (FUR)

MISSION

The Forest Utilization Research and Outreach (FUR) program is located in the College of Natural Resources at The University of Idaho. It’s purpose is to increase the productivity of Idaho’s forest and range lands by developing, analyzing, and demonstrating methods to improve land management and related problem situations such as post-wildfire rehabilitation using state-of-the-art forest and rangeland regeneration and restoration techniques. Other focal areas include sustainable forest harvesting and livestock grazing practices, including air and water quality protection, as well as improved nursery management practices, increased wood use, and enhanced wood utilization technologies for bioenergy and bioproducts. In addition the Policy Analysis Group follows a legislative mandate to provide unbiased factual and timely information on natural resources issues facing Idaho’s decision makers. Through collaboration and consultation FUR programs promote the application of science and technology to support sustainable lifestyles and civic infrastructures of Idaho’s communities in an increasingly interdependent and competitive global setting.

OUTCOME-BASED VISION STATEMENT

The scholarly, creative, and educational activities related to and supported by Forest Utilization Research and Outreach (FUR) programs will lead to improved capabilities in Idaho’s workforce to address critical natural resource issues by producing and applying new knowledge and developing leaders for land management organizations concerned with sustainable forest and rangeland management, including fire science and management, and a full range of forest and rangeland ecosystem services and products. This work will be shaped by a passion to integrate scientific knowledge with natural resource management practices. All FUR programs will promote collaborative learning partnerships across organizational boundaries such as governments and private sector enterprises, as well as landowner and non-governmental organizations with interests in sustainable forest and rangeland management. In addition, FUR programs will catalyze entrepreneurial innovation that will enhance stewardship of Idaho’s forest and rangelands, natural resources, and environmental quality.
GOALS & OBJECTIVES

Goal 1: Scholarship and Creativity

Achieve excellence in scholarship and creative activity through an institutional culture that values and promotes strong academic areas and interdisciplinary collaboration among them.

Objective A: Promote an environment that increases faculty, student, and constituency engagement in disciplinary and interdisciplinary scholarship.

Strategies:

1. Upgrade and development of university human resource competencies (faculty, staff and students) to strengthen disciplinary and interdisciplinary scholarship that advances the college’s strategic themes and land-grant mission directly linked to FUR.

2. Establish, renew, remodel, and reallocate facilities to encourage funded collaborative disciplinary and interdisciplinary inquiry in alignment with FUR programs in forest and nursery management as well as the Rangeland Center and Policy Analysis Group.

Performance Measures:

- Number of CNR faculty, staff, students and constituency groups involved in FUR related scholarship or capacity building activities.
- Non-FUR funding leveraged by FUR funded indoor and outdoor laboratories, field facilities, and teaching, research and outreach programs.

Benchmarks:

Numbers of CNR faculty, staff, students and constituency groups set as of 2015 level with an ongoing objective for them to stay the same or increase based on the investment level in this aspect of FUR programming.

Start with a 3:1 return on investment ratio meaning every one dollar of FUR state funding leverages at least three non-FUR funded dollars from other sources.

Objective B: Emphasize scholarly and creative outputs that reflect our research-extensive and land-grant missions, the university and college’s strategic themes, and stakeholder needs, especially when they directly support our academic programming in natural resources.

Strategies:

1. Enhance scholarly modes of discovery, application and integration that address issues of importance to the citizens of Idaho that improve forest and rangeland productivity, regeneration, and rehabilitation, including nursery management practices, fire science and management, and a full range of ecosystem services and products, including environmental quality.
2. Create new products, technologies, protocols and processes useful to private sector natural resource businesses — such as timber harvesting and processing, regeneration and rehabilitation firms, working livestock ranches, as well as governmental and non-governmental enterprises and operating units.

3. Conduct research and do unbiased policy analyses to aid decision-makers and citizens understanding of natural resource and land use policy issues.

Performance Measure:

- An accounting of products (i.e., seedlings produced, research reports, refereed journal articles) and services (i.e., protocols for new species shared with stakeholders, policy education programs and materials provided, accessible data bases) created and delivered including an identification of those which are recognized and given credibility by external reviewers through licensing, patenting, publishing in refereed journals, etc.

- Number of external stakeholders (non-university entities) that request information and/or consultancies on FUR funded protocols for technologies or knowledge related to programs such as regeneration of native plants and seedlings, fire science, timber harvesting, wood residue utilization, livestock grazing, forest and rangeland restoration, etc.

Benchmark:
Numbers and types of products and services delivered and stakeholders serviced as of 2013-2015 average levels with an ongoing objective for benchmarks to stay the same or increase based on investment levels in this aspect of FUR programming during the defined period.

Goal 2: Outreach and Engagement

Engage with the public, private and non-profit sectors through mutually beneficial partnerships that enhance teaching, learning, discovery, and creativity.

Objective A: Build upon, strengthen, and connect the College of Natural Resources with other parts of the University to engage in mutually beneficial partnerships with stakeholders to address areas targeted in FUR.

Strategies:

1. Enhance the capacity of the College of Natural Resources to engage with communities by involving faculty and students in programs relevant to local and regional issues associated with forest and rangeland management and the maintenance of environmental quality.

2. Engage with communities, governmental and non-governmental organizations through flexible partnerships that share resources and respond to local needs and expectations.
3. Foster key industry and business relationships that benefit entrepreneurship and social and economic development through innovation and technology transfer that will increase the productivity of Idaho’s forests and rangelands while enhancing air and water quality.

**Performance Measure:**

Document cases:
- Communities served and resulting documentable impact;
- Governmental agencies served and resulting documentable impact;
- Non-governmental agencies and resulting documentable impact;
- Private businesses and resulting documentable impact; and
- Private landowners and resulting documentable impact.

**Benchmark:**
Meeting target numbers for audiences identified above as well as developing and experimenting with a scale for measuring documentable impact.

**Goal 3: Teaching and Learning**

*Engage students in a transformational experience of discovery, understanding, and global citizenship.*

**Objective A:** Develop effective integrative learning activities to engage and expand student minds.

**Strategies:**

1. Provide undergraduate, graduate and professional students with education and research opportunities in nursery management, wood utilization technologies including bioenergy and bioproducts, forest and rangeland regeneration and restoration, fire science and management, and ecosystem services and products.

2. Integrate educational experiences into ongoing FUR and non-FUR research programs at CNR outdoor laboratories, including the University of Idaho Experimental Forest, the Forest Nursery complex, and McCall campus.

3. Engage alumni and stakeholders as partners in research, learning, and outreach.

**Performance Measures:**

- Number and diversity (as measured by variety of academic programs impacted) of courses which use full or partially FUR funded projects, facilities or equipment to educate, undergraduate, graduate and professional students.

- Number of hits on PAG and other FUR related web-sites, and where feasible number of documents or other products downloaded by stakeholders.
Benchmark:
Meeting or being above target numbers for the audiences and programming proposed above as per investment in a given funding cycle.

KEY EXTERNAL FACTORS

The key external factors likely to affect the ability of FUR programs to fulfill the mission and goals are as follows: (1) the availability of funding from external sources to leverage state-provided FUR funding; (2) changes in human resources due to retirements or employees relocating due to better employment opportunities; (3) continued uncertainty relative to global, national and regional economic conditions; (4) uncertainty associated with the State of Idaho's commitment to retaining high quality programs associated with the mission of the nation’s land grant universities; and (5) changing demand for the state and region’s ecosystem services and products.
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Vision

The Idaho Geological Survey’s vision is to provide the state with the best geologic information possible through strong and competitive applied research, effective program accomplishments, and transparent access. We are committed to the advancement of the science and emphasize the practical application of geology to benefit society. We seek to accomplish our responsibilities through service and outreach, research, and education activities.

Mission

The Idaho Geological Survey is designated the lead state agency for the collection, interpretation, and dissemination of geologic and mineral data for Idaho. The agency has served the state since 1919 and prior to 1984 was named the Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology.

Idaho Geological Survey staff acquires geologic information through field and laboratory investigations and through grants and cooperative programs with other governmental and private agencies. The Idaho Geological Survey’s geologic mapping program is the primary applied research function of the agency. The Survey’s Digital Mapping Laboratory is central to compiling, producing, and delivering new digital geologic maps. These products contain the current geologic knowledge of Idaho and are critical to all geoscience applications and related issues. Other main Idaho Geological Survey programs include geologic hazards, hydrology, energy resources, mining, mine safety training, abandoned and inactive mines inventory, and earth science education outreach. As Idaho grows, demand is increasing for geologic information related to population growth, energy- mineral- and water-resource development, landslide hazards and earthquake monitoring.

Authority and Scope

Idaho Code provides for the creation, purpose, duties, reporting, offices, and advisory board of the Idaho Geological Survey. The Code specifies the authority to conduct investigations and establish cooperative projects and seek research funding. The Idaho Geological Survey publishes an Annual Report as required by its enabling act.

Goal 1: Outreach and Engagement (Service)

Context: Achieve excellence in collecting and disseminating geologic information and mineral data to the mining, energy, agriculture, utility, construction, insurance, and banking industries, educational institutions, civic and professional organizations, elected officials, governmental agencies, and the public. Continue to strive for increased efficiency and access to Survey information primarily through publications, Web site products, in-house collections and customer inquiries. Emphasize Web site delivery of digital products and compliance with state documents requirements (Idaho Code 33-
205). Maintain concentrated effort to collect and preserve Idaho’s valuable geologic data at risk.

**Objective A: Produce and effectively deliver relevant geologic information to meet societal priorities and requirements**

**Performance Measure:**
- Number of published reports on geology/hydrology/geologic hazards/mineral and energy resources.
  
  **Benchmark:** The number of IGS published reports TBD based on preceding years and staffing.

**Objective B: Build and deliver Web site products and develop user apps and search engines**

**Performance Measure:**
- Number of IGS web site viewers and products used/downloads.
  
  **Benchmark:** The number of website products TBD based on preceding years and staffing.

**Objective C: Maintain compliance of Idaho State Library Documents Depository Program and Georef Catalog (International)**

**Performance Measure:**
- Percentage of total survey documents available
  
  **Benchmark:** 100%

---

**GOAL 2: SCHOLARLY AND CREATIVE ACTIVITY (RESEARCH)**

**Context:** Advance the knowledge and practical application of geology and earth science in Idaho. Promote, foster, and sustain a climate for research excellence. Develop existing competitive strengths in geological expertise. Maintain national level recognition and research competitiveness in digital geological mapping techniques in compliance with required state and federal GIS standards. Sustain and build a strong research program through interdisciplinary collaboration with academic institutions, and state and federal land management agencies. Pursue opportunities for public and private research partnerships.

**Objective A: Sustain and enhance geological mapping and related studies**

**Performance Measure:**
- Increase the area of modern digital geologic map coverage for Idaho by mapping in priority areas designated by Idaho Geological Mapping Advisory Committee (IGMAC).
  
  **Benchmark:** A sustained increase in cumulative percent of Idaho’s area covered by modern geologic mapping.
Objective B: Sustain and build research funding

Performance Measure:
- Externally funded grant and contract dollars

**Benchmark**: The number of externally funded grants and amount of contract dollars compared to a five year average.

GOAL 3: TEACHING AND LEARNING (EDUCATION)

**Context**: Educate clients and stakeholders in the use of earth science information for society benefit. Support knowledge and understanding of Idaho’s geologic setting and resources through earth science education. Achieve excellence in scholarly and creative activities through collaboration and building partnerships that enhance teaching, discovery, and lifelong learning.

Objective A: Develop and deliver earth science education programs and public presentations

Performance Measure:
- Educational programs for public audiences

**Benchmark**: The number of educational reports and presentations TBD based on previous years and staffing.

GOAL 4: COMMUNITY AND CULTURE (SERVICE)

**Context**: We are committed to a culture of service to Idaho. We value the diversity of Idaho’s geologic resources and diversity of community uses. We strive to partner with communities and stakeholders to increase the intellectual capacity to resolve resource challenges facing Idaho and consumers of our state resources.

Objective A: Develop and deliver products serving all sectors of users.

Performance Measure and **Benchmark**: (included in deliverables listed in Goal 1)

KEY EXTERNAL FACTORS:

Funding:

* Achievement of strategic goals and objectives is dependent on appropriate state funding and staffing levels. External research support is mostly subject to federal program funding and increasing state competition for federal programs. Many external programs require a state match and are dependent on state funding level.*
Demand for services and products:

Changes in demand for geologic information due to energy and minerals economics play an important role in achievement of strategic goals and objectives. State population growth and requirements for geologic information by public decision makers and land managers are also key external factors.
University of Idaho

Idaho
(Washington-Idaho-Montana-Utah, WIMU)
Veterinary Medical Education Program/
Caine Veterinary Teaching Center
STRATEGIC PLAN
FY2016 - 2020
Idaho (Washington-Idaho-Montana-Utah, WIMU) Veterinary Medical Education Program/
Caine Veterinary Teaching Center

STRATEGIC PLAN 2016-2020

VISION STATEMENT:
Improved health and productivity of Idaho’s food-producing livestock

MISSION STATEMENT:
Transfer science-based medical information and technology concerning animal well-being, zoonotic diseases, food safety, and related environmental issues – through education, research, public service, and outreach – to veterinary students, veterinarians, animal owners, and the public, thereby effecting positive change in the livelihood of the people of Idaho and the region.

Authority and Scope:
The original Tri-State Veterinary Education Program (WOI Regional Program – Washington State University, Oregon State University, and University of Idaho) was authorized in 1973 by the Idaho Legislature (SJM 127). The Program in Idaho is administered by the State Board of Education and The Board of Regents of the University of Idaho. The first Idaho-resident students were enrolled in the program in 1974. In September 1977, the Caine Veterinary Teaching Center (CVTC) at Caldwell, an off-campus unit of the University of Idaho’s then Veterinary Science Department, was opened as a part of Idaho’s contribution to the WOI Regional Program in Veterinary Medicine. Oregon withdrew from the cooperative program in 2005. In 2012, Washington State University and Utah State University (USU) announced a new educational partnership (W-I-U). In 2013, Montana State University (MSU) became a fourth partner in what is now known as the Washington-Idaho-Montana-Utah (WIMU) Regional Program in Veterinary Medicine. The first DVM class to include MSU students was admitted in Fall 2014.

The CVTC serves as a food animal referral hospital/teaching center located in Caldwell where senior veterinary students from Washington State University/College of Veterinary Medicine (WSU/CVM) participate in elective rotations on food animal production medicine. The CVTC program is administered through the Department of Animal and Veterinary Science (AVS) in the College of Agricultural and Life Sciences (CALS) at the University of Idaho.
The Program allows Idaho resident students access to a veterinary medical education through a cooperative agreement with WSU, whereby students are excused from paying out-of-state tuition. The program currently provides access for 11 Idaho-resident students per year (funding for 44 students annually).

The American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA) accredits the WIMU Program. Faculty members are specialized in virology, bacteriology, pharmacology, epidemiology, medicine, and surgery, and hold joint appointments between the UI College of Agricultural and Life Sciences in the AVS Department (scholarly activities/research/service) and the WIMU Regional Program in Veterinary Medicine (education/service/outreach/engagement).

The service and diagnostic components of the CVTC are integral to the food animal production medicine teaching program, offering clinical and laboratory diagnostic assistance for individual animal care or disease outbreak investigation for veterinarians and livestock producers in Idaho and surrounding states. Live animals referred by practicing veterinarians are utilized as hospital teaching cases for students when on rotation at that time. Students have access to select, in-house laboratories to process samples they collect and analyze the results. Practicing veterinarians throughout the state who need diagnostic help with disease problems also send samples directly to the laboratories at the CVTC for analyses. Diagnostic services and assistance are also provided to Idaho State Department of Agriculture and to the Idaho Department of Fish and Game. When additional services are required or requested by practitioners, personnel at CVTC receive, process, and ship samples to other diagnostic laboratories.

Supervision and leadership for programs, operations, the faculty and staff at the CVTC are the responsibility of the Director, Dr. Gordon W. Brumbaugh with administrative responsibility by the Head of the AVS Department, Dr. Mark McGuire, and Dean of CALS, Dr. John Foltz.

Education:

Faculty provides 1- to 4-week blocks of time designed to prepare veterinary students for entry-level positions upon graduation. Blocks target general food animal medicine, dairy production medicine, cow/calf management, feedlot medicine, sheep/lambing management, and small ruminant clinical medicine.

Activities are selected that allow the student to develop and gain confidence in technical skills as well as professional critical thinking and management of information. Disease agents, fluid therapy, appropriate drug use, nutrition, diagnostic sampling, and necropsy are examples of skills emphasized during individual animal medicine instruction. Production animal medicine stresses development of confidence with professional and technical skills, disease prevention strategies, investigational skills, animal well-being, recordkeeping and interpretation, and reduction of stress for beef or dairy cattle, and for small ruminants (primarily sheep and goats).
Five faculty positions are budgeted within the Idaho Vet Med Program. In 2013, one faculty member that was stationed at the Moscow campus resigned and has not yet been replaced. Three faculty members are stationed at the CVTC, Caldwell, ID, with an additional vacancy there. The Dawn and Wes Downs Pre-Veterinary Internship Endowed Scholarship, which was initiated in 2013, will become fully-endowed in 2015. This scholarship supports one AVS undergraduate pre-vet student annually during a summer experiential internship at the Caine Center. The Northwest-Bovine Veterinary Experience Program (NW-BVEP) – started in 2007 for a limited number of first- and second-year WSU/CVM veterinary students – is a 6-week summer dairy/beef veterinary experiential learning program funded primarily by grants and gifts.

The CVTC and AVS faculty are involved in statewide producer educational programs using the CVTC facilities, when appropriate, to offer continuing education programs for veterinarians and livestock producers.

Scholarly Activities/Research/Service:

Nationally- and internationally- acclaimed research has been conducted at the CVTC and includes subjects of neonatal calf diseases and fluid therapy, reproductive diseases of cattle and sheep, EID (electronic identification) of beef cattle, Johne’s disease in cattle, sheep, and goats, and scrapie in sheep. Collaboration with the Idaho Department of Fish & Game regarding wildlife/domestic livestock disease interaction has resulted in elucidation of respiratory organisms causing death in bighorn sheep. Research in many of those areas developed out of past experiences involving teaching/clinical or diagnostic services/outreach. Those activities serve as a source for continuing investigational activities. Funding to conduct research is derived from a variety of sources and results have been published in numerous scientific papers. The research is dedicated primarily to that relevant to regional disease problems.

Service/Outreach/Engagement/Extension:

Faculty members of the CVTC have responsibility for outreach activities, although none have official Extension appointments. Their routine activities such as regular interaction and consultation with livestock producers, commodity groups, veterinarians, UI Extension specialists, and others regarding a variety of topics including production medicine, disease diagnostics, control and prevention of disease, and management of reproductive problems are all service-oriented. These activities are major contributors to “hours of operation” of the CVTC and include receiving, processing, and/or shipping of samples for diagnostic services requested by practicing veterinarians. Faculty members contribute material on a regular basis to lay publications and industry newsletters, and are active in state and national professional associations. Faculty and staff members organize on-site tours for individual students, groups, or organizations as well as area residents who are interested in our activities, give presentations at county and state fairs, and participate in “Career Day” or “Job Fair” events at area high schools.
Selective diagnostic services, disease investigations, and clinical studies have significantly benefited many producers through the control of a number of economically devastating diseases. That form of assistance is provided on a fee-for-service basis and in conjunction with the veterinary teaching program.

**Goal 1. Education**

**Objective A:** Continue to provide and improve the highly-rated and effective experiential veterinary clinical teaching program.

**Action Items:**

- Ensure offerings of elective rotations for experiential learning opportunities that meet contractual requirements (minimum of 65 rotations offered)

**Performance Measures:**

- Percentage of elective offerings (blocks) filled
- Number of senior selecting rotations at CVTC
- Number/percentage of Idaho resident graduates licensed to practice veterinary medicine in Idaho

**Benchmark:**

- Student participation in at least 80% of elective rotations offered
- Greater than 40 students selecting rotations at CVTC
- At least 7 Idaho resident graduates (65%) licensed to practice veterinary medicine in Idaho

**Objective B:** Pre-clinical veterinary educational opportunities

**Action items:**

- Administer experiential summer learning opportunities for first- and second-year students in veterinary education program (Northwest Bovine Veterinary Experience Program – NW-BVEP)
- Administer experiential learning opportunities for endowed pre-veterinary summer internship and scholarship

**Performance Measures:**

- Annual recurring placement of students
Benchmark:

- Total of 12 first- and second-year veterinary students in the NW-BVEP annually
- One student annually selected to receive the internship/scholarship

**Goal 2. Scholarly and Creative Activity**

**Objective:** To provide the atmosphere, environment, encouragement, and time for faculty members to cultivate and nurture their scholarly and creative abilities.

**Action Items:**

- Encourage faculty to remain influential in their professional/educational disciplines appropriate to the educational mission of the CVTC
- Contribute to the AVS Department areas of excellence and the CALS Beef Program of Distinction by the Idaho Veterinary Medical Education Program

**Performance Measures:**

- Number of fellows in disciplinary associations
- Personnel elected to leadership role in professional organizations
- Personnel invited to participate as presenters/speakers/advisors for professional organizations, private businesses, or public agencies/institutions
- External grants received
- Refereed journal articles

Benchmark:

- Participation in at least one departmental area of excellence and in the CALS Beef POD
- At least one invited presentation by each faculty member to local, state, regional, national, or international meeting.
- At least one external research grant per year funded for scholarly activities for the CVTC beyond Idaho Fish and Game support of Dr. Weiser and funding of NW-BVEP
- At least one refereed journal article published per year per faculty FTE

**Goal 3. Outreach and Engagement**

**Objective A:** Provide diagnostic laboratory, referral professional services, consultation, and field services for the veterinarians and livestock producers in Idaho and the region.
Action Items:

- Update clinical and laboratory instrumentation as budgets allow; thereby, maintaining or enhancing diagnostic laboratory testing procedures and services for veterinarians and livestock producers in the region.

- Encourage continuing education (personal and professional development) by laboratory or clinical support personnel in their given specialty.

Performance Measures:

- Number of field investigations; number of animals/herds served
- Number of laboratory diagnostic and live animal case accessions
- Demonstrate utilization of new clinical and laboratory instrumentation

Benchmarks:

- At least 250 live-animal clinical accessions per year
- At least 10,000 laboratory accessions per year
- At least 150 field investigations per year
- At least 75 necropsies per year

Objective B: Endeavor to recruit potential students in Idaho and the region who are interested in careers in agriculture and/or veterinary medicine.

Action Items:

- Encourage the participation of faculty and staff in Extension activities, community activities such as “job fairs”, 4-H/FFA activities, and county fairs in order to elevate the visibility of the CVTC, AVS, CALS, and UI; and, to discuss future needs and careers in agriculture or veterinary medicine.

Performance Measures:

- Number of job fairs, career day or fair activities, or Extension-sponsored meetings in which faculty and staff participated

Benchmarks:

- Participation in at least 10 community activities as described above

External Factors:

1) **Caseload.** Numbers vary for live animal and diagnostic accessions subject to need and economic demand. Ideally, cases and services should be sufficient for instructional goals and objectives as well as to support in-house laboratories
2) Loss of essential personnel. Many factors have contributed to suboptimal numbers of personnel currently at the CVTC. In 2013 the number of faculty was decreased to 3 due to resignations and positions left unfilled. It is difficult to hire and retain sufficient numbers of qualified individuals to meet current demands of the program. Positions have been restructured and funding sources modified to the extent possible. There is also very limited means to recognize, reward, and retain individuals with outstanding performance.

3) Diagnostic Veterinary Pathology. This position has been vacant since the retirement of the second of our two veterinary pathologists in 2005. The Pathology specialty is in high demand in veterinary medicine and by clientele of the CVTC. We are outsourcing some diagnostic services, but are unable to incorporate this extremely important specialty in the veterinary teaching program at this time. Diagnostic Veterinary Pathology has been a core service for the producers and veterinarians of Idaho and the surrounding region. The study of disease (pathology) will always be an indispensable discipline for livestock production, veterinary medicine, homeland bio-security, international marketing, and regulatory activities. The importance was reinforced by wording in the 2014 Farm Bill [ex. National Animal Health Laboratory Network (NAHLN), Animal Health and Disease Research/1433 Formula Funds, and Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (AFRI)]; and, by other forecasts (Dennis F. Lawler, DVM; Veterinary Over-Supply: Moving Forward. 2014 AVMA Annual Convention, presentation #16162, 07/28/2014).

4) Agriculture beyond animal health. Agriculture is the most important contributor to the economy of Idaho. Dairy and beef production are the two largest commodities (farm gate receipts) in Idaho. Other agricultural products and by-products (e.g., alfalfa, cereal grains, beet pulp, and potato by-products) serve as cash crops for some producers and are utilized in dairy and beef production. Idaho is a major provider of food for man and animals ("Economic Contribution of Idaho Agribusiness 2014, UI Extension Bulletin 892, published December 2014"), Respective influences in those markets require that the CALS, AVS, and the CVTC remain astute to strategically help producers and veterinarians of the future. That requires trained personnel, foresight, resources, and engagement. In January 2015, there was an announcement that a beef packing facility will be constructed near Kuna, Idaho to be functional in Fall of 2016. Significant contributions to agribusiness in Idaho include employment opportunities and retention of current expenses related to hauling animals out of state. Educational opportunities also exist for the Idaho Veterinary Medical Education Program.
WWAMI

Idaho WWAMI
(Washington, Wyoming, Alaska, Montana, Idaho) Medical Education Program

Strategic Plan
FY2016-2020
WWAMI is Idaho’s regional medical education program, under the leadership and institutional mission of the University of Idaho, in partnership with the University of Washington School of Medicine (UWSOM). Currently Idaho medical students spend the first year of their medical education on the campus of the University of Idaho in Moscow, study medicine on the campus of UWSOM in Seattle during their second year, and complete their third and fourth year clinical training at regional medical sites in Boise, across Idaho, or throughout the WWAMI (Washington, Wyoming, Alaska, Montana, Idaho) region. The University of Washington School of Medicine is currently engaged in a major review and revision of the medical school curriculum which will impact delivery of education and training in the WWAMI programs in Idaho. Beginning Fall 2015 students will be on the University of Idaho campus for three terms instead of two.

As the medical education contract program for the State of Idaho with the University of Washington, the UI-WWAMI Medical Program supports the Strategic Action Plan of its host university, the University of Idaho, while recognizing its obligation to the mission, goals, and objectives of its nationally accredited partner program, the UWSOM.

UWSOM and its partners in the WWAMI region are dedicated to improving the general health and wellbeing of the public. In pursuit of our goals, we are committed to excellence in biomedical education, research, and health care. The UWSOM and WWAMI are also dedicated to ethical conduct in all of our activities. As the pre-eminent academic medical center in our region and as a national leader in biomedical research, UWSOM places special emphasis on educating and training physicians, scientists, and allied health professionals dedicated to two distinct missions:

- Meeting the health care and workforce needs of our region, especially by recognizing the importance of primary care and providing service to underserved populations;
• Advancing knowledge and assuming leadership in the biomedical sciences and in academic medicine.

We acknowledge a special responsibility to the people in the states of Washington, Wyoming, Alaska, Montana, and Idaho, who have joined in a unique regional partnership. UWSOM and WWAMI are committed to building and sustaining a diverse academic community of faculty, staff, fellows, residents, and students and to assuring that access to education and training is open to learners from all segments of society, acknowledging a particular responsibility to the diverse populations within our region.

Vision for Medical Student Education
Our students will be highly competent, knowledgeable, caring, culturally sensitive, ethical, dedicated to service, and engaged in lifelong learning.

UWSOM – Idaho WWAMI Medical Student Education Mission Statement
Our mission is to improve the health and wellbeing of people and communities throughout the WWAMI region, the nation, and the world through educating, training, and mentoring our students to be excellent physicians.

Goals for Medical Student Education
In support of our mission to educate physicians, our goals for medical student training are to:

1. Challenge students and faculty to achieve excellence;
2. Maintain a learner-centered curriculum that focuses on patient-centered care and that is innovative and responsive to changes in medical practice and healthcare needs;
3. Provide students with a strong foundation in science and medicine that prepares them for diverse roles and careers;
4. Advance patient care and improve health through discovery and application of new knowledge;
5. Teach, model, and promote:
a. the highest standards of professionalism, honor, integrity, empathy, compassion, and respect;

b. a team approach to the practice of medicine, including individual responsibility and accountability, with respect for the contributions of all health professions and medical specialties;

c. the skills necessary to provide quality care in a culturally sensitive and linguistically appropriate manner;

6. Encourage students to maintain and model a balanced and healthy lifestyle;

7. Foster dedication to service, including caring for the underserved;

8. Engage students in healthcare delivery, public health, and research to strengthen their understanding of healthcare disparities and regional and global health issues; and

9. Provide leadership in medical education, research, and health policy for the benefit of those we serve regionally, nationally, and globally.

**Alignment with the Idaho State Board of Education’s Strategic Plan**

**2016-2020**

**Goal I: A WELL EDUCATED CITIZENRY** – Continuously improve access to medical education for individuals of all backgrounds, ages, abilities, and economic means.

**Objective A: Access** - Provide outreach activities that help recruit a strong medical student applicant pool for Idaho WWAMI.

- **Performance measure:** the number of Idaho WWAMI medical school applicants per year and the ratio of Idaho applicants per funded medical student seat.

- **Benchmark:** National ratio of state applicants to medical school per state-supported seats.

**Objective B: Transition to Workforce** - Maintain a high rate of return for Idaho WWAMI graduate physicians who choose to practice medicine in Idaho, equal to or better than the national state return rate.

- **Performance measure:** Cumulative Idaho WWAMI return rate for graduates who practice medicine in Idaho.

- **Benchmark:** target rate – national average or better.
GOAL 2: CRITICAL THINKING AND INNOVATION - WWAMI will provide an environment for the development of new ideas, and practical and theoretical knowledge to foster the development of biomedical researchers, medical students, and future physicians who contribute to the health and wellbeing of Idaho’s people and communities.

Objective A: Critical Thinking, Innovation and Creativity – Generate research and development of new ideas into solutions that benefit health and society.

- **Performance Measure:** WWAMI faculty funding from competitive federally funded grants.
- **Benchmark:** $3M annually, through FY15.

Objective B: Innovation and Creativity – Educate medical students who will contribute creative and innovative ideas to enhance health and society.

- **Performance Measures:** Percentage of Idaho WWAMI medical students participating in medical research (laboratory and/or community health)
- **Benchmark:** 100%

Objective C: Quality Instruction – Provide excellent medical education in biomedical sciences and clinical skills.

- **Performance measure:** pass rate on the U.S. Medical Licensing Examination (USMLE), Steps 1 & 2, taken during medical training.
- **Benchmark:** U.S. medical student pass rates, Steps 1 & 2.

GOAL 3: Effective and Efficient Delivery Systems – Deliver medical education, training, research, and service in a manner which makes efficient use of resources and contributes to the successful completion of our medical education program goals for Idaho.

Objective A: Increase medical student early interest in rural and primary care practice in Idaho.

- **Performance measure:** the number of WWAMI rural summer training placements in Idaho each year.
- **Benchmark:** 20 rural training placements following first year of medical education.

Objective B: Increase medical student participation in Idaho clinical rotations (clerkships) as a part of their medical education.
• **Performance measure**: the number of WWAMI medical students completing clerkships in Idaho each year.

• **Benchmark**: 20 clerkship students each year.

**Objective C**: Support and maintain interest in primary care and identified physician workforce specialty needs for medical career choices among Idaho WWAMI students.

• **Performance measure**: Percent of Idaho WWAMI graduates choosing primary care, psychiatry, general surgery, and OB/GYN specialties for residency training each year.

• **Benchmark**: 50% of Idaho WWAMI graduating class choosing needed workforce specialties for residency training each year.

**Objective D**: Maintain a high level Return on Investment (ROI) for all WWAMI graduates who return to practice medicine in Idaho.

• **Performance measure**: Ratio of all WWAMI graduates who return to practice medicine in Idaho, regardless of WWAMI origin, divided by the total number of Idaho medical student graduates funded by the State.

• **Benchmark**: target ratio – 60%

**Objective E**: Efficiently deliver medical education under the WWAMI contract, making use of Idaho academic and training resources.

• **Performance measure**: Percent of Idaho WWAMI medical education contract dollars spent in Idaho each year.

• **Benchmark**: 50%

---

**Key External Factors** (beyond the control of the Idaho WWAMI Medical Program):

**Funding**: the number of state-supported Idaho medical student seats each year is tied to State legislative appropriations. Availability of revenues and competing funding priorities may vary each year.

**Medical Education Partnerships**: as a distributed medical education model, the University of Idaho and the UWSOM WWAMI Medical Program rely on medical education partnership with local and regional physicians, clinics, hospitals, and other educational institutions in the delivery of medical training in Idaho. The availability of these groups to participate in a distributed model of medical education varies according to their own budget resources and competing demands on their time and staff each year.

**Population Changes in Idaho**: with a growing population and an aging physician workforce, the need for doctors and medical education for Idaho’s students only
increases. Changes in population statistics in Idaho may affect applicant numbers to medical school, clinical care demands in local communities and hospitals, and availability of training physicians from year to year.

**Planned Changes to Medical Curriculum in 2015**: the University of Washington School of Medicine is currently engaged in a major review and revision of the medical school curriculum which will impact delivery of education and training in the WWAMI programs in Idaho. Given that students will be on the University of Idaho campus for three terms instead of two, adjustments must be made to accommodate the increased number of medical students on campus. Expanded facilities, enhanced technology, additional faculty and support staff are necessary for the additional students and delivering this new state of the art curriculum. The University of Idaho is already anticipating these needs and working toward expanding facilities to accommodate the increased number of students. Tuition funds from third term medical students will help support the program’s needs. The University of Idaho is in the process of identifying and hiring necessary faculty to support programmatic changes to be implemented Fall 2015. This curriculum renewal offers Idaho the opportunity to keep Idaho students in-state for the majority of their medical education, which is a significant advantage in retaining students as they transition to clinical practice.

**Supplement: Performance Measures**

Goal 1 / Objective A. The benchmark is the national ratio of state applicants to medical school to the number of state supported seats. The ratio of applicants in Idaho to the number of available seats was 8.6:1; the national ratio of in-state applicants to available seats is 2.2:1.

Goal 1 / Objective B. The benchmark is 41%, the national average of students that return to their native state to practice medicine. In Idaho, the return rate was 51% (286/561).

Goal 2 / Objective A. The benchmark for this objective is $1.4M annually, through 2015. In FY14, UI WWAMI faculty earned $3M in new funding from federal grants.

Goal 2 / Objective B. The benchmark is 100% of Idaho WWAMI students participating in medical research. All students at the UWSOM must participate in a research activity.

Goal 2 / Objective C. The benchmark for the U.S. Medical Licensing Examination (USMLE), Steps 1 & 2, is the U. S. medical student pass rates.
Goal 3 / Objective A. The benchmark is 20 rural training placements following the first year of medical education. During the past summer, twenty-six students completed a R/UOP experience in Idaho.

Goal 3 / Objective B. The benchmark is 20 clerkship students per year in Idaho. The Idaho Track is a voluntary program of the University of Washington School of Medicine in which students complete the majority of required clinical clerkships within Idaho. Third-year Idaho Track medical students complete five of six required clerkships in Idaho, and fourth-year Idaho Track medical students complete three of four required clerkships in Idaho. Fourteen third-year students and thirteen fourth-year students participated in the Idaho Track during the 2013-2014 academic year. In addition to Idaho Track students, other UWSOM students rotated among the various clinical clerkships in Idaho. During academic year 2013-14, a total of 89 UWSOM students completed one or more clinical rotations in Idaho.

Goal 3 / Objective C. The benchmark is 50% of the Idaho WWAMI graduating class choosing a specialty for residency training that is needed in the state (primary care, psychiatry, general surgery, and OB/GYN specialties). The specialties of the 2014 graduating class are as follows:

- Emergency medicine (3)
- Family Medicine (9)
- Internal Medicine (1)
- Obstetrics – Gynecology (1)
- Ophthalmology (1)
- Orthopedic surgery (1)
- Pediatrics (3)
- Radiation – Diagnostic (1)
- Urology (1)

Goal 3 / Objective D. The benchmark for the Return on Investment (ROI) for all WWAMI graduates who return to practice medicine in Idaho is 60%. The current ROI is 75% (424/561).

Goal 3 / Objective E. The benchmark for this objective is 50%, the percentage of Idaho WWAMI medical education dollars spent in Idaho each year. In FY14, 67% of the State appropriations were spent in Idaho.
Vision:
The Idaho State University Family Medicine Residency (ISU FMR) envisions a clinically rich residency program; graduating courteous, competent, rural physicians.

Mission:
ISU FMR is committed to interdisciplinary, evidence-based care and service to our patients and community; university-based education of residents and students; and recruitment of physicians for the State of Idaho.

Values:

PROFESSIONALISM – We adhere to the highest level of professionalism in our relationships with our patients, staff and colleagues

COMMUNICATION – We aspire to clear, open communications with each other and our patients; and to precise, well-formatted presentation of medical information to other physicians

QUALITY – We continually seek ways to analyze and improve the quality of care provided to our patients, and to fulfill the published criteria of excellence in residency education.

COLLEGIALITY – As medical educators and learners we coordinate education and care with colleagues from a wide range specialties and health professions.

INNOVATION – We espouse current innovations in primary health care including electronic record keeping and communication, and the Patient Centered Medical Home Model.

ACCOUNTABILITY – We are accountable to ourselves and to our sponsors for the financial viability of the residency and the efficiency of the department.

RESPONSIBILITY – We take responsibility for our actions and work to improve patient care through excellence in medical education.

RESPECT – We demonstrate respect for each other and those with whom we interact. We remain courteous in our interactions and in respecting diversity. Even if we disagree, we do so with both civility and a desire to reach mutually beneficial solutions.
JUSTICE – We believe all patients have a fundamental right of access to appropriate health care. We advocate for our patients and assist them in navigating through the health care system.

BENEFICENCE – Primum non nocere. Patients will not be harmed by our care. Resident education will not be abusive or excessive in work hours or disrespectful of personal needs.

AUTONOMY – We respect a patient’s right to decide their health care, and to information to assist in the decision making process.

GOAL 1: Access – Recruitment of physicians for Idaho
Objectives for access:
  a. Work with Portneuf Medical Center to establish collaborative hospitalist program
     o Performance measure:
       ▪ Integration of hospitalist and residency services
     o Benchmark:
       ▪ Complete shared attending supervision: 24 weeks / 28 weeks. Uniform standards of care including core measures.

  b. Start the new rural training track (RTT) in Rexburg
     o Performance measure:
       ▪ Interview and enter match for the RTT
     o Benchmark:
       ▪ Match RRT residents

c. Expand first-year class to 7 residents and total residency size to 21 to fill Rural Training Track
   o Performance measure:
     ▪ Number of residents
   o Benchmark:
     ▪ Overall number of residents will increase

d. Structure the program so that 50% of graduates open their practices in Idaho
   o Performance Measure
     ▪ Number of graduates practicing in Idaho
   o Benchmark:
     ▪ 50% of graduates practicing in Idaho

GOAL 2: Quality – Sustain and continuously improve medical care for Idaho citizens through education, quality improvement, and clinical research
Objectives for quality:
  a. Develop additional pediatric training opportunities with FMRI in Boise at St. Lukes.
     o Performance measure:
       ▪ Number of pediatric rotations
Benchmark:
- Number of pediatric rotations in Boise in third residency year will increase

b. Improve Quality of Care criteria of a Patient Centered Medical Home
   - **Performance measure:**
     - Meet the national criteria of PCMH
   - **Benchmark:**
     - 2013: 75% of criteria met. 2014: 90% of criteria met.

c. Maintain and expand clinical research program by identifying new project opportunities
   - **Performance measure:**
     - Number of new clinical research projects
   - **Benchmark:**
     - Number of new research projects will increase

**GOAL 3: Efficiency – improve long-term financial viability of the department/residency program**

Objectives for efficiency:

a. Identify the best operational and financial structure to maximize funding streams and clinical revenues
   - **Performance measure:**
     - Identify residency structural change for the clinic to become a New Access Point for Health West.
   - **Benchmark:**
     - Integration of Health West and Pocatello Family Medicine

b. Transition residency program through change in ownership and administration of Portneuf Medical Center (PMC)
   - **Performance measure:**
     - Level of support from PMC for ISU Family Medicine
   - **Benchmark:**
     - No reduction in financial and programmatic support

c. Increase GME reimbursement
   - **Performance measure:**
     - GME dollars reimbursed through cost report
   - **Benchmark:**
     - Number of resident FTEs reimbursed

**External Factors (beyond control of the ISU Department of Family Medicine)**

a. Hospitalist program is dependent on financial support from PMC. The integration of the hospitalists and residency services is dependent on PMC/ISU affiliation.
b. For the rural training track RTT to move forward, Madison Memorial Hospital must have adequate financial resources. As of January 2010, Madison has postponed its financial commitment to the RTT. As of March 2013, Madison Memorial has a new CEO and is able to contemplate the local financial support. A new site director is being appointed and maintenance of accreditation being pursued to allow late implementation.
c. Applicant interest in the ISU FMR Rural Training Track.

2. Quality – Sustain and continuously improve medical care for Idaho citizens through education, quality improvement, and clinical research.
   a. Availability of pediatric training in Boise
   b. National criteria of a Patient Centered Medical Home.
   c. External research funding opportunities.

3. Efficiency- Improve the Long-term financial viability of the department/residency program.
   a. New Access Point funding
   b. Medicaid interim rate
   c. The policies of Legacy are critical to the long term viability of the residency programs that are housed in PMC.

Strategic Planning – Mid-term (3-5 years)
The ISU Department of Family Medicine has defined mid-term (3-5 years) and long-term (6-10 years) strategic planning components some of which are outlined below.

GOAL 1: Access – Recruitment of physicians for Idaho
Objectives for access
   1. Expand core residency program to 8-7-7 with two residents in RTT
      o *Performance measure:*
         ▪ Number of residents
      o *Benchmark:*
         ▪ Increased number of residents
   2. Start a rural & international academic fellowship program
      o *Performance measure:*
         ▪ Number of fellows
      o *Benchmark:*
         ▪ Increased fellows

GOAL 2: Efficiency – Improve long-term financial viability of the department/residency program
Objectives for access
1. Develop collaborative and supportive affiliation with Health West.
   - **Performance measure:**
     - Completion of joint budgeting process
   - **Benchmark:**
     - Meeting joint budgetary goal
2. Develop collaborative and supportive affiliation with PMC.
   - **Performance measure:**
     - Completion of affiliation agreement with agreed ongoing support.
   - **Benchmark:**
     - Dollar amount of financial support
Strategic Plan
FY2016-2020

Background:
The Idaho Small Business Development Center (Idaho SBDC) was established in 1986 as part of a nationwide network created to improve the success of small businesses. The U.S. Small Business Administration, the State of Idaho, the hosting institutes of higher education, and private donations fund the organization.

The Idaho SBDC network includes business consultants, trainers, support staff and volunteers that operate from the state’s colleges and universities. Boise State University’s College of Business and Economics serves as the main host with administrative responsibility for directing the type and quality of services across the state. Six Regional offices are funded under sub-contracts with their host institutions. The locations result in 90% of Idaho’s businesses being within a 1 hour drive:

1. North Idaho College - Coeur d’Alene
2. Lewis-Clark State College - Lewiston
3. Boise State University – Boise and Nampa
4. College of Southern Idaho - Twin Falls
5. Idaho State University - Pocatello
6. Idaho State University - Idaho Falls

Services include confidential one-on-one consulting and focused training. Staff members are very involved in the business and economic development efforts in their areas and, therefore, are positioned to respond rapidly to the changing business environment.

Mission:
To enhance the success of small businesses in Idaho by providing high-quality consulting and training, leveraging the resources of colleges and universities.

Vision:
Idaho SBDC clients are recognized as consistently outperforming their peers.

Tag Line:
directions. solutions. impact.

Operating Principles:
Service is the primary product of the Idaho SBDC. Creating and maintaining a high standard of service requires a commitment to four principles:

1. Focus on the Client: The very future of the Idaho SBDC program depends on creating satisfied clients. To this end, each client contact must be considered an opportunity to focus on client needs and desires. Responding quickly with individual attention to specific and carefully identified client needs, then seeking critical evaluation of performance are standard processes followed with each client and training attendee.
2. Devotion to Quality: Providing consulting and training through a quality process and constantly seeking ways to improve that process are necessary to providing exceptional service. Fostering teamwork, eliminating physical and organizational barriers that separate people, establishing long-term relationships with partners and encouraging all to participate in quality improvement are some of the actions that demonstrate devotion to quality.

3. Concentration on Innovation: To innovate is to improve through change. Staff members constantly seek ways to improve methods and processes and assume a leadership role in trying new approaches to serve clients. Regular performance reviews, participation in related organizations, and attending professional development workshops are some of the ways that innovation is supported.

4. Commitment to Integrity: The Center values integrity and will conduct all of our services in an ethical and consistent manner. We will do our best to provide honest advice to our clients with our primary motivation to be the success of the business. In return, we also expect our clients to be straight forward and share all information necessary to assist them in their business.

Priorities:
The Idaho SBDC will focus on the following priorities:

1. Maximum client impact – While the SBDC provides services to all for-profit small businesses, it is clear that a small percentage of businesses will contribute the majority of the impact. Improving the ability to identify impact clients, develop services to assist them, and create long-term connections will increase the effectiveness of the Idaho SBDC.

2. Strong brand recognition – The Idaho SBDC remains unknown to a large number of businesses and entrepreneurs, as well as stakeholders. A consistent message and image to convey the SBDC value in conjunction with systematic marketing are necessary to raise the awareness of the SBDC value to both potential clients and stakeholders.

3. Increased resources – Federal funding remained level from 1998 until 2007 resulting in a very lean operating budget and loss of several positions. A slight increase was received for 2008 however; funding was again reduced from the state and host institutions during the recession. In addition, funding was cut in 2012 due to the recession. Additional resources – both cash and in-kind – are necessary to have an impact on a greater portion of small businesses and entrepreneurs.

4. Organizational excellence – The Idaho SBDC is in the top 10% of SBDCs on all impact measures, is consistently one of the top 5 states on the Chrisman impact survey, and received accreditation in 2009 with no conditions. The organization must continually improve to maintain this excellence.

Market Segments:
The small business market served by the Idaho SBDC can be divided into four key segments. With limited resources and the knowledge that in-depth, on-going consulting gives greater returns, the focus is on Segment 3 – high impact clients. The Idaho SBDC Marketing Plan contains additional information on state demographics and how these segments fit into the overall plan.

Segment 1:
Pre-venture – These potential clients are not yet in business. They will be assessed for the level of effort already put into the venture. Entrepreneurs who have not moved beyond the idea stage will be directed to a variety of resources to help them evaluate the feasibility of their idea. They will need to take further steps before scheduling an appointment with a consultant. These pre-venture clients will be less than 40% of the total clients and will receive 25% or less of consulting services. A small segment of these clients will be designated as high impact potential clients (Segment 3) and/or export/tech clients (segment 4).
Segment 2:
Established businesses – This segment has already established a business. A consultant will meet with them to evaluate their needs and formulate a plan to work together. The majority of businesses in this category will have 20 employees or less. Over 60% of Idaho SBDC clients and over 75% of consulting time will be spent on clients in this category. This segment will also contain some businesses that will be designated as high impact potential (segment 3) and/or export/tech clients (segment 4).

Segment 3:
Impact clients – This segment is composed of businesses with the potential to grow sales and jobs. It is further divided into those with expected short-term impact and those that are considered long-term growth clients. These businesses will receive focused long-term services and coaching and be tracked separately in the MIS system with a goal of spending at least 40% of time on these clients.

Segment 4:
Export and Technology clients – Focus is on these segments because exporting brings wealth into the state and technology companies tend to create higher paying jobs. Cross network teams have been created to assist these clients. Export companies are typically existing businesses while tech companies can occur in either pre-venture or existing business segments.

Segment 5:
Rural businesses – Ensuring that the Idaho SBDC serves all counties in Idaho is important for local and regional economies. In conjunction with local economic development initiatives, the Idaho SBDC provides consulting, coaching and training to help small businesses in rural areas operate efficiently and effectively in a changing economy.

Success:
Success is defined as a client achieving the best possible outcome given their abilities and resources. Success does not necessarily mean that the business will start or that there will be increases in capital, sales, and jobs. For some clients, the best possible outcome is to decide not to open a business which has a high likelihood of failure. Preserving capital can be success in some situations. There may also be circumstances that cause a client to choose to limit the growth of their business. It is important to recognize the clients’ goals, help them understand their potential, and then jointly identify success.

Allocation of Resources:
The Idaho SBDC shifts resources as appropriate to achieve the goals of the Strategic Plan. Lean budgets have prompted shifting financial resources from operating to personnel to assure that Idaho small businesses receive the same level of service. Currently, the operating budget for the Idaho SBDC is at what is considered a floor for supporting existing personnel and offices. The annual budget for the Idaho SBDC is distributed as follows:
- Personnel = 71% of total budget, 90% excluding indirect costs
- Operating (travel, supplies, etc.) = 8% of total budget, 10% excluding indirect costs
- Indirect costs = 21%

Increases in funding will be directed toward client assistance. Reduction in funding will favor minor reductions in employee hours versus eliminating positions.

In addition to financial constraints, the Operations Manual sets a policy for allocation of time as 60% consulting, 20% training, and 20% administrative. Milestones for each center and minimum hours for consultants and regional directors are based on the time allocation. To maintain service at the existing level, operate within the financial constraints, and meet the time allocation policy, the Idaho SBDC focuses on shifting personnel resources to achieve strategic plan goals. For example, to shift the focus to high impact clients, requests for assistance from pre-venture businesses are shifted to training and web resources to free up consulting time. The SBDC will continue to use this model for distribution of resources to achieve the strategic plan goals as long as a constraint remains on operating resources.
Needs:
In the statewide needs assessment process – the areas were identified as top client needs and will be incorporated into trainings and professional development.
- Access to capital
- Marketing
- Health care insurance
- Business model
- Mobile apps and tools

SWOT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTERNAL</th>
<th>EXTERNAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strengths</strong></td>
<td><strong>Opportunities</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-cost</td>
<td>Changes in the economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff – expertise, passion, and professional development system</td>
<td>Strategic partners – leveraging resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public and private partnerships and networks</td>
<td>Entrepreneurial culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems for high performance</td>
<td>Increase in angel investors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership at all levels</td>
<td>New business trends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market position – penetration of established small business market, brand, awareness beyond startup assistance (attraction of high growth companies)</td>
<td>Baby boomers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Weaknesses</strong></th>
<th><strong>Threats</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sharing tools and resources at state and national levels</td>
<td>Economy – especially in rural areas, hard for businesses to succeed and hard for businesses in all area to find funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large geographical area to cover</td>
<td>Past funding reductions at state and federal level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Competitors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goals and Objectives:

**Maximum Client Impact**

Goal 1: Maintain Idaho SBDC client sales and employment growth at 8 times the growth of the average Idaho small business.

**Objective 1.1:** Integrate the Business Model Canvas approach into the network.
**Performance Measure:** Incorporate into professional development conference and present at national association meeting.
**Benchmark:** All staff are proficient in using the approach by 2019.

**Objective 1.2:** Develop long-term relationships with growth and impact clients.
**Performance Measure:** Percent of impact clients
**Benchmark:** 50% impact clients by 2019.

**Objective 1.3:** Expand expertise available to clients through cross-network consulting, adding programs, using tools, and increasing partnerships.
**Performance Measure:** Integrate the PTAC program, increase cross-network consulting and identify new tools.
**Benchmark:** Accepted PTAC proposal, 10% hours of cross-network consulting/region, # of tools used, # new partnerships created.

**Strong Brand Recognition**

Goal 2: Increase brand awareness with stakeholders and the target market.

**Objective 2.1:** Increase website usage by 20% by 2014 including search engine optimization.
**Performance Measure:** Continually refresh website.
**Benchmark:** Increase website usage by 20% by December 2016.

**Objective 2.2:** Maintain strong community engagement through presentations, newsletters, articles, press releases, Chambers, etc.
**Performance Measure:** client referrals
**Benchmark:** Increase referrals from community partners.
Objective 2.3: Create a marketing plan.  
Performance Measure: Marketing Plan  
Benchmark: Complete Marketing Plan by Dec. 2015

Increase Resources

Goal 3: Increase funding to the Idaho SBDC by $300,000 and student/volunteer resources to 6,000 hours.

Objective 3.1: Bring additional resources to clients through partnerships, students, and volunteers.  
Performance Measure: hours of consulting from non-SBDC staff  
Benchmark: 20% of hours

Objective 3.2: Develop specialized training such as around the Business Model Canvas approach.  
Performance Measure: new workshops generating additional revenue  
Benchmark: a new workshop/year to 2019

Objective 3.3: Seek additional state funding increase for FY16.  
Performance Measure: Line item request  
Benchmark: $300,000 funding for 100 jobs, $2,000,000 in client capital

Objective 3.4: Seek additional grants (FAST, ITD, etc.), sponsorships, etc. for increased funding in focused areas.  
Performance Measure: funds received  
Benchmark: $200,000 in funds each year

Organizational Excellence

Goal 4: The percentage of Idaho SBDC clients’ impact to the total national impact is greater than Idaho’s percentage of SBA funding.

Objective 4.1: Integrate the highest standards and systems into day-to-day operating practices to achieve excellence on all reviews and meet goals.  
Performance Measure: Achieve highest rating and/or meet goals for SBA exam, program reviews, Accreditation, SBA goals, etc.  
Benchmark: Highest rating

Objective 4.2: Update the Professional Development Certification process and institute online tracking.  
Performance Measure: Completion of update  
Benchmark: Online tracking system for professional development by June 2015

Objective 4.3: Update new employee orientation process.  
Performance Measure: Completion of update  
Benchmark: Completion by December 2015

Objective 4.4: Add an export certified consultant to the network.  
Performance Measure: Completion of hire  
Benchmark: total of 2 export certified consultants by Dec. 2019

External Factors

The items below are external factors that significantly impact the Idaho SBDCs ability to provide our services and are outside of our control.
1. **Economy.** The general state of the economy in Idaho and across the nation has a huge impact on the Idaho SBDC’s ability to create impact through our assistance to entrepreneurs. The Idaho SBDC has observed that businesses that use our services do much better in poor economic times than the average business in Idaho. The recent economic downturn has highlighted how challenging it is to grow sales, increase jobs, raise capital, and start a new business.

2. **Funding.** Funding from federal, university and state sources directly impact the resources available to the Idaho SBDC. Without the financial resources available to hire and retain the right people and provide them with the tools they need (phone, computers, professional development, etc), it will be challenging to serve Idaho’s entrepreneurs effectively.
**Idaho Small Business Development Center**  
Program Performance Measures/Benchmarks

**Supplemental to Strategic Plan 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Description/Benchmark*</th>
<th>CY2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consulting Hours</td>
<td>The total number of hours of consulting and preparation time; Goal is 16,000</td>
<td>22,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Hours Per Client</td>
<td>Goal is 8.5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% hours for Impact Clients</td>
<td>Goal is 40%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of tech companies</td>
<td>Goal is 100</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student/volunteer hours</td>
<td>Goal is 6,000</td>
<td>10,731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Client with 5 hours or more of contact and preparation time</td>
<td>Goal is 506</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Starts</td>
<td>Goal is 72</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs Created</td>
<td>Goal is 500</td>
<td>717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Growth</td>
<td>Growth in sales year to year. Goal is $25,000,000</td>
<td>$70,891,048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Raised</td>
<td>Capital raised in the current year. Goal is $25,000,000</td>
<td>$32,301,697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROI (Return on Investment)</td>
<td>The cost of the Idaho SBDC versus the increase in taxes collected due to business growth by SBDC clients. Goal is 3.0</td>
<td>4:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Satisfaction</td>
<td>Percentage of above average and excellent rating, Goal is 90%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The benchmarks (goals) are developed with data from other SBDCs, the SBA, and from our accrediting organization.*
Idaho Dental Education Program

STRATEGIC PLAN

FY2016-2020
MISSION STATEMENT

The Mission of the Idaho Dental Education Program is to provide Idaho residents with access to quality educational opportunities in the field of dentistry.

The Idaho Dental Education Program is designed to provide Idaho with outstanding dental professionals through a combination of adequate access for residents and the high quality of education provided. The graduates of the Idaho Dental Education Program will possess the ability to practice today’s dentistry. Furthermore, they will have the background to evaluate changes in future treatment methods as they relate to providing outstanding patient care.

The Idaho Dental Education Program is managed so that it fulfills its mission and vision in the most effective and efficient manner possible. This management style compliments the design of the program and provides the best value for the citizens of Idaho who fund the program.

GOALS OF THE IDAHO DENTAL EDUCATION PROGRAM

The Idaho Dental Education Program (IDEP) serves as the sole route of state supported dental education for residents of Idaho. The IDEP program has been consistent in adhering to the mission statement by fulfilling the following goals:

Goal 1: Provide access to a quality dental education for qualified Idaho residents.

Objective:
Provide dental education opportunities for Idaho residents comparable to residents of other states.

- Performance Measure:
  - Contract for 4-year dental education for at least 8 Idaho residents.

- Benchmark:
  - Current contract in place with Creighton University School of Dentistry or another accredited dental school.

- Performance Measure:
  - Board examination scores on both Parts I and II of the Dental National Boards.

- Benchmark:
  - Pass rate will meet or exceed 90%.

- Performance Measure:
  - Percentage of first time pass rate on the Western Regional Board Examination or Central Regional Dental Testing Service.

- Benchmark:
  - Pass rate will meet or exceed 90%.

Objective:
Provide additional opportunities for Idaho residents to obtain a quality dental education.

- **Performance Measure:**
  - Number of students in the program.
- **Benchmark:**
  - Increase the number of students in the program from 8 to 10.

**Goal 2: Maintain some control over the rising costs of dental education.**

**Objective:**
Provide the State of Idaho with a competitive value in educating Idaho dentists.

- **Performance Measure:**
  - State cost per student.
- **Benchmark:**
  - Cost per student will be less than 50% of the national average state cost per DDSE (DDS Equivalent). The cost per DDSE is a commonly utilized measure to evaluate the relative cost of a dental education program.

**Goal 3: Serve as a mechanism for responding to the present and/or the anticipated distribution of dental personnel in Idaho.**

**Objective:**
Help meet the needs for dentists in all geographic regions of the state.

- **Performance Measure:**
  - Geographical acceptance of students into the IDEP program.
- **Benchmark:**
  - Students from each of the 4 regions of Idaho (North, Central, Southwest, and Southeast) granted acceptance each year.

- **Performance Measure:**
  - Return rates.
- **Benchmark:**
  - Maintain return rates of program graduates in private practice which average greater than 50%.

**Goal 4: Provide access for dental professionals to facilities, equipment, and resources to update and maintain professional skills.**
Objective:
Provide current resources to aid the residents of Idaho by maintaining/increasing the professional skills of Idaho Dentists.

- **Performance Measure:**
  - Continuing Dental Education (CDE).

- **Benchmark:**
  - Provide continuing dental education opportunities for regional dental professionals when the need arises.

- **Performance Measure:**
  - Remediation of Idaho dentists (if/when necessary).

- **Benchmark:**
  - Successfully aid in the remediation of any Idaho dentist, in cooperation with the State Board of Dentistry and the Idaho Advanced General Dentistry Program, such that the individual dentist may successfully return to practice.

**KEY EXTERNAL FACTORS:**

**Funding:**
Most Idaho Dental Education Program goals and objectives assume ongoing, and in some cases additional, levels of State legislative appropriations. Availability of these funds can be uncertain. Currently with State budget reductions that specifically impact our program, the goal to increase the number of available positions within the program from 8 to 10 is not feasible, but this will remain a long-term goal for the program.

**Program Participant Choice:**
Some IDEP goals are dependent upon choices made by individual students, such as choosing where to practice. Even though this is beyond our control, we have had an excellent track record of program graduates returning to Idaho to practice.

**Idaho Dentist to Population Ratio**
The more populated areas of Idaho are more saturated with dentists, making it difficult for new graduates to enter the workforce in these areas. With this in mind, we have still seen a good percentage of program graduates return to Idaho to practice.

**Educational Debt of Graduates**
The average educational debt of IDEP graduates continues to increase each year (for 2012 it was $186,385). This amount of debt may limit graduates to more urban areas of practice initially.

**Student Performance**
Some of the goals of the program are dependent upon pre-program students to excel in their preparation for the program. However, we have not encountered difficulty in finding highly qualified applicants from all areas of the State.
Idaho Museum of Natural History
Strategic Plan Revision
FY2016-2020

Leif Tapanila, Acting Director
Idaho Museum of Natural History
Stop 8096
Idaho State University
Pocatello, ID 83209
Phone: 208-282-5417
E-mail: tapaleif@isu.edu
Dear Fellow Idahoan:

I present to you a five-year vision — a strategic plan — for the Idaho Museum of Natural History (IMNH). The plan outlines how we will build on the museum’s accomplishments in researching, preserving and sharing the story of Idaho’s natural and cultural history. It also takes us toward a new frontier: development of a “virtual” museum that uses the Internet to mitigate the challenges of Idaho’s geography and extend the benefits of the museum to all.

The plan puts substantial focus on important issues that impede our ability to fulfill the museum’s legislated mandate. Among those issues are funding, and the inadequacy of our current building. The overriding goal for the next five years, however, is increasing access to the research and educational benefits we offer not only to the people of Idaho, but to people around the world.

Various Internet-driven technologies make it possible now to deliver IMNH research and educational programs to students, educators, families, scientists and others wherever they live, learn and work. A “virtual visit” is no substitute for a personal visit to our exhibitions and collections. Yet we are acutely aware that personal visits to our facilities in Pocatello aren’t possible for many of the people we are obligated to serve. The Internet empowers us to bring the museum to them.

This is an ambitious plan, and the challenges we face in achieving its goals are formidable. Yet we are inspired by the determination of a few professors and community leaders to establish this museum during the depths of the Great Depression. They looked beyond the difficulties of their time, and saw what a museum could do for the generations to come. They saw opportunities when it was reasonable to see only obstacles. We are committed to doing no less.

The Idaho Museum of Natural History has been at the forefront of science education in Idaho for more than 75 years. This strategic plan reflects opportunities to build on that legacy. It is a pathway with obstacles to overcome, but the destination is worthy. Please join me on the journey ahead.

Sincerely,

Leif Tapanila, Ph.D.
Acting Director, Idaho Museum of Natural History
Idaho Museum of Natural History
Draft Strategic Plan Revision
2016-2020
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Idaho Museum of Natural History

Introduction

The Idaho Museum of Natural History (IMNH) is the state’s premier institution of its kind for discovering, interpreting, preserving and disseminating knowledge in the core disciplines of Natural History. These include:

**Earth Sciences and Ancient Environments**
- paleontology
- rocks and minerals
- earth history

**Life Sciences and Ecosystems**
- botany
- mammals, birds, fish and reptiles
- ecosystems and adaptations

**Peoples, Cultures, and Ancient Lifeways**
- anthropology
- archaeology
- human ecology

Accredited by the American Association of Museums, IMNH operates under the auspices of the State Board of Education from the campus of Idaho State University, a doctoral-level and Carnegie-designated “research high” university in Pocatello. The university provides substantial support, advocacy and supervision. This is a mutually beneficial and supportive relationship that facilitates museum engagement with students, faculty, K-12 educators and other important constituents locally, statewide and around the world.

Our four divisions -- anthropology, earth sciences, life sciences and education -- operate in facilities that include classrooms, research laboratories, artifact and fossil preparation laboratories, storage for permanent collections, and an exhibition fabrication shop. The museum houses an exhibition gallery, the Idaho Virtualization Laboratory, curator offices, and research areas for students and visiting scientists. There also are administrative offices, the Education Resource Center, Children’s Discovery Room and the Museum Store.

Through a range of opportunities for learning and enrichment, we reach out continually to diverse constituencies, from K-12 and graduate students to higher-education faculties and field researchers.
Our roots
The museum is rooted in Idaho’s higher-education system. A group of forward-looking professors and community leaders founded it in 1934 as the Historical Museum at the Southern Branch of the University of Idaho — today’s Idaho State University. In 1977, Gov. John Evans signed a proclamation designating IMNH as Idaho’s museum of natural history; in 1986 the Legislature made the proclamation law.

Our mission
We are caretakers of Idaho’s natural and cultural history. Our legislative mandate is the collection, interpretation and exhibition of artifacts, fossils, plants and animals in educational ways. Our goal each day is to enrich the lives of the people of Idaho through understanding of our natural heritage.

We use science to tell the story of Idaho. Through scholarship, stewardship and outreach, we add new knowledge to past discoveries and make what we learn accessible to all for benefits we may not foresee. We answer questions about our world and raise new ones, always nurturing humankind’s yearning to know more.

Our vision
The Idaho Museum of Natural History strives to make science and cultural history accessible, relevant and meaningful. We aspire to democratize science, that is, to make our research and knowledge portfolios more broadly accessible through measures that will mitigate the limitations of brick-and-mortar facilities.

We see existing and emerging information technologies as tools that will enable us to overcome logistical, geographic and financial barriers to learning. There is no substitute for a leisurely afternoon spent among our exhibits. Yet there is a new frontier: bringing Idaho’s museum to the people wherever they live, work and learn.

In this spirit, our staff is eager to augment our physical facilities in Pocatello with Internet-driven tools that will help us deliver the scientific, educational, cultural and economic benefits of this institution to its stakeholders wherever they are.

We work each day at IMNH to expand our contribution to Idaho as a productive research and education resource for the State and region. We are committed to being efficient and innovative in work that fulfills our mandate. So over the next five years IMNH will focus on making the benefits of our work known and available to all.

We will accomplish this through the following means:

- scholarship, exhibitions and educational programs
• partnerships and fundraising
• outreach, lectures and symposiums
• information technologies

IMNH today
The Idaho Museum of Natural History has never been just a storehouse of artifacts and exhibits. While it is indeed a steward of important artifact collections, it also is a research and education institution.

For the first time since the early 1990s, the museum is led by a permanent director who is a scientist with a history of success not only in research and teaching, but also in obtaining funding. Appointed in March 2011, Herbert Maschner, Ph.D., is a tenured professor of anthropology who has done pioneering work among Native Alaskans and in arctic archaeology. He was named Idaho State University Distinguished Researcher in 2006, and Idaho Academy of Sciences Distinguished Scientist in 2011. Yet the high caliber of the staff goes deeper.

IMNH educational resources coordinator Rebecca A. Thorne-Ferrel, Ed.D., who plays a key role in reaching out to our publics, is a recipient of the Idaho Academy of Sciences Distinguished Science Communicator award.

Education Coordinator Rebecca Thorne-Ferrel, D.Ed., is in the final year of implementing a three-year (2009-2011) $143,000 grant from the Institute of Museum and Library Services. The funds support the Idaho Geology Outreach Project, which provides resources to teachers in rural school districts for geology and science education, and teacher workshops.

Curator Rick Williams, Ph.D., is one of the leaders in the development of The Consortium of Intermountain Region Herbaria (CIRH), which is seeking to “virtualize” herbaria of the Intermountain West by putting 3 million plant specimens online. That will provide access to researchers globally.

Curator Leif Tapanila, Ph.D., recently received more than $200,000 from the National Science Foundation for the Alamo Impact Project, a study of a Devonian Period meteor impact event in southern Nevada. This project will study the effects of that event on geology and on invertebrate life. The IMNH will work on developing and designing the website for the project, and will do public outreach through teacher workshops and other activities.

The following are further examples of research projects in which IMNH is involved:

• New discoveries of ice-age fossil tracks and trackways at American Falls Reservoir will provide critical details about life on the Snake River Plain more than 20,000 years ago.
A study of stable isotopes of small mammals as indicators of climate change on the Snake River Plain is using new technologies to analyze bones from archaeological sites as a measure of environmental changes so that we might better understand the global changes occurring today.

Ecological and genetic studies of Rocky Mountain plant reproduction and ongoing additions of plant specimens from throughout the Rocky Mountain West to track plant biodiversity in the region.

We are using archaeometric techniques to identify the sources of obsidian artifacts from southeastern Idaho’s Wasden Site, and other sites across the region. Elemental composition of obsidian artifacts and the source flows from where the raw obsidian was collected, are helping us learn about Native American trade, migration and land use.

Further investigation of Helicoprion sharks, found in the fossil beds of the modern mines in southern Idaho, is transforming understanding of the evolution of sharks. This rare species of shark is completely unknown in the modern oceans and is critical to our understanding of life in the Permian Period.

Digitization of the Life Sciences Project, which is creating a new database structure; development of a digital-image library; and development of online visual keys to plants of the region. This will include online specimen records and images with capabilities to map distributions, produce dynamic species lists, and multi-entry keys to plants of the Intermountain West -- critical to all studies of landscape change and the effects of both people and climate on ecosystems.

Equine Navicular Syndrome, an incurable lameness in modern horses traditionally thought to be caused by humans, has now been found ago in the fossil horses of Idaho dating to over 3.5 million years ago. This discovery is changing our views of this pathology in modern horses.

Studies of the ancient invertebrates of Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument are leading to new interpretations of environmental changes through comparisons between ancient ecosystems and the modern world.

IMNH-related research and education projects are being conducted by educators and scientists from around the world. These projects range from the Idaho Master Naturalist Program and studies of ice-age mammals of North America, to research on the global extinction of dinosaurs.
This caliber of scientific work by IMNH scientists, and the professional credentials of IMNH staff, attract and nurture professional networks and knowledge. This helps open doors, raise funding and enhance the stature of Idaho State University and the museum. We are currently enhancing the museum’s professional and scientific stature by expanding the museum’s collections and research activity in three key areas:

The **John A. White Paleontological Repository** houses the largest paleontological collections in Idaho. We are expanding these collections through extensive field research, and using these collections to assist the State of Idaho in meeting new US Government regulations concerning the discovery of paleontological resources on State and Federal lands.

The **Swanson Archaeological Repository** at the IMNH currently houses and preserves archaeological collections from southern and eastern Idaho that belong to state and federal agencies. This includes hundreds of boxes containing over 300,000 archaeological specimens. These collections are growing through active field research and contractual arrangement with a number of agencies. We are further expanding the existing Swanson Archaeological Repository to store collections for federal and state agencies outside of Idaho as well.

The **Ray J. Davis Herbarium**, with a collection of nearly 80,000 plants, is expanding through a consortium of regional herbaria through grants and cooperative agreements. Students and staff are actively collecting and processing plant specimens expanding our holdings, and making possible new studies of biodiversity and range management.

Collection efforts are substantial in all other areas of the museum as well. Active expansion in ethnography, mammalogy, herpetology, and geology are making the museum a stronger research and education institution, and enhancing our National and International reputation.

**Guiding IMNH’s future**

Stakeholder groups will be central to our success over the next five years. The new **Executive Committee**, comprised of IMNH curators, is tasked with long-range planning, seeking consensus in key areas of management, and building a team approach to solving important management priorities, including budgets. **Friends of the Museum** is a community auxiliary to the museum with broad subscription membership from southern Idaho. The Friends will provide an organizing network, sponsor lectures, field trips and community events. The 16-member **Museum Advisory Committee** includes state legislators, bankers, philanthropists, mayors, and business and community leaders; it is our organizational and advisory leadership unit, providing opportunities to reach out across Idaho and the Nation.
Goals and objectives
FY 2016 - 2020

Goal 1

A “virtual” museum

In this era of “virtual” participation in so many aspects of life, visiting a museum to benefit from its collections, exhibits and research no longer has to mean traveling to a brick-and-mortar facility many miles away. Today’s Web-based multi-media communication channels — interactive websites, Web cams, blogs, HD video, YouTube, Facebook and such — make it possible to take classes or view exhibitions, collections and artifacts “virtually” from any Internet-connected device in the world. We intend to be part of this revolution by developing a “virtual museum.”

Over the years, an amalgam of circumstances — museum closures due to renovations and remodeling, the challenge of preparing exhibitions that are relevant to K-12 curricula, strained school budgets, security concerns, testing mandated by federal “No Child Left Behind” legislation, the economy, rising fuel prices — has been chipping away at school districts’ ability to accommodate student visits to the museum. In addition, high gasoline prices and Idaho’s far-flung geography have impacted other IMNH constituents as well as students.

The virtual museum concept will help us mitigate these challenges. This strategy promises to make the benefits we offer more accessible than ever before.

A milestone in achieving this goal came in September 2010. The Idaho Museum of Natural History, Idaho State University Informatics Institute and the Canadian Museum of Civilization jointly received a $1 million grant from the National Science Foundation. This grant will bolster efforts to further develop an online, interactive “virtual museum” of northern animal bones. The title of the grant is “Virtual Zooarchaeology of the Arctic Project (VZAP): Phase II.” Combined with an additional Technology Incentive Grant from the State Board of Education for $135,000, the NSF award will enable us to develop a virtual Idaho natural-history program — the foundation in developing a plan to provide online access to all of our collections for all of our audiences.
Objective: Design, deploy and manage a “Virtual Museum”
We will accelerate development of a virtual museum that will use digital technology to make our collections, exhibitions and other resources available to learners, educators and researchers online and on demand.

Our virtual museum will be a key tool for overcoming the growing challenges involved in making physical visits to our gallery and activities. It will help spread awareness of and access to the benefits of our work, including research and educational programs.

We will strive to have the entire museum collection online and accessible from anywhere in the world, in the next five years. This will require considerable funding from outside resources. We will immediately begin writing grant proposals to U.S. government agencies and philanthropic foundations in order to begin implementation of the Virtual Museum.

Goal 2
Adequate staffing

The museum currently serves the entire State of Idaho — and to a degree the Intermountain West — with fewer than eight (8) full-time-equivalent (FTE) positions. We rely as well on five (5) part-time employees. In academic year 2010-2011, we had 19 student employees.

Until academic year 2008-2009, IMNH’s functions and outreach were limited by inadequate staffing across divisions and in central administration. Efficient reorganization has provided positions necessary for expanded research and collections oversight.

Additional staff is required, however, because the needs and expectations of our expanding constituent base are evolving and expanding just as state funding is declining.

Objective: Additional museum professionals
To perform our expanding professional functions effectively, we will seek funding for additional staff according to the following priorities:

1. Development officer to help secure major financial gifts. This is the key missing link in the advancement of the IMNH.

2. An information-technology specialist to manage and maintain a database for the virtual museum; and to establish and maintain an interactive, multimedia IMNH Web presence.
3. An exhibit design technician to support our public-outreach mission and assist in delivering high-quality educational programs and exhibitions that reflect current best practices.

4. A professional conservator to ensure adequate care of collections.

5. Professors to work as curators and division leaders in each of the four IMNH divisions. Especially a Curator of Anthropology.

To achieve our immediate goals, we will propose to the State of Idaho an IMNH funding increase to hire a development officer. But we also fully recognize that we cannot “hire” our way to fulfillment of the museum’s complete mission. So we will rely to a significant degree on an energized museum membership drive to gain access to essential human and financial resources. We also recognize that managing volunteer staff will require time and energy from full-time staff.

Goal 3
Upgrade collections functions

IMNH houses more than 500,000 natural and cultural objects. These irreplaceable items are central to our research, exhibitions and educational work. They must be properly prepared, inventoried, preserved and stored following current best practices. As we become increasingly active in research, educational programs and exhibitions at locations beyond the museum building, we must deploy a secure internal system to track and manage our collections.

Objectives:

● We will purchase and deploy new storage systems that will help us make more efficient use of collections storage space. We will seek capital improvement funds to meet our storage and curation needs by implementing a $500,000 campaign for storage systems.

● The museum will update collection-management policies and procedure manuals. To do so, we have begun the process of hiring a new museum Registrar, who will be an experienced leader in museum regulations and best practices.

● We will complete development of a digital collections database for each division. To accomplish this, collections managers have begun training initiatives, and have been creating new database systems to enhance management of their collections.
Implementation is in collaboration with the Informatics Research Institute at Idaho State University.

- We shall begin writing proposals to complete a conservation assessment of the museum, which will be done by a team of experts from other institutions. This will specifically define the conservation needs of our collections and make it possible to secure further grants to match those needs. Based on this assessment, we will create a conservation plan for each division.

Goal 4

Increase funding

Working through our regional Museum Advisory Committee, Friends of the Museum and other partners, we will be even more proactive in developing research grants, philanthropic and membership-based funding streams independent of State appropriations.

Objective: An endowment
Key to fulfilling and sustaining the museum’s mission for the long term will be establishment of an endowment founded on one or more major philanthropic gifts. To accomplish this goal in an era of declining public funding for higher education will require the continuing services of a professional development officer.

We will employ a number of tactics: events, outreach, marketing and communication initiatives, and opportunities to name facilities after philanthropists who support our mission with major gifts.

Objective: Research and stewardship grants
Competitive research grants from entities such as the National Science Foundation are a major source of funding for every higher-education institution. Such funding helps fund not only scholarship, research and stewardship of collections, but it also helps fund staff positions, faculty, even equipment and operating costs. The Idaho Museum of Natural History must be competitive, energetic and entrepreneurial in identifying and pursuing appropriate opportunities. And we shall be.

Objective: A gift-funded travel and research fund
We will seek philanthropic support to establish and sustain a fund to support approved research projects that advance the museum’s core functions.
Goal 5
Develop and support programs for
K-12, higher-education and the general public

IMNH collections have been used for paleontological research leading to master’s and doctoral degrees, and in scholarly research related to Doctor of Arts degrees.

Much of what we do, however, is for the benefit of K-12 education. Since 1990, more than 36,150 K-12 students have come through our doors. We also have long provided a number of popular, informal science-education programs that enrich learners of all ages and backgrounds — school and community groups, individuals and families alike — through direct experience with science.

Among these programs are:

- **Pint-Sized Science Academy**, an early childhood science-learning opportunity
- **Science Trek**, an overnight adventure at the museum for children in the third through fifth grades
- **Forays into the Field**, a unique week-long science experience for young women in junior and senior high school; and
- **Science Saturdays**, a special series of hands-on classes for elementary-age students.

We offer tools to educators through the Education Resources Center. We’ve also received significant extramural funding for innovative projects designed to get science resources to K-12 and university educators. Among these are online educational resources such as: “Digital Atlas,” “Idaho Virtualization Lab,” “Fossil Plot” and “Bridging the Natural Gap.”

The museum’s local partnerships, as well as its associations with Idaho State University faculty and students, enable each group to be mutually supportive.

To sustain and build on these successes in a cost-effective manner, the museum must build infrastructure that enables planning for efficient and effective expansion of educational programs.

We hope that by more effectively aligning our exhibits and educational programs with Idaho’s K-12 curriculum, we will improve the relevance of our work to the K-12 system. We see our “virtual museum” initiative doing a great deal to mitigate the access issues schools face today as well.
Personal visits will remain a cornerstone of the IMNH experience, so we are developing a long-term exhibit plan to ensure thematic continuity and regular rotations. An exhibition gallery that emphasizes research and education is a critical museum centerpiece.

Efforts are underway to bring parents and other adults back to the museum experience. An important obstacle to filling classes for adults is communicating the availability of adult classes for the public. Overcoming this will require a strong communications person and communications plan, based on efficient contemporary tactics and tools, to “get the word out.” Through granting and fund-raising we will work towards the following objectives.

Objectives:

- Maintain on-site visitation by students at an average of 8,000 per year by including exhibits that are relevant to K-12 curricula; providing appropriate outdoor accommodations for classes and families; making classrooms more accessible to adult learners; equipping classrooms with computers, Smartboards, digital projectors, DVD players, conferencing capabilities and other learning tools.

- Establish a Career Path Internship Program for 10 students each summer

- Create graduate-student assistantships to aid in program development and delivery.

- Build an interactive, multimedia website to connect self-learners with a rich array of science-education resources and experiences.

- Develop a Museum Store business plan to ensure success of store activities, including coordination of educational programming, a successful museum E-Store, and effective sales of IMNH and other relevant publications.

Goal 6

Improve communications and marketing

The Idaho Museum of Natural History is mandated to serve all of Idaho, yet for a variety of reasons it can seem most closely associated with only one of Idaho’s four-year higher education institutions — Idaho State University — and only one geographic region, southeastern Idaho. Geography explains much of that. Employing contemporary marketing and communications tools and tactics will help us strengthen our image and role as a statewide resource.

To raise the stature of our staff, our work and Idaho’s museum — which will strengthen our case for research funding and philanthropic support — we will tell our story more
effectively. That will require staff skilled in crafting and projecting communications that alert, inform and persuade targeted audiences. Key to meeting these objectives is the hiring of a development specialist; but in the meantime, we will begin many of these activities using a dedicated part-time staff of student employees.

Objectives:

- We will develop a media-relations strategy to generate positive publicity.

- The museum will improve two-way communications with K-12 educators to increase their awareness of the opportunities we offer, and our awareness of ways to make exhibitions and programs relevant to their needs.

- Implementation of a communications plan will be undertaken to raise general-public awareness of museum educational programs, leading to increased enrollment.

- We will offer online virtual tours of the museum and its exhibitions. Digital video technologies will be use to deliver lectures and workshops online.

- Partnerships will help us develop an interactive site where students can ask questions and receive authoritative answers.

- We will place IMNH news and feature stories on the IMNH website, in ISU Magazine and other channels, and we will publish a “viewbook” (print and digital) illustrating IMNH’s work.

- A redesign of the IMNH website will include interactive and multimedia communication tools.

- An active social-media presence will be established to engage targeted audiences. Included will be YouTube videos featuring IMNH subject-matter experts and exhibits.

- IMNH staff will place exhibits at University Place in Idaho Falls, the Capitol building in Boise and other high-profile venues to raise awareness of and interest in the museum.

- We will evaluate resuming the IMNH publication series (Tebiwa, Miscellaneous and Occasional Papers) in peer-reviewed online formats.
Our outreach will spotlight IMNH research news using internal and external multimedia channels.

We will strive to raise the public profile of our staff by encouraging them to serve as conference presenters, guest speakers and lecturers, editors of publications, and officers of relevant associations.

Goal 7

A new museum building

In December 2010, we proudly reopened our renovated and revitalized exhibit area. It features a more welcoming and comfortable foyer, new and familiar displays, easier-to-read interpretive panels, improved lighting and a more open look and feel. We debuted many exhibits, including ice-age animal mounts and an exhibit on how climate change on the Snake River Plain has affected its plant and animal life. The event attracted 500 visitors; since then the museum has received thousands of visits from K-12 students and the public.

We have maximized what can be done with the former library building we occupy on the Idaho State University campus. We cannot grow and expand our services to Idaho for the long term and remain in our current building.

Our operations are confined to 35,786 square feet as follows:

- **Basement**: 15,337 sq. ft.
- **Main floor**: 15,693 sq. ft.
- **Warehouse**: 3,606 sq. ft.
- **Garden**: 1,150 sq. ft.

Participation in one of our most popular and effective programs for children, the Science Trek sleepover program, provides an example of the impact our building is having on service to our constituents. Necessary remodeling has imposed space limitations that, in turn, hold participation to 120 children. Science Trek previously accommodated up to 150 children.

Meeting spaces also have been reduced so that classroom and auditorium capacity no longer permits comfortable seating for lectures and programs with more than approximately 25 people.

We have been resourceful and adaptable in making the best of our building, yet it has never been adequate for the work of a research- and exhibit-oriented public museum that must meet the expectations of constituents and stakeholders in the 21st century.
Obstacles the current building presents include the following:

- little or no room for expansion
- overcrowded collections areas
- security, environmental, pest-management and parking issues posed by sharing facilities with other campus operations
- lack of adequate storage for exhibits and educational materials

If the museum is to maximize its benefits to Idaho and focus increasingly on well-funded research, education and public engagement, a new building — constructed specifically for museum uses — is a necessary investment.

**Objective: Plan a capital campaign for a new building**

In partnership with our advisory and stakeholder groups, we will plan the launch of a multi-year capital campaign. The campaign would raise major financial gifts for construction, maintenance and operation of a museum-centered U.S. Green Building Council LEED-certified building to be located on the ISU campus.

**Benchmarks and Performance Measures**

In the following areas of museum operations, we shall target 10 percent increases per year in each year of this plan:

- philanthropic financial gifts
- research grants and other grants
- scientific publication
- public visitation
- enrollment in public programs
Performance Measures and Benchmarks FY 2010-2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of People Served by the General Public Museum Programs</td>
<td>9,064</td>
<td>11,022</td>
<td>11,054</td>
<td>8,937</td>
<td>Reduction because of gallery closure</td>
<td>Reopen the Gallery and return to 2009 levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant/Contract Revenue Received</td>
<td>$181,150</td>
<td>$14,823</td>
<td>$10,098</td>
<td>$208,736</td>
<td>Increase by 5%</td>
<td>Over $1.1 million 500% increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Exhibitions Developed</td>
<td>Data not collected prior to 2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>All new exhibits currently being developed for Fall 2010</td>
<td>Reopen the gallery and return to 2009 levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museum Store Revenue Received</td>
<td>$23,249</td>
<td>$22,912</td>
<td>$24,588</td>
<td>$12,707</td>
<td>online sales only because of store closure</td>
<td>Reopen the Museum Store and return to 2009 levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Educational Programs</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Reduced because of temporary gallery closure</td>
<td>Expand when Museum Reopens and return to 2009 levels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance Measures FY 2012-2017 Based on New Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>FY 2012-2017 Benchmarks</th>
<th>FY 2012-17 Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Active Solicitation of grants, foundation awards, and donations to create the Virtual Museum – approximately $250,000 per year.</td>
<td>Success in the active solicitation of the funds and the implementation of the Virtual Museum concept. 2012: write proposals 2013: database construction 2014: beta implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 2</td>
<td>Propose to State of Idaho the funding and creation of an Information Technology Specialist</td>
<td>Active discussion towards the resolution of all staffing needs in Goal 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 4</td>
<td>Increasing Development activities in grants and donations.</td>
<td>At 10% per year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 5</td>
<td>Increase outreach and increase educational opportunities through new and exciting programs</td>
<td>At 10% per year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 6</td>
<td>Create new exhibits in other areas of the State. Create newsletters and other public information.</td>
<td>Create exhibits in Idaho Falls and Boise. Increase public participation and visitation by 10% per year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 7</td>
<td>Form Capital committee for fund raising.</td>
<td>Create Capital Committee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**External Factors**

All external factors are based in the success or failure of finding initiatives.

**Moving forward**

New leadership. New tools. A new vision of how we can give the people of Idaho an even greater return on their investment in science (STEM) education. These are stepping stones in our pathway through the final quarter of the museum’s first century. The professors and community leaders who joined together during the Great Depression to establish this museum looked beyond the challenges of their day to the promise of tomorrow. Today, we commit to doing the same.
TechHelp Business Definition
TechHelp is Idaho’s MEP center. Working in partnership with the state universities, we provide assistance to manufacturers, food and dairy processors, service industry and inventors to grow their revenues, to increase their productivity and performance, and to strengthen their global competitiveness.
“Our identity is shaped by our results.”

TechHelp Strategic Mission Statement
TechHelp will be a respected, customer-focused, industry recognized organization with strong employee loyalty, confidence of its business partners and with the resources and systems in place to achieve the following sustained annual results in 2019:

- 80 manufacturers reporting $100,000,000 economic impact
- 170 jobs created
- > $20,000 and < $50,000 Net Income

TechHelp Core Strategy
TechHelp will use a team-based network of experienced staff and proven partners from private industry, Idaho’s Universities and the National MEP network to develop trusted and lasting relationships with Idaho companies and communities. TechHelp will have a reputation for developing, teaching and delivering innovative processes and services that enable Idaho’s medium, small and rural companies to drive profitable growth through self-sustaining business practices.

Goal I: Impact on Manufacturing – Deliver a positive return on both private business investments and public investments in TechHelp by adding value to the customer and the community.

Objectives for Impact:
1. Offer products and workshops that meet Idaho manufacturers’ product and process innovation needs.
   a. Performance Measure:
      i. Client economic impacts resulting from projects
   b. Benchmark:
      i. Reported cumulative impacts for sales, savings, investments and jobs each improve by five percent over the prior year

2. Exceed federal system goals for impacted Clients served per $Million Federal.
   a. Performance Measure:
Goal II: Operational Efficiency – Make efficient and effective use of TechHelp staff, systems and Advisory Board members.

Objectives for Efficiency:
1. Improve efficiency of client projects.
   a. Performance Measure:
      i. State dollars expended per project/event
   b. Benchmark:
      i. Dollars expended is less than prior year’s total

2. Improve effectiveness of client projects.
   a. Performance Measure:
      i. Total economic impact reported by TechHelp clients
   b. Benchmark:
      i. Reported total impacts increase by 5% each year with the goal of $100,000,000 in impacts by 2017.

Goal III: Financial Health – Increase the amount of program revenue and the level of external funding to assure the fiscal health of TechHelp.

Objectives for Financial Health:
1. Increase total client fees received for services.
   a. Performance Measure:
      i. Net revenue from client projects
   b. Benchmark:
      i. Annual net revenue exceeds the prior year by five percent

2. Increase external funding to support operations and client services.
   a. Performance Measure:
      i. Total dollars of non-client funding (e.g. grants) for operations and client services
   b. Benchmark:
i. Total dollars of non-client funding for operations and client services exceed the prior year’s total

Key External Factors

State Funding:

Nationally, state funding is the only variable that correlates highly with the performance of the Manufacturing Extension Partnership centers. State funding is subject to availability of state revenues as well as gubernatorial and legislative support and can be uncertain.

Federal Funding:

The federal government is TechHelp’s single largest investor. While federal funding has been stable, it is subject to availability of federal revenues as well as executive and congressional support and can be uncertain.

Economic Conditions:

Fees for services comprise a significant portion of TechHelp’s total revenue. We are encouraged by current economic activity and believe it will support the ability of Idaho manufacturers to contract TechHelp’s services.
SUBJECT
Idaho Indian Education Strategic Plan

REFERENCE
February 2014 The Board received an update on committee progress and activities.

October 2014 The Board received an update on committee progress and activities.

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY
Idaho State Board of Education Governing Policies and Procedures, I.P. Idaho Indian Education Committee

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
The Idaho Indian Education Committee was formally established through Board Policy I.P to serve as an advisory committee to the State Board of Education (Board) and the State Department of Education (Department) on educational issues and how they affect Idaho’s American Indian student population. The committee also serves as a link between Idaho's American Indian tribes.

At the October 2014 Board meeting, the committee reported on four school districts with the highest American Indian student population and highlighted gaps of academic achievement for American Indian students compared to their educational peers, including go-on rates, and barriers.

The Committee convened a series of work sessions to develop a strategic plan that would advance the Board’s mission as it pertains to the educational barriers for this demographic group and to help guide the committee’s work for identifying priority areas that need to be focused upon. The Mission, Vision, Goals, and Objectives before the Board are a result of those efforts. The Committee will present recommendations based on identified strategies at a later date for consideration.

Committee representatives will provide the Board with an overview of the strategic plan to include its significance, purpose, and impact regarding American Indian education in Idaho.

IMPACT
Approval of the initial goals and objectives will allow the Committee to move forward with identifying specific performance measures and benchmarks.

ATTACHMENTS
Attachment 1- Idaho Indian Education Strategic Plan
STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Indian Education Committee is responsible, in part, for making recommendations to the Board and Department for educational policy as it relates to American Indian student access, retention, graduation, and achievement.

The Committee has agreed upon two initial goals to promote academic excellence for American Indian students and increase culturally relevant pedagogy in teacher education programs. Upon approval, the Committee will collaborate with the Department and the Board research staff to identify key performance measures and benchmarks for each objective provided. Once these performance measures and benchmarks are established, they will be presented as recommendations to the Board at the October 2015 Board meeting.

Board staff recommends approval of the strategic plan as submitted.

BOARD ACTION

I move to approve the 2016-2021 Idaho Indian Education Strategic Plan as submitted in Attachment 1.

Moved by _________ Seconded by _________ Carried Yes _____ No _______
VISION
The Idaho State Board of Education and the State Department of Education envision all American Indian students in Idaho thrive, reach their full potential, and have access to educational services and opportunities.

MISSION
Creating and leveraging educational opportunities for Idaho’s American Indian students through access, educational programs, and support services provided by the educational institutions and tribes of Idaho to promote academic and career attainment.

CONSTRUCTING FOUNDATIONS OF SUCCESS
The Idaho Indian Education Committee has developed a strategic plan focusing on opportunity gaps and the recommendation of best practices. The Board, through building partnerships, increasing indigenous pedagogical practices, and adopting data-driven, evidence-based policies, will work to increase educational attainment of all American Indian students in Idaho.

GOAL 1: AMERICAN INDIAN ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE
Ensure Idaho’s American Indian students are afforded educational opportunities on an equitable basis; provide resources that promote and support an increase in the educational attainment among American Indian students.

Objective A: Increase access of educational opportunity among Idaho’s American Indian students.

Performance Measure(s):
1. Increased number of American Indian students who applied and received the Opportunity Scholarship.
2. Increased number of American Indian students who participated in Advanced Opportunities.

Objective B: Increase the level of educational attainment among Idaho’s American Indian students.

Performance Measure(s):
1. Increased number of American Indian students enrolled in postsecondary institutions after graduation.
2. Increased number of American Indian students which indicate articulation, time to completion, and graduation rates.
3. Increased number of American Indian students beyond a baccalaureate degree.
Objective C: Increase the quality of instruction for Idaho’s American Indian students.

Performance Measure(s):
1. Increased number of highly qualified teachers in targeted schools.
2. Decreased number of alternate route teachers in targeted schools.
3. Increased number of educators knowledgeable in culturally relevant pedagogy.

GOAL 2: CULTURALLY RELEVANT PEDAGOGY
Ensure Idaho K-20 educational institutions will provide all educators with indigenous scholarship to recognize the distinct, unique knowledge and heritage of Idaho’s American Indians.

Objective A: Increase integration of cultural relevancy into the professional practice.
1. Increased number of teacher education program college credits in cultural relevant pedagogy.
2. Increased number of education professional development credits in culturally responsive teaching.
3. Increased knowledge of cultural relevant pedagogy in standard teacher certification.

Objective B: Increase the knowledge of federal policies and Idaho’s Indian Tribes.

Performance Measure(s):
1. Increased number of high school courses 9-12 available on the history of Idaho’s Tribes.
2. Increased number of college courses available on the history of Idaho’s tribes.
3. Increased number of high school courses available on American Indian federal policies.
4. Increased number of college courses available on American Indian federal policies.
5. Increased number of courses taken by all Idaho students 9-12 on the history of Idaho’s tribes.
6. Increased number of courses taken by all Idaho public postsecondary institutions on the history of Idaho’s tribes.
7. Increased number of courses taken by all Idaho students 9-12 on American Indian federal policies.
8. Increased number of courses taken by all Idaho public postsecondary institutions on AI federal policies.
SUBJECT
Amendment to Board Policy I.Q. Accountability Oversight Committee – Second Reading

REFERENCE
October 2012  The Board approved the first reading of proposed changes to Board Policy III.AA.

December 2012  The Board approved the second reading of proposed changes to Board Policy III.AA. and moved the policy to section I.Q.

April 2015  The Board approved the first reading of proposed changes to Board Policy I.Q. allowing the Superintendent to designate an alternate in his/her place on the committee.

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY
Idaho State Board of Education Governing Policies & Procedures, Section I.Q. Accountability Oversight Committee

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
The Board’s Accountability Oversight Committee is charged with providing “recommendations to the Board on the effectiveness of the statewide student achievement system and make recommendations on improvements and/or changes as needed.” The proposed changes to the policy added language that would allow the Superintendent of Public Instruction to designate an alternate to serve as a member of the committee.

IMPACT
The proposed changes would help to facilitate the various levels of participation needed from the Superintendent and Department of Education to support its work.

ATTACHMENTS
Attachment 1 – Board Policy I.Q., Accountability Oversight Committee  Page 3

STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
The Accountability Oversight Committee was established to make independent recommendations to the Board regarding the states accountability system, thereby, allowing the Board to make decisions based on both the Superintendent’s proposals and independent recommendations and feedback from the Accountability Oversight Committee.

There have been no changes between the first and second reading. Board staff recommends approval.
BOARD ACTION

I move to approve the second reading of policy amendments to Board Policy I.Q. Accountability Oversight Committee as submitted in Attachment 1.

Moved by __________ Seconded by __________ Carried Yes _____ No ______
Idaho State Board of Education
GOVERNING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES
SECTION:  I. GENERAL GOVERNING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES
SUBSECTION: Q. Accountability Oversight Committee

1. Overview
The Accountability Oversight Committee will function as an ad hoc committee of the Idaho State Board of Education and be staffed by the Board’s Accountability Program Manager.

2. Duties and Responsibilities
   a. Provide recommendations to the Board on the effectiveness of the statewide student achievement system and make recommendations on improvements and/or changes as needed.
   b. Develop and review an annual report of student achievement. This report shall be compiled collaboratively by Board and State Department of Education staff and submitted to the committee for review. The committee will forward the report to the Board with recommendations annually.

3. Meetings and Operating Procedures
The committee shall meet twice annually, additional meetings may be called by the Chair as needed.

4. Membership
The committee membership shall consist of:
   - Two members of the Idaho State Board of Education, appointed by the Board president;
   - The Superintendent of Public Instruction or designee; and
   - Four members at large appointed by the Board, one of which will chair the committee, and shall serve a term of one year as chair.

5. Terms of Membership
Board members appointed to the committee serve at the pleasure of the president of the Board. Committee members appointed by the Board shall serve two-year terms. An incumbent member may be recommended for re-appointment. All terms shall begin on July 1st and end on June 30th of the year(s) beginning or ending said term. Appointments shall be staggered to ensure that no more than two (2) appointments will become vacant in any given year.

An appointee who has reached the end of his or her term shall remain in service as a committee member until re-appointment, or until the appointment of a new member by the Board. Committee officers will be nominated and elected by a vote of the committee.

The Superintendent of Public Instruction or designee will serve as an ex-officio member of the committee.
6. Reporting
   This committee shall report directly to the Board.
SUBJECT
Amendment to Board Policy I.S. Institutional Governance – Second Reading

REFERENCE
April 2002
The Board approved the second reading of proposed changes to Board Policy III.C.

April 2014
The Board approved the first reading of proposed amendments to Board Policy III.C., including the movement of the policy into Section I. Generally Governing Policies and Procedures.

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
Idaho State Board of Education Governing Policies and Procedures, Section I.S. (III.C.) Institutional Governance, outlines the role of the Chief Executive Officer, the Faculty Senate, representative organizations and advisory groups in making recommendations to the Chief Executive Officer as part of the decision making process at the institutions under the Board’s governance.

The proposed amendments remove the requirement for Faculty Senate’s and other representative organizations to establish bylaws and a constitution, however, if they should establish written bylaws or a constitution they are subject to Board approval.

IMPACT
The proposed changes would clarify any remaining ambiguity regarding the intent of Board policy I.S.

ATTACHMENTS
Attachment 1 – Board Policy I.S (III.C.), Institutional Governance

STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
The Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities, accreditation standards (2.A – Governance) includes language requiring the institution “demonstrates an effective and widely understood system of governance with clearly defined authority, roles, and responsibilities. Its decision-making structures and processes make provision for the consideration of the views of faculty, staff, administrators, and students on matters in which they have a direct and reasonable interest.” The proposed amendments would provide the appropriate flexibility at the campus-level to determine the necessary policies and procedures required for the individual groups providing input to the Chief Executive Officer. These changes bring the Board’s policy into alignment with the Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities standards.
Based on feedback from the Council on Academic Affairs and Programs (CAAP) additional edits were made to subsection 2. Faculty Senate, between the first and second reading, to reorder the structure of the paragraph to add clarity that the faculty are not required to receive approval prior to establishing written bylaws or a constitution, but if they do establish written bylaws or a constitution they are subject to approval by the Board. The change additionally allows for the Chief Executive Officer to approve any necessary procedures for making recommendations to the Chief Executive Officer.

BOARD ACTION
I move to approve the second reading of amendments to Board Policy I.S. Institutional Governance as submitted in Attachment 1.

Moved by __________ Seconded by __________ Carried Yes _____ No ______
1. Chief Executive Officer

The Chief Executive Officer is the chief program and administrative officer of the institution, with full power and responsibility within the framework of the Board’s governing policies and procedures for the organization, management, and supervision of the institution. The Chief Executive Officer is held accountable by the Board for the successful functioning of the institution.

2. Faculty Governance Senate

Subject to the approval by the Chief Executive Officer and the Board, The faculty of each institution will establish written bylaws, a constitution, and/or necessary procedures, subject to the approval by the Chief Executive Officer and the Board, for making recommendations to the Chief Executive Officer as a part of the decision-making process of the institution. Such procedures are subject to approval by the Chief Executive Officer. Written bylaws or constitution must be approved by the Board. All policies and procedures must be consistent with the Board's Governing Policies and Procedures.

3. Other Representative Organizations

The Chief Executive Officer may establish or recognize other governance organizations representative of identifiable institutional constituencies. Subject to the approval by the Chief Executive Officer, Each organization will establish written bylaws and/or necessary procedures, subject to the approval by the Chief Executive Officer, for making recommendations to the Chief Executive Officer as a part of the decision-making process of the institution. All policies and procedures must be consistent with the Board’s governing policies and procedures.

4. Advisory Groups

From time to time, the Chief Executive Officer may establish an advisory group to study and make recommendations on a particular issue. Such an advisory group will report to the Chief Executive Officer or his or her designee on the topic assigned and within the time established by the Chief Executive Officer, who may accept or reject the recommendation.

5. Attendance at Board Meetings

Each institution is authorized to bring to meetings of the Board, at institutional expense, the President, the Academic Vice President, the Financial Vice President, and the duly-
elected faculty and student government representatives. Expenses of any other personnel must be authorized by the Chief Executive Officer prior to attendance at the meetings.
SUBJECT
 Proposed Rule – IDAPA 08.02.01.650 General Education Development Test/Idaho High School Equivalency Certificate

REFERENCE
 October 2013 Board approved proposed rule changes aligning the administrative rule with exam changes taking effect in January 2014
 August 2013 Board approved pending rule changes (Docket 08-0201-1202)

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY
 Idaho Administrative code, IDAPA 08.02.01 – Section 650

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
 The General Educational Development (GED ©) exam is a series of subject areas tests that are standardized to meet the educational level of a graduating high school senior. The American Council on Education (ACE) in conjunction with Pearson VUE has developed a new assessment and processes that was introduced in January 2014. The Division of Professional-Technical Education manages/administers the program and public schools institutions were authorized to administer the exam. The new GED Testing Service will be a partnership between ACE and Pearson, the exam will become a completely on-line exam and will be allowed to also be administered by private higher education institutions as well. At the time of the last rule amendment there was some discussion regarding the need to set state level “cut scores” for the purpose of determining eligibility for the High School Equivalency Certificate.

Now that the new test has been administered for approximately a year, the Division of Profession Education is requesting additional changes to the administrative rule. This includes language specifying that the High School Equivalency Certificate requests go to the Division, which has been the case since the GED was moved to the Division in 2008, additionally, the “cut scores” are being removed allowing the scoring rubric and passing requirement established by the GED Testing Service. With the changes to the exam and the focus on college and career readiness those scores are in alignment with what has been or would be considered by the Division as “passing” scores. Additional edits address how test takers obtain records or copies of transcripts to show successful completion. Currently all Idaho GED records and transcription services have been consolidated through a third-party vendor.

IMPACT
 Approval of the proposed rule will IDAPA 08.02.01.650 provide for greater efficiencies for the Division in the management of the exam.
STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Proposed rules have a 21 day comment period prior to becoming Pending rules. Based on received comments and Board direction, changes may be made to Proposed rules prior to entering the Pending stage. All Pending rules will be brought back to the board for approval prior to submittal to the Department of Administration for publication in the Idaho Administrative Rules Bulletin as a Pending Rule. Pending rules become effective at the end of the legislative session in which they are submitted if they are not rejected by the Legislature.

Staff recommends approval.

BOARD ACTION

I move to approve the Proposed Rule changes to IDAPA 08.02.01.650 as submitted in Attachment 1.

Moved by __________ Seconded by __________ Carried Yes _____ No _____
650. GENERAL EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT TESTS/IDAHO HIGH SCHOOL EQUIVALENCY CERTIFICATE.
The primary objective of the State Board of Education is to have all students complete their formal education and
graduate from high school. However, students who drop out of school and believe it is in their best interest to take
the (General Education Development) G.E.D. test may do so under the following conditions and, upon successful
completion of all G.E.D. requirements, may apply for an Idaho High School Equivalency Certificate. (4-1-97)

01. General Education Development Tests. General Education Development (GED) tests are given
by approved testing centers for a statewide fee set by the Idaho Division of Professional-Technical Education.
Candidates must make the minimum score for passing the GED test as established by the GED Testing service.
(3-20-14)

02. Age Criteria. The applicant must satisfy one (1) of the following age criteria: (4-1-97)
a. The applicant must be at least eighteen (18) years of age; (4-1-97)
b. The applicant may be sixteen (16) or seventeen (17) years of age and be one (1) year or more
behind in credits earned, expelled, recommended by the school, pregnant, or a parent. In such cases, the applicant is
eligible if the applicant’s school verifies in writing that the student meets one of the above criteria and this
verification is on file at the testing center prior to any testing. The school may give its verification only after the
applicant and his or her parent or guardian submit in writing a request for the applicant to take the GED tests and the
applicant and the applicant’s parent or guardian have met with school officials to review and discuss the request. (In
cases where the applicant is not living with a parent or guardian, the parent or guardian’s verification is not
necessary.); (4-1-97)
c. The applicant may be sixteen (16) or seventeen (17) years of age and be entering college, the
military, or an employment training program, enrolled in an Adult Basic Education Program, enrolled in the Job
Corps, or incarcerated. In such cases, the applicant is eligible if the institution involved applies in writing for the
applicant to take the GED tests and this application is on file at the testing center prior to any testing. (3-20-14)

03. Proof of Identity. Test takers must present proof of identification that shows legal name, date of
birth, signature, address and photograph. Valid drivers’ licenses, passports, military, and other forms of government-
issued identification are acceptable. Two (2) forms of identification may be provided to meet these criteria. (3-20-14)

04. Idaho High School Equivalency Certificate. The State Department of Education will issue an
Idaho High School Equivalency Certificate (HSEC) to eligible applicants. The normal fee for issuing a certificate is
ten dollars ($10); however, this fee will be waived for military service personnel and veterans. To be eligible to
receive an HSEC, an applicant must submit the following documents to the State Department of Education Division
of Professional-Technical Education:

a. An official report of GED test results showing successful completion of all requirements
applicable to the version of the GED test taken by the applicant. Test scores are accepted as official only when
reported directly by official GED Testing Centers, the State’s approved vendor for transcripts and records
management, the Transcript Service of the Defense Activity for Non-Traditional Education Support (DANTES),
Veterans Administration hospitals and or, in special cases, the GED Testing Service. Effective for all individuals
taking the exam on or after January 1, 2014, individuals must receive a score of at least one hundred fifty (150) on a
scale of one hundred through two hundred (100-200) on each of the four (4) content modules for the 2014 GED:
exam and have a combined score of six hundred (600). (3-20-14)

b. For those individuals who take the exam prior to January 1, 2014 must also
furnish documentation that they met the American Government requirement of the State of Idaho. For successful completion, the test taker must earn a standard score of at least forty (40) on each of the five (5) tests and must earn an average standard score of forty-five (45) on all five (5) tests. The testing centers will provide an American Government test for individuals who do not have credit in American Government or an official transcript showing completion of a course in American Government including study of the U.S. Constitution and principles of state and local government. This requirement may be met by resident study in high school or college, correspondence study from an accredited university, DANTES, or by successfully passing the American Government test furnished by the testing center. (3-20-14)

c. A completed form DD295 on all service personnel. This form is not required of veterans and non-veteran adults. (4-1-97)

d. A copy of a discharge if the applicant is a veteran of military service. (4-1-97)

e. Once eligibility is established, the State Department of Education will furnish the applicant with a special application form. Applicants should submit their request using the form furnished by the Division of Professional-Technical Education, along with ten dollar ($10) processing fee and appropriate documentation of above requirements. After the applicant completes this form and pays the ten dollar ($10) processing fee, the applicant will be awarded an Idaho High School Equivalency Certificate. (4-1-97)
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