TAB	DESCRIPTION	ACTION
1	SUPERINTENDENT'S UPDATE	Information Item
2	PROPOSED - MUTUALLY RESPONSIBLE ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM	Information Item

SDE TOC Page i

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

SDE TOC Page ii

#### **SUBJECT**

Superintendent of Public Instruction Update to the State Board of Education

#### BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

Superintendent of Public Instruction, Sherri Ybarra, will give an update on the State Department of Education.

#### **BOARD ACTION**

This item is for informational purposes only. Any action will be at the Board's discretion.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

#### **SUBJECT**

Draft of Mutually Responsible Accountability System.

#### **REFERENCE**

February 16, 2012 State Board approval of First Draft of ESEA Waiver June 19, 2014 State Board approves revisions and new one year

**ESEA Waiver** 

March 19, 2015 State Board approves revisions and new three year

**ESEA** Waiver

April 16 2015 State Board approves revisions and new one year

**ESEA Waiver** 

#### **BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION**

Idaho received a waiver from No Child Left Behind (NCLB) 1116 School Improvement provisions in October 2010. This waiver was for three years. Idaho submitted a one year request for renewal of the ESEA Flexibility Waiver on July 31, 2015.

The US Department of Education approved Idaho's ESEA flexibility renewal through 2015-2016 schoolyear. Idaho received permission to pause the current rating system (Five Star Accountability System) for the 2015-16 year. This pause also gave us time to develop a new accountability plan. This new plan will replace the original plan.

The new plan proposed by the Idaho State Department of Education is based on requirements of the US Department of Education (USDOE) for the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Flexibility Waiver and on the recommendations from the Accountability Oversight Committee and stakeholder input.

#### **IMPACT**

Idaho State Department of Education will hold Districts accountable for meeting the Annual Measureable Objective (AMO) targets. District scores will reflect the schools average scores. Districts will hold schools accountable for meeting the AMO targets in order that the district's targets will be met.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment 1 – Accountability Plan Power Point Presentation Page 3
Attachment 2 – Annual Measurable Objective Growth Targets Page 15

#### STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Department will provide an overview of the proposed amendments to Idaho's ESEA waiver request. The presentation is intended to give the Board an opportunity to provide feedback before the final waiver request is brought forward for the Baord's consideration at the February 2016 Board meeting.

#### **BOARD ACTION**

This item is for informational purposes. Any action will be at the Board's discretion.

DRAFT November 4, 2015

# MUTUALLY RESPONSIBLE ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN FOR IDAHO DISTRICTS AND SCHOOLS

Superintendent's Webinar

November 5, 2015

1

DRAFT November 4, 2015

#### ISSUES WITH THE FIVE STAR SYSTEM

- High performers not meeting growth targets ratings dropped.
- Growth measure important but the calculation was overly complex.
- The Idaho Department of Education and Districts need to be <u>mutually</u> accountable.
- Districts lack flexibility in setting ambitious but attainable targets for schools.
- What did parents and educators gain from the system?
- Comparisons were made between very different types of schools.

DRAFT November 4, 2015

#### ESEA FLEXIBILITY RENEWAL=OPPORTUNITY

- \* Transition to a new test and accountability system.
  - + Incorporate recommendations from the Accountability Oversight Committee
  - + Incorporate stakeholder and district feedback
- Considerations
  - + Allow the ISDE to concentrate efforts. 115 districts and 48 LEA's Charters rather than 726 schools.
  - + Support local control.
  - + Maintain focus on growth for all students, and faster growth for those farther behind
  - + Propose a system likely to be approved by USED

DRAFT November 4, 2015

#### THEORY OF ACTION

- SDE will support Districts and Districts will support Schools
  - + The State will set ambitious and achievable targets for districts to meet achievement goals
  - + Districts will set ambitious and achievable targets for schools to meet achievement goals.

DRAFT November 4, 2015 AMO ACCOUNTABILITY & SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT Idaho Department of Education **Set Annual Targets** Districts and LEA Charters Meet State Targets Set Ambitious/Attainable Targets for Schools Schools Meet Ambitious/Attainable Targets that contribute to District Average

# DRAFT November 4, 2015 State Report Card Assessment and Annual Measureable Objectives **District Report Card School Report Card**

DRAFT November 4, 2015

# DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY

	rable Objectives MOs) MET	Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) LEA IN PROGRESS					
	Met AMO's	In Progress to Meet AMO's					
Recognition and Rewards	Eligible for recognition	Not eligible					
LEA Improvement Plan	Improvement Plan is optional, but encouraged	AMO District Improvement Plan addressing deficient AMOs using the improvement components as identified in NCLB Section 1116(c)(7)(A).					
LEA Responsibilities for Individual Schools In Progress	Work with any school designated as In Progress to:  develop a school improvement plan, promptly review the plan, and approve the school plan if the plan meets the requirements of NCLB Section 1116(b)(2)(E)	Work with any school designated as In Progress to:  develop a school improvement plan, promptly review the plan, and approve the school plan if the plan meets the requirements of NCLB Section 1116(b)(2)(E)					
Idaho State Department of Education (ISDE) Services	Optional	Optional					
State Funding Alignment	No additional requirements	Must provide plan describing aligned uses of funds					

7

DRAFT November 4, 2015

# MUTUALLY RESPONSIBLE ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM

Phase *	Elementary & Middle	Alternative Schools						
Baseline established	State average for "all students" and subgroups for ELA and Math; see AMO Targets chart							
Phase I 2015-2016	Identify Reward Schools by October 30, 2015							
Phase I	Identify Priority & Focus	Identify Priority & Focus	Identify Priority & Focus					
2015-2016	Schools by January 30, 2016	Schools by January 30, 2016	Schools by January 30, 2016					
Phase II	Identify Reward Schools by fall	Identify Reward Schools by fall	Identify Reward Schools by					
2016-2017	2016	2016	fall 2016					
Meet Achievement Annual Measurable	ISAT-% Proficient or Advanced	ISAT-% Proficient or Advanced	ISAT-% Proficient or					
Objectives (AMOs)	in English Language	in English Language	Advanced in English					
OR	Arts/Literacy and Math	Arts/Literacy and Math	Language Arts/Literacy and					
Meets Growth Annual Measurable Objectives	OR	OR	Math					
(AMOs)	ISAT Growth - (Increase in %	ISAT Growth – (Increase the %	OR					
	students who met growth	of non-proficient/advanced	ISAT Growth – (Increase in %					
	trajectory target)	students who met growth	students who met growth					
		trajectory target)	trajectory target)					
Meet Participation Annual Measurable	ISAT-Participation Rate 95% or	ISAT-Participation Rate 95% or	ISAT-Participation Rate 95%					
Objectives (AMOs)	higher	higher	or higher					
Meet 3 <sup>rd</sup> Indicator Annual Measurable Objectives	3 <sup>rd</sup> Indicator: Attendance Rate	3 <sup>rd</sup> Indicator: Four year cohort	3 <sup>rd</sup> Indicator: Attendance					
(AMOs)		graduation rate	Rate (report on Four year					
			cohort graduation rate; hold					
			accountable for Six year					
			cohort graduation)					
Data Reported on Report Card		Advanced Opportunities	Credit Recovery (% of					
		(concurrent credits, AP	students who recovered					
		courses, etc.)	credits)					
		PTE Certificates	PTE Certificates					

8



DRAFT November 4, 2015

# 3RD INDICATOR (INCLUDED IN AMO CALCULATIONS)

- × All schools with a 12<sup>th</sup> grade
  - + Graduation Rate.
  - + This is based on the 4 year cohort
  - + Idaho's baseline graduation rate is 77%
  - + What targets do we set to reach the goal of 90%?
- × All other schools
  - + Attendance
  - + Idaho's baseline attendance rates are approximately 94% for elementary/middle and 92% for High Schools
  - + What should the goal be?

# ANNUAL MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES TO MEET 100% GOAL

- USED allows States to set AMO targets to get halfway to the 100% goal in 8 years.
  - > 100% Goal-52.10% P/A=47.9 gap
  - 47.9 gap/2=23.95% gap is halfway point to 100%
  - 23.95gap/8yrs=2.99 %age points increase each year for the AMO targets

\*AMO targets are set for All Students and subgroups using the same formula.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Preliminary results; does not include continuous enrollment status or ISAT-Alt; excludes 9th & 11th graders.

Preliminary results, does not include continuous enrollment status or is AT-Ait, excludes 9th & 11th graders.									
increase -	State								
%tage pts <b>ELA Annual Measurable Objectives</b>	average	proposed in	ncrease						$\rightarrow$
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
3 All Students	52.10%	55.09%	58.09%	61.08%	64.08%	67.07%	70.06%	73.06%	76.05%
4 Black/African Americans	31.12%	35.43%	39.73%	44.04%	48.34%	52.65%	56.95%	61.26%	65.56%
2 Asian/Pacific Islander	64.54%	66.76%	68.97%	71.19%	73.41%	75.62%	77.84%	80.05%	82.27%
4 American Indian/AK Native	28.44%	32.91%	37.39%	41.86%	46.33%	50.80%	55.28%	59.75%	64.22%
4 Hispanic/Latino	32.24%	36.48%	40.71%	44.95%	49.18%	53.42%	57.65%	61.89%	66.12%
4 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	46.76%	50.09%	53.42%	56.74%	60.07%	63.40%	66.73%	70.05%	73.38%
3 White	56.91%	59.60%	62.30%	64.99%	67.68%	70.38%	73.07%	75.76%	78.46%
5 LEP	11.42%	16.96%	22.49%	28.03%	33.57%	39.10%	44.64%	50.17%	55.71%
4 Economically Disadvantaged	39.38%	43.17%	46.96%	50.75%	54.54%	58.32%	62.11%	65.90%	69.69%
6 Students with Disabilities	13.94%	19.32%	24.70%	30.08%	35.46%	40.83%	46.21%	51.59%	56.97%
3 Two or more races	52.42%	55.39%	58.37%	61.34%	64.32%	67.29%	70.26%	73.24%	76.21%
4 Homeless	29.43%	33.84%	38.25%	42.66%	47.07%	51.48%	55.89%	60.30%	64.72%
5 Migrant	20.90%	25.84%	30.79%	35.73%	40.68%	45.62%	50.56%	55.51%	60.45%

Possiblility of recalculating every year

.,									
	State								
Math Annual Measurable Objectives	average proposed increase								$\rightarrow$
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
4 All Students	40.29%	44.02%	47.75%	51.49%	55.22%	58.95%	62.68%	66.41%	70.15%
Black/African Americans	20.37%	25.35%	30.32%	35.30%	40.28%	45.25%	50.23%	55.21%	60.19%
Asian/Pacific Islander	56.68%	59.39%	62.10%	64.80%	67.51%	70.22%	72.93%	75.63%	78.34%
American Indian/AK Native	18.66%	23.74%	28.83%	33.91%	39.00%	44.08%	49.16%	54.25%	59.33%
Hispanic/Latino	20.28%	25.26%	30.25%	35.23%	40.21%	45.19%	50.18%	55.16%	60.14%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	35.86%	39.87%	43.88%	47.89%	51.90%	55.90%	59.91%	63.92%	67.93%
3 White	45.04%	48.48%	51.91%	55.35%	58.78%	62.22%	65.65%	69.09%	72.52%
5 LEP	9.93%	15.56%	21.19%	26.82%	32.45%	38.08%	43.71%	49.34%	54.97%
4 Economically Disadvantaged	28.52%	32.99%	37.46%	41.92%	46.39%	50.86%	55.33%	59.79%	64.26%
4 Students with Disabilities	12.98%	18.42%	23.86%	29.30%	34.74%	40.17%	45.61%	51.05%	56.49%
Two or more races	40.06%	43.81%	47.55%	51.30%	55.05%	58.79%	62.54%	66.28%	70.03%
5 Homeless	20.56%	25.53%	30.49%	35.46%	40.42%	45.39%	50.35%	55.32%	60.28%
5 Migrant	15.05%	20.36%	25.67%	30.98%	36.29%	41.60%	46.91%	52.22%	57.53%

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK