<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAB</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AUDIT - APPOINTMENT OF STEPHEN SPEIDEL TO AUDIT COMMITTEE</td>
<td>Motion to Approve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BAHR-SECTION II - BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY AMENDMENT TO FOOD SERVICE CONTRACT - ARAMARK</td>
<td>Motion to Approve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BAHR-SECTION II - UNIVERSITY of IDAHO DISPOSAL OF REAL PROPERTY FOR ITD PROJECT – PARKER FARM, LATAH COUNTY</td>
<td>Motion to Approve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BAHR-SECTION II - UNIVERSITY of IDAHO AMENDMENT TO FOOD SERVICE CONTRACT - SODEXO</td>
<td>Motion to Approve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>IRSA – PROGR.AMS AND CHANGES APPROVED BY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR - QUARTERLY REPORT</td>
<td>Information Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>IRSA – WWAMI ADMISSIONS COMMITTEE APPOINTMENT</td>
<td>Motion to Approve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>IRSA – EPSCoR IDAHO COMMITTEE APPOINTMENT</td>
<td>Motion to Approve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>PPGA – INSTITUTION PRESIDENT APPROVED ALCOHOL PERMITS</td>
<td>Information Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PPGA – STATE REHABILITATION COUNCIL APPOINTMENT</td>
<td>Motion to Approve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PPGA – BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY – FACILITIES NAMING</td>
<td>Motion to Approve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAB</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>ACTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>SDE – BSU – EDUCATOR PREPARATION PROGRAM REVIEW – CONSULTING MATH TEACHER</td>
<td>Motion to Approve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BOARD ACTION**
I move to approve the Consent Agenda as presented.

Moved by __________ Seconded by __________ Carried Yes ______ No ______
SUBJECT
Appointment of Stephen Speidel to Audit Committee

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY
Audit Committee Charter, Appendix B

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
Board Bylaws F.4.b, “Composition,” provide that the Audit Committee members shall be appointed by the Board and that the Committee shall consist of five or more members. Three members of the Committee shall be current Board members and at least two members shall be independent non-Board members who are familiar with the audit process and permanent residents of the state of Idaho. Members may be reappointed.

Mark Heil, who served as a non-Board Audit Committee member since 2008, recently resigned from the Committee upon accepting his current position as the Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for Boise State University.

IMPACT
The Audit Committee reviewed the candidate’s credentials and met with Stephen Speidel at its March 8, 2017 meeting.

The Board Bylaws for the Audit Committee state the following:

No employee of an institution or agency under the governance of the Board shall serve on the Audit Committee. Each Audit Committee member shall be independent, free from any relationship that would interfere with the exercise of her or his independent judgment. Audit Committee members shall not be compensated for their service on the committee, and shall not have a financial interest in, or any other conflict of interest with, any entity doing business with the Board, or any institution or agency under the governance of the Board.

The Audit Committee charter also includes the following:

Each Committee member shall be independent and free from any relationship that would interfere with the exercise of her or his independent judgment. Committee members shall not be compensated for their service on the Committee and shall not have a financial interest in or engage in related-party transactions, or any other conflict of interest with any entity doing business with the Board, or any institution under the governance of the Board. Members, or their immediate relatives, shall not hold a salaried position with any Institution under the Board’s governance nor be employed by any entity that provides services for a fee to any such Institution.
The Committee reviewed Mr. Speidel’s résumé (Attachment 1) and voted unanimously to confirm his independence and recommend his appointment to the Committee.

ATTACHMENTS
Attachment 1 – Stephen Speidel Résumé Page 3
Attachment 2 – Stephen Speidel Bio Page 4

STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
Staff recommends the appointment of Mr. Stephen Speidel as a non-Board member of the Audit Committee.

BOARD ACTION
I move to approve the appointment of Stephen Speidel as a non-Board member of the Audit Committee, effective immediately.

Moved by __________ Seconded by __________ Carried Yes _____ No _____
BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY

SUBJECT
Amendment Two, Food Service Contract, Aramark Educational Services

REFERENCE

August 2015  Idaho State Board of Education (Board) approved ground lease and operating agreement with EdR Boise LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Education Realty Operating Partnership LP (EdR), including purchase of the rights to operate and control the dining spaces for a cost not to exceed $3,490,458

February 2016  Board delegated approval authority to the Executive Director of the Board for the food service contract with Aramark Educational Services, LLC (Aramark)

July 2016  Executive Director for the Board approved food service contract with Aramark

December 2016  Board approved Boise State University (BSU) to enter into the attached letter agreement with EdR Boise LLC, including purchase of the rights to operate and control the dining facility; and for BSU to authorize EdR to complete the buildout of the facility, including furniture, fixtures, and equipment, for an estimated additional cost of $3 million with a total project cost not to exceed $6.5 million; and to delegate authority to the Vice President for Finance and Administration to execute the agreement.

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY
Idaho State Board of Education Governing Policies and Procedures, Section V.I.6.b

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
Original Aramark Contract

On July 1, 2016, following an RFP process and award, BSU entered into a new five year contract including five optional one year renewals with Aramark. The current Board approved food service contract with Aramark expires on June 30, 2021.

The contract is estimated to generate approximately $14 million in annual sales, of which $4 million is revenue to BSU. Projections may vary due to current and
future construction projects that are directly linked to food service on campus. Revenues are a combination of commissions paid by the food service vendor for retail, catering, vending, and concessions as well as net dining revenues. BSU and Aramark work collaboratively to improve projected sales, which in turn increases revenue to BSU. Revenues from the contract support many operations and programs on the campus.

Dining Services is expanding into the new Honor’s College through a public-private partnership with EdR.

Dining Spaces in the Honors College

In August 2015, the Board approved a ground lease (“Ground Lease”) and operating agreement (“Operating Agreement”) with EdR Boise LLC (“EdR”), which provides for the construction and operation of a new residential Honors College and additional student housing project on BSU’s campus (the “Honors College”). The Honors College will include dining spaces, to be owned and operated by BSU, in accordance with the terms of the Ground Lease as approved by the Board in August 2015.

The Ground Lease provides for EdR to construct the dining spaces for $3,490,458, of which $883,200 will be used for improvements to the space beyond shell and core; such improvements will be specific to BSU’s design and intended food concept. The Ground Lease further provides that BSU may elect for EdR to complete the full buildout of the space, according to the specifications of BSU.

At the December 2016 Board meeting, the Board approved a letter agreement with EDR to complete the Honors College dining hall build-out at a cost of $6.5 million. In accordance with the terms of the Ground Lease and the letter agreement, BSU intends to purchase the dining spaces “turn-key” upon substantial completion of the construction for an amount not to exceed $6.5 million as outlined in the attached Amendment Two.

The approximately 14,720 square foot dining space will focus on fresh food concepts, enhancing the quality of food provided to students on campus, and is currently anticipated to include: 1) Soup/Salad/Sandwich, 2) Oriental Grille Area, 3) Home Style Food. The concepts may change as a result of equipment bids and based on a market study of students. The space also will include a seating and dining area with restrooms, the food services area and a closed kitchen. The seating/dining area has been designed to be accessible 24 hours a day/7 days a week, if so desired, for studying or programming of events for the building.

BSU will fund this project utilizing a $3 million contribution from BSU’s food service provider; $2 million from the original contract and $1 million from
Amendment Two, and the remainder of the funds will come from university and auxiliary dining reserves.

IMPACT
Amendment Two to the Food Services contract contains the following changes:

1. 2016 Financial Commitment adds a new $1 million commitment exclusively to the Honor’s Dining Facility.
2. 2016 Financial Commitment reduces the current year capital commitment for retail from $2.9 million to $2.3 million.
3. Annual commissions guarantee shifts to an aggregate guarantee instead of for each individual retail concept. The collective amount each year will still be at least equal to the total of all “Minimum Annual Guarantees” in the current agreement.
4. Modifications to Meal Plans and the reduction to the number of meal plans from eleven to five in order to simplify meal plans for customers. This change was endorsed by the student food service advisory panel and the student government.
5. Aramark responsibility for maintenance, repair and replacement of equipment capped to not exceed $100,000 for the fiscal year 2017.
6. As part of the meal plan changes, BSU will no longer provide an estimated $470,000 in flexible spending for dining options to board dining students each year. Funds will be directed toward the payment of the Honor’s Dining Facility. Aramark will fund all student flexible spending dollars.

Amendment Two further changes future capital investments from Aramark to Boise State as follows:

- Capital Investment Grants: $2 million years 1-5
- Capital Investment Grants: $1 million years 1-5 (Honor’s Dining)
- Capital Investment Grants: $2 million years 6-10
- Albertson’s Stadium and Taco Bell Arena Concessions: $515,000
- Retail: $2.3 million years 1-5
- Retail: $1 million years 6-10

The net investment is similar to the current, but restructures the timing and where the investments are made. This restructure was at Boise State’s request to fit BSU’s current needs.

ATTACHMENTS
Attachment 1 – Amendment Two

STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
Staff recommends approval.
BOARD ACTION

I move to authorize Boise State University to enter Amendment Two to its original Food Service Contract with Aramark Educational Services, LLC in order to fund the remainder of the Honors College dining area construction and build-out in substantial conformance with the amendment provided in Attachment 1.

Moved by __________ Seconded by __________ Carried Yes _____ No _____
UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO

SUBJECT
Disposal of Regents real property for Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) project at UI Parker Farm, Latah County.

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY
Idaho State Board of Education Governing Policies & Procedures, Section V.1.5.b(3).

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
The Idaho Transportation Department has designed a safety improvement project for Highway 8 in Latah County. The proposed improvement work includes the construction of a turn lane requiring the conveyance of 9,845 sf (0.23 acres) of Regents property adjoining the highway to ITD. The subject property (as shown in attachments) at the University of Idaho’s (UI) Parker Farm is currently used for drainage. The project also requires the use of a half-acre of temporary easement to accommodate access and staging during construction. ITD has agreed to consolidate and improve the drainage area that will remain on Regents property to better function with the drainage improvements to be constructed in the new highway right of way. All of this work can be performed without impacting existing cultivated fields or field access. ITD has also agreed to improve the highway entrance to UI’s Parker Farm and Pitkin Nursery (shown in attachments as Plant Science Road). The entrance is outside the project boundaries but the work proposed should improve the safety and condition of UI’s main entrance onto State Highway 8.

The strip of property to be conveyed and the value of the temporary easement was appraised at $801 and ITD will compensate UI for that value in addition to providing the improvements described above.

IMPACT
No programmatic impact from the loss of this narrow strip of property is anticipated. UI land managers will benefit from the improved drainage work to be completed with the highway project and the highway entrance improvements to Plant Science Road accessing UI’s facilities.

ATTACHMENTS
Attachment 1– Draft Warranty Deed and ITD ROW contract Page 3
Attachment 2—Photo map of subject property Page 11

STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
Staff recommends approval.
BOARD ACTION

I move to approve the request by the University of Idaho to dispose of 0.23 acres of land and provide a temporary easement for the appraised value of $801; and further to authorize the Vice President for Infrastructure for the University of Idaho to execute all necessary transaction documents for conveying this real property as outlined in the materials submitted to the Board in Attachments 1 and 2.

Moved by __________ Seconded by __________ Carried Yes _____ No _____
UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO

SUBJECT
Dining Services Contract Revision

REFERENCE
December 1988  Idaho State Board of Education (Board) approved contract with Marriott Corporation beginning effective January 1, 1989.
February 2010  Board approved contract with Sodexo America, LLC
February 2015  Board approved contract with Sodexo America, LLC

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
Since 1989, the University of Idaho (UI) has been contracting with Sodexo America, LLC, or its predecessor entities, originally Marriott Management Services Corporation, for the institution’s food service. The initial year of the last contract with Sodexo was 2015. This contract runs to June 30, 2020. In 2016, UI’s new director of Auxiliary Services began negotiations with Sodexo to improve the contract terms with Sodexo to invite a larger capital investment in UI’s food service facilities by Sodexo.

UI and Sodexo have agreed, subject to approval by the Board, to modify the terms of the current contract in the manner set out in Attachment 1 hereto. The principal modifications include a material increase in capital investment by Sodexo in the food service facilities on the Moscow campus, and a revision in the calculation of Sodexo’s contract payment to UI as outlined below.

UI has worked with Sodexo and University bond counsel to ensure that the terms of this contract qualify for the safe-harbor under the Internal Revenue Service regulations governing private business operations in facilities funded with tax exempt bonds.

IMPACT
The principal modifications include:
- Substantial additional investment of approximately $2 million by Sodexo in food service facilities on the Moscow Campus.
- A revision in the calculation of the return to UI from the Sodexo contract to incorporate amortization of the Sodexo capital contribution over the balance of the contract. Attachment 2 shows actual returns to UI for FY 2016 and 2017, and projected returns for FY 2018-2020 based on the revised contract terms.
ATTACHMENTS
Attachment 1: Contract Addendum  Page 3
Attachment 2: Returns on Commissions/Capital Expenditures  Page 37
Attachment 3: Current UI-Sodexo Contract  Page 39

STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
The proposed addendum will not extend the lifetime of the current contract period with Sodexo. In conjunction with the expiration of the contract period in 2020, UI will issue a new Request for Proposal (RFP) for food services. The significant material changes embodied in the addendum consist of provisions for Sodexo to undertake renovations to the main dining facility ($1.225M) and satellite food facilities in the Idaho Commons ($.775M) as described in Paragraph 6.9 of the addendum. The new arrangements enable Sodexo to finance these construction projects from commission incomes, amortizing those expenses over the remaining life of the contract. These facility improvements will likely increase customer satisfaction, usage, and revenues for food service operations, to the benefit of the UI as well as Sodexo. The addendum (Paragraph 6.3) also replaces the current commission schedule, based on 19% of gross revenues, with specific commission rates for seven different service types. Finally, the addendum consolidates the key provisions of the current contract into a more concise and readable format.

Staff recommends approval.

BOARD ACTION
I move to approve the addendum agreement between the University of Idaho and Sodexo America, LLC, in substantial conformance to the form submitted to the Board in Attachment 1, and to authorize the Vice President for Finance to execute the Addendum and any necessary supporting documents.

Moved by __________ Seconded by __________ Carried Yes _____ No ____
SUBJECT
Programs and Changes Approved by Executive Director - Quarterly Report

REFERENCE
December 2016 Board received quarterly report.

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY
Idaho State Board of Education Governing Policies and Procedures, Section III.G.8.a., Postsecondary Program Approval and Discontinuance

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
In accordance with Board Policy III.G.3.c.i. and 4.b, prior to implementation the Executive Director may approve any new, modification, and/or discontinuation of academic or career technical education programs, with a financial impact of less than $250,000 per fiscal year.

Consistent with Board Policy III.G.8.a., the Board office is providing a quarterly report of program changes from Idaho’s public postsecondary institutions that were approved between December 2016 and March 2016 by the Executive Director.

ATTACHMENTS
Attachment 1 – List of Programs and Changes Approved by the Executive Director

BOARD ACTION
This item is for informational purposes only. Any action will be at the Board’s discretion.
CONSENT
APRIL 20, 2017

SUBJECT
WWAMI Admissions Committee Appointment

REFERENCE

December 2-3, 2003  A schedule of rotating terms of membership was created to allow the medical community greater opportunities to be involved in this activity. The Board approved the three-year rotating terms for the WWAMI Admissions Committee.

August 10-11, 2006  The Board approved three-year rotating terms for the University of Washington School of Medicine Committee on Admissions and appointed Dr. Roger Boe, Dr. David Anderson and Dr. Peter Kozisek as Idaho members of the Committee, with Dr. Boe serving for one year.

June 13-14, 2007  The Board approved increasing the Committee to a four-member committee; and, appointed Dr. David Anderson, Dr. Peter Kozisek, Dr. Jennifer Garwick, and Dr. Mary Barinaga as Idaho members of the Committee.

February 17, 2011  The Board approved a three year appointment for Dr. Glenn Jefferson as an Idaho member of the WWAMI Admissions Committee and also approved a two year appointment for Dr. Leanne Rousseau.

February 15, 2012  The Board approved three-year appointment of Dr. Rodde Cox and Dr. Kelly Anderson.

June 18, 2015  The Board approved three-year appointment of Dr. Lance Hansen.

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The WWAMI Contract dated October 14, 1975 reads, “The University of Washington's Admissions Committee which reviews Idaho candidates shall include at least one member from Idaho who is mutually acceptable to the Idaho Board and to the University of Washington. The University of Washington will have final authority for acceptance or rejection of Idaho program candidates.”

The Idaho WWAMI Admissions Committee consists of four physicians from Idaho who interview Idaho students interested in attending the University of Washington School Of Medicine. The members of the Idaho WWAMI Admissions Committee
serve three-year terms which are renewable once for an additional three years. The terms of the members are staggered so there are always senior members on the committee. Idaho physicians currently serving on the committee are: Dr. Leanne Rousseau of Post Falls, Dr. Glenn Jefferson of Lewiston, Dr. Rodde Cox of Boise, and Dr. Lance Hansen of Montpelier. See committee member terms and rotation schedule in Attachment 2.

Dr. Leanne Rousseau of Post Falls will be replaced by Dr. Robert McFarland of Coeur d’Alene and Dr. Glenn Jefferson of Lewiston will be replaced by Dr. Jennifer Gray of McCall.

The Idaho Admissions Oversight Nominating Committee consisting of the first-year Idaho WWAMI Director, the Idaho WWAMI Assistant Dean, Idaho State Board of Education Chief Academic Officer, the Idaho Admissions Committee Chair and a member of the Idaho Medical Association Committee on Medical Education Affairs, reviewed the CV’s of Dr. McFarland and Dr. Gray, taking into consideration, among other things, the desire for a geographically diverse committee membership, and a goal of not having more than one sub-specialist on the committee and unanimously support both appointments as a new members of the Idaho Admissions Committee.

IMPACT
Admissions interviews take place in Boise over two separate weeks January – March. It is imperative that the committee have the full four person membership in place by July 2017 to allow Dr. McFarland and Dr. Gray time to orient and train prior to the beginning of interview season in January, 2018.

ATTACHMENTS
Attachment 1 – Nomination Letter to Board Page 3
Attachment 2 – Idaho WWAMI Admissions Committee Rotation Schedule Page 5
Attachment 3 – Robert McFarland CV Page 7
Attachment 4 – Jennifer Gray CV Page 9

STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
Staff recommends approval.

BOARD ACTION
I move to approve the request by Idaho WWAMI Medical Education Program/University of Washington School of Medicine to appoint Dr. Robert McFarland and Dr. Jennifer Gray to the Idaho WWAMI Admissions Committee effective July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2020.

Moved by __________ Seconded by __________ Carried Yes _____ No ______
SUBJECT
Idaho Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR) Committee Appointment

REFERENCE
October 2014 Board appointed Dr. Todd Allen as the INL Representative to the Idaho EPSCoR Committee (Replacing Dr. Hill)
February 2015 Board appointed Senator Tibbits to the Idaho EPSCoR Committee (Replacing Senator Goedde)
April 2015 Board appointed Dr. Cornelis J. Van der Schyf to the Idaho Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (replacing Dr. Howard Grimes)
October 2015 Board reappointed Representative Maxine Bell and Doyle Jacklin and appointed Gynii Gilliam and Senator Roy Lacey (replacing Doug Chadderdon and Senator Tippits, respectively)
June 2016 Board appointed Dr. Kelly Beierschmitt to the committee (replacing Todd Allen)
December 2016 Board reappointed Laird Noh, and appointed Dr. David Hill, and Skip Oppenheimer to the committee.

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY
Idaho State Board of Education Governing Policies & Procedures, Section III.W.

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
The Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR) represents a federal-state partnership to enhance the science and engineering research, education, and technology capabilities of states that traditionally have received smaller amounts of federal research and development funds. As a participating state, Idaho EPSCoR is subject to federal program requirements and policy established by the Idaho State Board of Education (Board). The purpose of EPSCoR is to build a high-quality, academic research base to advance science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) to stimulate sustainable improvements in research and development capacity and competitiveness.

Idaho EPSCoR is guided by a committee of sixteen (16) members appointed by the Board for five (5) year terms. The membership of this committee is constituted to provide for geographic, academic, business and state governmental representation as specified in Board policy including the Vice Presidents of Research from the University of Idaho, Boise State University, and Idaho State University who serve as ex-officio members. Members are allowed to serve up to three (3) consecutive terms. Ex-officio members serve without terms.
The Idaho EPSCoR Committee is requesting the appointment of Senator Mark Nye to the Committee. Senator Nye would replace the vacancy previously held by Senator Roy Lacey.

ATTACHMENTS
Attachment 1 – Current Committee Membership Page 3
Attachment 2 – Mark Nye – Letter of Interest Page 4

STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
If appointed, Senator Nye would serve the remainder of Senator Roy Lacey’s term.

Staff recommends approval.

BOARD ACTION
I move to appoint Senator Mark Nye to the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research Idaho Committee as a representative of the state legislature effective immediately and expiring on June 30, 2020.

Moved by___________ Seconded by___________ Carried Yes_____ No_____
SUBJECT
President Approved Alcohol Permits Report

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
The chief executive officer of each institution may waive the prohibition against possession or consumption of alcoholic beverages only as permitted by, and in compliance with, Board policy. Immediately upon issuance of an Alcohol Beverage Permit, a complete copy of the application and the permit shall be delivered to the Office of the State Board of Education, and Board staff shall disclose the issuance of the permit to the Board no later than the next Board meeting.

The last update presented to the Board was at the February 16, 2017 Board meeting. Since that meeting, Board staff has received twenty-eight (28) permits from Boise State University, eight (8) permits from Idaho State University, and fifteen (15) permits from the University of Idaho.

Board staff has prepared a brief listing of the permits issued for use. The list is attached for the Board’s review.

ATTACHMENTS
Attachment 1 - List of Approved Permits by Institution Page 3

BOARD ACTION
This item is for informational purposes only. Any action will be at the Board’s discretion.
CONSENT AGENDA
APRIL 20, 2017

IDAHO DIVISION OF VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION

SUBJECT
Idaho State Rehabilitation Council Membership

REFERENCE
Board appointed Robert Atkins to the Council as a representative for business/industry and labor for at term of three years.

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
Federal Regulations (34 CFR §361.17), set out the requirements for the State Rehabilitation Council, including the appointment and composition of the Council.

The members of the Council must be appointed by the Governor or, in the case of a State that, under State law, vests authority for the administration to an entity other than the Governor, the chief officer of that entity. Section 33-2303, Idaho code designates the State Board for Professional-Technical Education as that entity.

Further federal regulations establish that the Council must be composed of at least fifteen (15) members, including:

i. At least one representative of the Statewide Independent Living Council, who must be the chairperson or other designee of the Statewide Independent Living Council;

ii. At least one representative of a parent training and information center established pursuant to section 682(a) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act;

iii. At least one representative of the Client Assistance Program established under 34 CFR part 370, who must be the director of or other individual recommended by the Client Assistance Program;

iv. At least one qualified vocational rehabilitation counselor with knowledge of and experience with vocational rehabilitation programs who serves as an ex officio, nonvoting member of the Council if employed by the designated State agency;

v. At least one representative of community rehabilitation program service providers;

vi. Four representatives of business, industry, and labor;

vii. Representatives of disability groups that include a cross section of (A) Individuals with physical, cognitive, sensory, and mental disabilities; and (B) Representatives of individuals with disabilities who have difficulty representing themselves or are unable due to their disabilities to represent themselves;
viii. Current or former applicants for, or recipients of, vocational rehabilitation services;
ix. In a State in which one or more projects are carried out under section 121 of the Act (American Indian Vocational Rehabilitation Services), at least one representative of the directors of the projects;

x. At least one representative of the State educational agency responsible for the public education of students with disabilities who are eligible to receive services under this part and part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act;

xi. At least one representative of the State workforce investment board; and

xii. The director of the designated State unit as an ex officio, nonvoting member of the Council.

Additionally, Federal Regulation specify that a majority of the council members must be individuals with disabilities who meet the requirements of 34 CFR §361.5(b)(29) and are not employed by the designated State unit. Members are appointed for a term of no more than three (3) years, and each member of the Council, may serve for not more than two consecutive full terms. A member appointed to fill a vacancy occurring prior to the end of the term must be appointed for the remainder of the predecessor’s term. A vacancy in membership of the Council must be filled in the same manner as the original appointment, except the appointing authority may delegate the authority to fill that vacancy to the remaining members of the Council after making the original appointment.

The Council currently has five (5) nominations for Board consideration. Janice Carson and Ron Oberleitner would be new appointments, while the remaining three nominations would be reappointments. Janice Carson would be appointed as a representative of disability advocacy groups while Ron Oberleitner would be appointed as a representative of business, industry and labor. Of the three reappointments: Molly Sherpa is a representative of disability advocacy groups, her first term expired March 31, 2017; Lucas Rose’s term will expire June 30, 2017; he serves as a representative of business, industry and labor; and Kendrick Lester serves as a representative of the Department of Education, his term will expire June 30, 2017. The Council has one (1) resignation; Judith James resigned her position as a representative of business, industry and labor as of January 17, 2017.

IMPACT
The above two (2)appointments, three (3) re-appointments and one (1) resignation will bring the Council membership to a total of seventeen (17) with one vacancy on the council for a representative of a former applicant or recipient of vocational rehabilitation services. Minimum composition for the council is 15 members.
STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The requested appointments and reappointments meet the provisions of Board policy IV.G. State Rehabilitation Council, and the applicable federal regulations.

Staff recommends approval.

BOARD ACTION

I move to approve the appointment of Janice Carson to the State Rehabilitation Council as a representative for disability advocacy groups for a term of three years effective immediately and ending March 31, 2020.

Moved by ___________ Seconded by ___________ Carried Yes_____ No_____

I move to approve the appointment of Ron Oberleitner to the State Rehabilitation Council as a representative for business/industry and labor for a term of three years effective immediately and ending March 31, 2020.

Moved by ___________ Seconded by ___________ Carried Yes_____ No_____

I move to approve the re-appointment of Molly Sherpa to the State Rehabilitation Council as a representative for disability advocacy groups for a second term of three years effective immediately and ending March 31, 2020.

Moved by ___________ Seconded by ___________ Carried Yes_____ No_____

I move to approve the re-appointment of Lucas Rose to the State Rehabilitation Council as a representative for business, industry and labor for a second term of three years effective July 1, 2017 and ending June 30, 2020.

Moved by ___________ Seconded by ___________ Carried Yes_____ No_____

I move to approve the re-appointment of Kendrick Lester to the State Rehabilitation Council as a representative for the Department of Education for a second term of three years effective July 1, 2017 and ending June 30, 2020.

Moved by ___________ Seconded by ___________ Carried Yes_____ No_____
BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY

SUBJECT
Facility Naming - The Charles P. Ruch Engineering Building

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY
Idaho State Board of Education Governing Policies and Procedures, Section I.K Naming/Memorializing Buildings and Facilities

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
Boise State University (BSU) is requesting permission to name the College of Engineering Building the Charles P. Ruch Engineering Building. President Charles P. Ruch served as the president of Boise State University from 1993-2003. President Ruch was at the helm of the university when the College of Engineering was created and played a pinnacle role in securing the funding and support needed for the newly formed College.

The Engineering Building was built in 1989 and originally provided space for the College of Applied Technology programs. It was repurposed in the mid-1990s to house the College of Engineering. Today, it is one of nine sites that support BSU’s growing Engineering programs.

As BSU prepares to celebrate the 20th anniversary of the College of Engineering, it provides a nice moment to acknowledge not only Dr. Ruch’s commitment to the development of the College of Engineering, but also his many years of devoted service to Boise State University as President.

IMPACT
Naming the Engineering Building the Charles P. Ruch Engineering Building will honor President Ruch’s service to Boise State University as President. No substantive costs related to the naming will be required other than what is needed for new signage.

STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
Pursuant to Board Policy I.K. facilities may be named for a former employee of Idaho’s public higher education system in consideration of the employee’s service to education in the state of Idaho. Significant factors must include, but are not limited to:

1) Recommendation of the chief executive officer of the institution and the institution community; and
2) Contributions rendered to the academic area to which the building, facility, or administrative unit is primarily devoted.

Staff recommends approval.
BOARD ACTION
I move to approve the request by Boise State University to name the Engineering Building the “Charles P. Ruch Engineering Building.”

Moved by __________ Seconded by __________ Carried Yes _____ No _____
PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS COMMISSION

SUBJECT
Boise State University – Idaho State Program Approval Review Team Report and the Council for Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP) Site Visit Report

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY
Section 33-114 and 33-1258, Idaho Code
Idaho Administrative Code, IDAPA 08.02.02 Section 100 – Official Vehicle for the Approval of Teacher Education Programs

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
The Professional Standards Commission (PSC) is tasked by the Board with conducting a full unit review of all Board approved teacher preparation programs in Idaho on a seven (7) year cycle. The PSC convened a State Review Team containing content experts, and conducted the full unit review of Boise State University’s approved teacher preparation programs on March 5 - 8, 2016. The State Review Team collaborated with the Council for Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP) (Board approved accrediting body) review team for the full unit review. The PSC reviewed the final report submitted by the State Review Team and voted to recommend that the Board adopt the State Team Report as written.

The State Review Team expressed concerns with Boise State University’s Mathematics Consulting Teacher program. Boise State University submitted additional documentation to the PSC at its January 2017 meeting, presenting documentation indicating that Boise State University has already addressed the concerns with the Mathematics Consulting Teacher program in which the State Review Team voted to not approve. The PSC felt that the documentation brought forth by Boise State University for their Mathematics Consulting Teacher program provided sufficient evidence to merit a recommendation of conditional approval for this program.

IMPACT
The adoption of the recommendations in this report will enable Boise State University to continue to prepare teachers in the best possible manner, ensuring that all state teacher preparation standards are being effectively embedded in their teacher preparation programs.

ATTACHMENTS
Attachment 1 – Boise State University Final State Review Team Report and Boise State University Rejoinder Page 3
Attachment 2 – CAEP Final Report and Boise State University Rejoinder and Response Page 261
STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Pursuant to Section 33-114, Idaho Code, the review and approval of all teacher preparation programs in the state is vested in the State Board of Education. The program reviews are conducted for the Board through the Professional Standards Commission, recommendations are then brought forward to the Board for consideration. The review process is designed to assure the programs are meeting the Board approved school personnel standards for the applicable programs, that the teacher are prepared to teach the state content standards for their applicable subject areas, as well as the quality of candidates exiting the programs.

The current Board approved accrediting body for teacher preparation programs is the Council for the Accreditation of Education Preparation (CAEP). CAEP was formed in 2013 with the consolidation of National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) and the Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC). NCATE was the previously recognized accrediting body for approved teacher preparation programs in Idaho. On-site teacher preparation program reviews are conducted in partnership with CAEP based on a partnership agreement. During a concurrent visit, the CAEP team and the state team collaborate to conduct the review, however each team generates their own reports. New programs are reviewed at the time of application for consideration as an approved teacher preparation program. Current practice is for the PSC to review new programs and make recommendations to the Board regarding program approval. The PSC review process, reviews whether or not the programs meet the approved teacher preparation standards for the applicable area.

BOARD ACTION

I move to approve the recommendation by the Professional Standards Commission to accept the State Review Team Report, and continue approval, for Boise State University’s identified teacher preparation programs as submitted in Attachment 1.

Moved by __________ Seconded by __________ Carried Yes _____ No _____

AND

I move to approve the recommendation by the Professional Standards Commission and to grant conditional approval for Boise State University’s Mathematics Consulting Teacher program, as submitted in Attachment 2.

Moved by __________ Seconded by __________ Carried Yes _____ No _____