



## **SPECIAL BOARD MEETING**

**March 16, 2020  
Office of the State Board of Education  
Len B. Jordan Building  
650 W State Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
Boise, Idaho**

A special meeting of the Idaho State Board of Education was held via teleconference on Monday, March 16, 2020, with the call originating from the large conference room in the Office of the State Board of Education, Len B. Jordan Building, in Boise, Idaho. Board President Debbie Critchfield presided and called the meeting to order at 12:30pm (MST). A roll call of members was taken.

### **Present**

Debbie Critchfield, President  
Dr. David Hill, Vice President  
Andrew Scoggin, Secretary  
Emma Atchley

Dr. Linda Clark  
Shawn Keough  
Kurt Liebich  
Sherri Ybarra, State Superintendent

**Monday, March 16, 2020, 12:30 p.m. (MST)**

### **PLANNING, POLICY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS**

1. Division of Career Technical Education – Idaho Perkins V Plan – Action Item

### **BOARD ACTION**

**M/S (Hill/Clark): I move to approve the FY2020 Strengthening Career and Technical Education for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Act (Perkins V) State Plan as provided in Attachment 2. The motion carried 8-0.**

Board Vice President Dave Hill introduced the item and reminded the Board that the Perkins V plan was presented during the February 2020 Board Meeting, and that it is now coming before the Board for approval in light of the revisions that the Division of Career and Technical Education (CTE) made following 30-day public comment period.

Clay Long, CTE State Administrator, shared that a document titled “Changes to the Perkins V Plan” is included in the attachments, and discussed the changes that were incorporated as a result of the 30 day public comment period. He elaborated that many of the changes were to provide clarification and articulate definitions throughout the

plan. Additionally, Mr. Long shared that CTE has modified the plan to reference programs of study and program requirements, as required by the grant specifications, and that Idaho defines a “program of study” as a pathway.

The revisions included:

- Further definition of the difference between secondary and post-secondary concentrators, as well as the language for the advanced AAS programming
- Modified definition of “quality” within the post-secondary AAS description
- Expanded definition of “scope” to extend the language to post-secondary programs
- An updated table to include projected funding for secondary programs, as well as an updated table to include projected funding for post-secondary funding
- The addition of budget amounts based on the fiscal year Federal allocation

Board Member Kurt Liebich asked Mr. Long to clarify that the plan will be valid for four years, with a \$7.8 million annual appropriation; Mr. Long stated that this is correct, and that the amounts are subject to change each year based on allocations for different programs. Board Member Liebich also inquired as to whether the Perkins V plan will align with the work of the Workforce Development Council, and Mr. Long shared that local needs assessments are conducted by each entity receiving funds in order to ensure that programs align with local community and workforce needs. These needs assessments must be completed before they are able to apply for funding for projects.

Board Member Linda Clark shared that employers have been very involved with school districts, creating a “full circle” of involvement. She shared her appreciate for the minor changes that were made in response to the input that was received. Mr. Long shared that he is pleased with the work that his team has done with districts statewide to help them understand how the changes will affect their programs and how CTE will support them in navigating the changes. He elaborated that CTE’s goal is to continue to work to meet the needs of school districts as well as workforce partners.

Board President Debbie Critchfield inquired what the next step in the approval process will be, and Mr. Long shared that, following Board approval, the plan will be sent to Governor Little’s office for approval and then to the State Department of Education.

There were no additional questions or comments from the Board.

There being no further business, a motion to adjourn was entertained.

## **BOARD ACTION**

**M/S (Hill/Atchley): I move to adjourn the meeting at 12:41pm (MST).** The motion carried 8-0.