

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

TAB	DESCRIPTION	ACTION
1	DEVELOPMENTS IN K-12 EDUCATION	Information Item
2	AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN (ARP) ESSER CONSOLIDATED STATE PLAN AMENDMENT	Action Item
3	EVERY STUDENT SUCCEEDS ACT (ESSA) CONSOLIDATED STATE PLAN AMENDMENT	Information Item
4	ANNUAL ENGLISH LEARNERS PROFICIENCY REPORT	Information Item
5	STATEWIDE READING ASSESSMENT UPDATE	Information Item
6	PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT 2020 - 2021	Information Item
7	FEDERAL CORONAVIRUS RELIEF FUNDING UPDATE	Information Item

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

SUBJECT

Developments in K-12 Education

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

Sherri Ybarra, Superintendent of Public Instruction, will share developments in K-12 Education with the Board, including:

- New Directors
- School Operational Status Portal
- Student Advisory Council
- Report on Recent Conferences

BOARD ACTION

This item is for informational purposes only.

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

SUBJECT

American Rescue Plan (ARP): ESSER Consolidated State Plan Amendment

REFERENCE

April 5, 2021	The Board approved the distribution of the CRRSA Act ESSER II funds to local education agencies (LEAs) under a methodology that assured each LEA received an amount at least equivalent to what they would have received from discretionary funds prior to the 5% hold back and set 2.5% of the ARP ESSER State Set-Aside Reserve (ARP ESSER) funds for distribution to schools who receive no Title I or low Title I funds, pending legislative approval of spending authority.
April 22, 2021	The Board received an update on the COVID Relief K-12 funds, which included CARES Act ESSER, CRRSA Act ESSER, ARP ESSER, CRRSA EANS, and ARP EANS.
June 4, 2021	The Board postponed consideration of a draft ARP ESSER State Plan in order to give Board members an opportunity to review and provide comments.
June 16, 2021	The Board approved Idaho's ARP ESSER State Plan and authorized the Board president to sign the plan as the Board's representative to the U.S. Department of Education.
August 26, 2021	The Board approved the amendments to the ARP ESSER State Plan and authorized the Board President to sign the ARP ESSER State Plan as the representative of the State Education Agency for submittal to the U.S. Department of Education.

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY

Idaho Code § 33-110

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

On March 24, 2021, the U.S. Department of Education (USED) made available two-thirds of each state's ARP ESSER allocation to support ongoing efforts to reopen school safely for in-person learning, keep schools safely open once students are back, and address the academic, social, emotional, and mental health needs of all students. To receive the remaining third of a state's ARP ESSER allocation and to comply with the terms and conditions of the ARP ESSER funds the state has received, USED requires state education agencies (SEA) to develop and submit an ARP ESSER plan that describes, among other things, the current education needs within the state, the intended uses of ARP ESSER funds, and the

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

plans for the SEA to support local education agencies (LEAs) in their planning for and use of ARP ESSER funds.

An ARP ESSER SEA State Plan template was provided to states on April 21, 2021. The State Department of Education (SDE) identified leads for each of the seven sections of the plan. Six of the leads were SDE staff, with the seventh being State Board of Education (Board) staff. Section D., Maximizing State-Level Funds to Support Students, drafting was led by Board staff. SDE identified stakeholders and a timeline to quickly draft and receive feedback on the plan. A notification from SDE's Chief Deputy Superintendent was emailed May 5, 2021 to notify stakeholders of the opportunity to participate in the drafting of the plan. This email included the timeline and a form for indicating interest in sections of the plan. An additional communication went out on May 7, 2021 from SDE's Deputy Superintendent of Communications and Policy.

Team leads scheduled meetings for their sections between May 10 and May 18, 2021, and invited interested stakeholders to participate. The opportunity to collaborate was communicated to a variety of stakeholders including school district superintendents, Board staff, Legislative Services Office (LSO) staff, Idaho Association of School Administrators (IASA), Idaho School Boards Association (ISBA), Idaho Education Association (IEA), LEA business managers, Nez Perce Tribe Education Director, Idaho Business for Education (IBE), Idaho Association of Commerce and Industry (IACI), Bluum, Idaho PTA Association Board, and SDE's directors of Special Education, Indian Education, Certification, Student Engagement & Safety Coordination, Assessment and Accountability, and Federal Programs.

A link to the first draft of Idaho's ARP ESSER SEA State Plan was published on SDE's website on the front page at www.sde.idaho.gov from May 21 – June 1, 2021 for public review. Sixteen individuals and organizations provided input on the draft Plan posted on SDE's webpage). These stakeholders represented district administrators and business managers, a retired counselor, local school board member, a grandparent, United Way, College of Idaho, New Classrooms, and interested citizens.

The Board's Accountability Oversight Committee (AOC) met May 27, 2021 to review the draft plan and make comments. This feedback was provided to SDE on June 1st. The final draft of the plan incorporated the AOC's feedback.

A stakeholder feedback review committee was convened on June 2, 2021 to review the public comment and make recommendations for changes. Twenty seven participants (representing the IEA, LEA administrator, Public Charter School Commission, Idaho State Board of Education, ISBA, IBE, IACI, Idaho legislator, Bluum, Idaho Hispanic Commission, Division of Financial Management, Education Northwest REL and Comprehensive Center 17, and SDE executive staff and directors from the Indian Education, Special Education, Assessment and

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

Accountability, Certification, and Federal Programs departments) discussed the comments and provided suggestions for revisions to the plan.

Following submittal of the ARP ESSER SEA State Plan to the U.S. Department of Education on June 16, 2021, SDE and Board staff received a request on July 13, 2021 for additional information. On July 16, 2021, revisions to the Plan were sent to USED for Sec. C1, the GEPA statement, and a copy of the Reopening Framework. On August 23, 2021, USED provided additional feedback on Section D for consideration for the August 25-26, 2021 Board meeting. On August 30, 2021, Idaho's ARP ESSER SEA State Plan, approved by the Board on August 26th, was submitted to USED. On September, 13, 2021, USED sent an official letter approving Idaho's ARP ESSER SEA State Plan with conditions for Sections C.1, D.1, D.2, and D.3. Instructions indicated that an amendment addressing the conditions was due to USED in 45 days, October 28, 2021. On October 29, 2021, a redlined version and a clean copy of the amended plan that included revisions to Sec. C.1 and a follow-up response letter from the State Board office on Sections D.1, D.2, and D.3 were emailed to USED. The email referenced that the final amended plan would be considered at the next State Board meeting December 15, 2021.

ATTACHMENTS

- Attachment 1 – U.S. Department of Education Request for Additional Information (received September 13, 2021)
 - Attachment 2 – Idaho ARP ESSER State Plan Amended as of October 28, 2021 (Sec. C)
 - Attachment 3 – Follow-up response letter for Sec. D from the State Board office, dated October 28, 2021
- [Final attachment will reflect all amended revisions from both sections C and D.]

IMPACT

Once the ARP ESSER State Plan Amendment is approved by the Board, the plan will be resubmitted to the USED.

STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The USED template asks the SEA for each state to identify:

- A. Description of the State's Current Status and Needs
 - 1. Assessment of top 2-3 strategies that have been most effective in supporting the needs of students.
 - 2. Assessment of top 2-3 issues currently facing students and schools.
 - 3. Describe top 2-3 highest priority academic, social, emotional, and/or mental health needs for the remainder of the 2020-2021 school year (if applicable) and for the 2021-2022 school year.
 - 4. Describe how the Board/SEA will support LEAs in identifying the extent of the impact of the pandemic on student learning and student well-being.

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

5. Describe current status of data collection on operational status and mode of instruction for all schools.
- B. Describe how the Board/SEA will support LEAs in safely reopening schools and sustaining safe operations.
1. Describe how the Board/SEA will support its LEAs in safely returning to in-person instruction and sustaining the safe operation of schools.
 2. Describe how the Board/SEA will ensure that its LEAs that receive ARP ESSER funds meet the requirements in section 2001(i) of the ARP Act and the requirements relating to the ARP ESSER funds.
- C. Describe the Boards/SEA plans for consultation from stakeholders and for coordinating the use of ARP ESSER funds with other resources to meet the needs of students.
1. Describe how the Board/SEA engaged in meaningful consultation with stakeholders, and incorporated input into this plan.
 2. Describe to what extent the Board/SEA has and will coordinate Federal COVID-19 pandemic funding and other Federal funding.
- D. Describe Board's/SEA evidence-based strategies for the use of these resources.
1. Describe how the Board/SEA will use the funds it reserves on evidence-based interventions to address the academic impact of lost instructional time.
 2. Describe how the Board/SEA will use the funds it reserves for evidence-based summer learning and enrichment programs.
 3. Describe how the Board/SEA will use the funds it reserves for evidence-based comprehensive afterschool programs.
 4. Describe the intended use of the reserved funds for emergency needs, including to what extent these funds will be used to build Board/SEA and LEA capacity to ensure students' and staff's health and safety; to meet students' academic, social, emotion, and mental health needs; and to implement evidence-based interventions (the Board took action on a portion of these funds on April 5, 2021).
- E. Describe how the Board/SEA will support their LEAs in developing plans for LEAs' use of ARP ESSER funds.
1. Describe what the Board/SEA will require its LEAs to include in LEA plans consistent with the ARP ESSER requirements.
 2. Describe how the Board/SEA will, in planning for the use of ARP ESSER funds, ensure LEAs engage in meaningful consultation with stakeholders.
 3. Describe how the Board/SEA will support and monitor its LEAs in using ARP ESSER funds.

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

4. Describe the extent to which the Board/SEA will support its LEAs in implementing additional strategies for taking educational equity into account.
- F. Describe strategies for supporting and stabilizing the educator workforce and for making staffing decisions.
1. Describe the extent to which the State is facing shortages of educators, education administration personnel, and other school personnel involved in safely reopening schools and how the Board / SEA will assist its LEAs in identifying the most urgent areas of shortages or potential shortages.
 2. Describe the extent to which the Board/SEA has developed or will develop strategies and will support its LEAs in increasing student access to key support staff.
- G. Describe how the Board/SEA is building capacity at the Board/SEA and LEA levels to ensure high-quality data collection and reporting and to safeguard funds for their intended purposes.
1. Describe how the Board/SEA will ensure its capacity and the capacity of its LEAs to collect data on reporting requirements.
 2. Describe how the Board/SEA will implement appropriate fiscal monitoring of and internal controls for the ARP ESSER funds.

The amendments to the ARP ESSER SEA Plan provided in Attachment 1 include:

- Added language on SDE's efforts to reach out to the various stakeholder groups in Section C.1.;
- A restructuring of Section D.1. to help clarify how the funds are going to be used, including the addition of section titles to help organize the information. Additionally, language was added to demonstrate Idaho recognizes that groups are disproportionately affected by the pandemic;
- A restructuring of Section D.2.i. to make it consistent with the order and subsection titles used in section D.1.i. and added additional language around plans for data collection;
- Additional language in Section D.3. identifying the intended use of funds the Board has already taken preliminary actions on (2.5% for no and low Title I schools);

BOARD ACTION

I move to approve the amendments to the Idaho ARP ESSER SEA State Plan as provided in Attachment 1 and authorize the Board President to sign the ARP ESSER SEA State Plan as the representative of the State Education Agency.

Moved by _____ Seconded by _____ Carried Yes _____ No _____



THE SECRETARY OF EDUCATION
WASHINGTON, DC 20202

September 13, 2021

The Honorable Sherri Ybarra
Superintendent of Public Instruction
Idaho Department of Education
650 West State Street
Boise, ID 83720-0027

Dear Superintendent Ybarra:

I am pleased to approve Idaho's American Rescue Plan Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief (ARP ESSER) State plan. This plan, together with the unprecedented funding available under ARP ESSER, will help ensure that districts and schools in your State can continue to reopen safely; support sustained access to in-person instruction throughout the summer and into next school year; and address the social, emotional, mental health, and academic needs of students due to the impact of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19), particularly those students most impacted by the pandemic.

Except as described below, Idaho's plan is consistent with all ARP ESSER statutory and regulatory requirements and addresses all of the ARP ESSER State plan elements. This letter, along with Idaho's approved ARP ESSER State plan, will be posted on the U.S. Department of Education's (Department's) website, and the Department will immediately release the remaining one-third of your State's ARP ESSER allocation for your use.

In its ARP ESSER State plan, a State educational agency (SEA) must describe how it engaged in meaningful consultation with several stakeholder groups on the development of its ARP ESSER State plan. Idaho indicated that it has not yet meaningfully consulted with stakeholders representing children in foster care and children who are incarcerated. As a result, this approval is conditioned on Idaho continuing to engage in meaningful consultation specifically with stakeholders representing the interests of children in foster care and children who are incarcerated. Within 45 days of receipt of this letter, Idaho must amend its ARP ESSER State plan to describe how it met the requirements in section C.1 of the ARP ESSER State plan template, including how it incorporated feedback from stakeholders representing children in foster care and children who are incarcerated into its ARP ESSER State plan.

Additionally, in its ARP ESSER State plan, an SEA must describe how it will use the funds it reserves under sections 2001(f)(1)-(3) of the ARP Act on evidence-based interventions to address: (1) the academic impact of lost instructional time, (2) summer learning and enrichment programs, and (3) comprehensive afterschool programs; and must describe how the interventions it identifies will address the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on certain groups of students. In its ARP ESSER State plan, Idaho indicated that it has not yet identified its interventions. As a result, this approval is conditioned on Idaho amending its ARP ESSER State plan to provide the requisite descriptions to meet the requirements in section D.1.i-iii, D.2.i-iii, and D.3.i-iii of the

ARP ESSER State plan template. In addition, Idaho must provide an update on its progress selecting evidence-based interventions to meet these requirements within 30 days of receipt of this letter, and additional updates as required by the Department.

As part of the ARP ESSER State plan, each State assured that it would provide the Department the URLs for information related to ARP ESSER requirements. First, by June 21, 2021, each State was required to post publicly on its website, for the most recent time period available, data on mode of instruction and enrollment for all students, disaggregated by student subgroups. The State assured that it would provide to the Department the URL(s) where the public can readily find this data. The Department will follow up separately with you regarding this requirement, if necessary. Second, your State assured that it would provide to the Department the URL(s) for the SEA and/or local educational agency (LEA) websites where the public can find the LEA plans for: (a) the safe return to in-person instruction and continuity of services; and (b) use of ARP ESSER funds. Please submit the URL or URLs to the Department via mail to your State mailbox at Idaho.OESE@ed.gov.

Please be aware that approval of Idaho's ARP ESSER State plan is not a determination that all the information included in the State plan complies with Federal civil rights requirements, including Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, and requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. It is Idaho's responsibility to comply with these civil rights requirements.

The Department is committed to working in partnership with your State so that your ARP ESSER funds are quickly put to work to ensure students have sustained access to in-person instruction as well as the comprehensive supports they need to recover fully from the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. As you implement this plan, we expect you to continue meaningfully engaging with stakeholders and the public and revising your plan, as necessary, to best meet the needs of students, parents, and educators. Ongoing engagement with all stakeholders is vital to ensuring that implementation of your plan is transparent, effective, equitable, inclusive, and best meets the needs of your State. The thoughtful, evidence-based, and timely use of ARP ESSER funds will have a lasting impact on our Nation's schools and help to address the inequities in resources, services, and opportunities available to our students.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Miguel A. Cardona".

Miguel A. Cardona, Ed.D.

Idaho State Plan for the
American Rescue Plan Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief Fund
Section C Clean



U.S. Department of Education

Issued: April 21, 2021

OMB Number: 1810-0754
Expiration Date: October 31, 2021

Paperwork Burden Statement According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0754. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 100 hours per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. The obligation to respond to this collection is required to obtain or retain benefit under Section 2001 of the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (ARP Act). If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate, suggestions for improving this individual collection, or if you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual form, application or survey, please contact Britt Jung, Office of State and Grantee Relations, Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20202-6450, email: SGR@ed.gov directly.

Introduction

The American Rescue Plan Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief (“ARP ESSER”) Fund, authorized under the American Rescue Plan (“ARP”) Act of 2021, provides nearly \$122 billion to States to support the Nation’s schools in safely reopening and sustaining safe operations of schools while meeting the academic, social, emotional, and mental health needs of students resulting from the coronavirus disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic. It is particularly important that ARP ESSER funding will enable States and local educational agencies (“LEAs”), and more directly schools, to support students who have been most severely impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic and are likely to have suffered the most because of longstanding inequities in our communities and schools that have been exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic.

The U.S. Department of Education (“Department”) is committed to working in partnership with States so that these unprecedented resources are quickly put to work to ensure students have sustained access to in-person instruction and that the resources are used to provide the effective support students need as they persist through and recover from the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. The thoughtful and timely use of these funds will have a lasting impact on our Nation’s schools and help to address the inequities in resources, services, and opportunities available to our students.

This template presents an opportunity for States to share their plans for the use of ARP ESSER funds with the public. The Department must approve a State educational agency’s (“SEA’s”) plan in order to make the State’s remaining ARP ESSER allocation available for use. Please note that the Department intends to issue ARP ESSER reporting requirements separately.

Instructions

Each SEA must provide descriptions and other information that address each requirement listed below. An SEA may use this template or another format as long as every item and element is addressed in the SEA’s response. Throughout this document, questions that refer to an SEA’s ARP ESSER funding are referencing the total allocation to be received by the SEA, including that which it allocates to its LEAs.

Each SEA must submit to the Department by June 7, 2021, either: (1) its ARP ESSER plan or (2) the State requirements that preclude submission of the plan by that date and a date by which it will be able to submit its complete ARP ESSER plan.

To submit the SEA’s plan, please email the plan to your Program Officer at [State].OESE@ed.gov (e.g., Alabama.OESE@ed.gov).

In order to ensure transparency, the Department will post each plan on the Department’s website when it is received and will indicate each plan’s approval status.

This template also allows States to fulfill the requirement of the Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations (“CRRSA”) Act ESSER II 6-month reporting requirement in section 313(f) of the CRRSA Act.

Cover Page

ARP ESSER PR Award Number (S425U210043):

SEA Contact: Matthew Freeman, Executive Director, State Board of Education Telephone:

(208) 332-1570

Email address: matt.freeman@osbe.idaho.gov

State Department of Education Contact: Sherri Ybarra, State Superintendent of Public Instruction Telephone:

(208) 332-6815

Email Address: sybarra@sde.idaho.gov

By signing this document, I agree to each of the assurances listed in Appendix C and further assure that: To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information and data included in this plan are true and correct.	
---	--

Chief State School Officer or Authorized Representative (Printed Name)	
Signature of Authorized SEA Representative	
Kurt Liebich, State Board of Education President	Date:
Sherri Ybarra, State Superintendent of Public Instruction	Date:

A. Describing the State's Current Status and Needs

The Department recognizes the extraordinary efforts made by States, LEAs, and educators to support students during the COVID-19 pandemic. In this section, SEAs will describe the progress they have made, the priorities and student needs guiding their ARP ESSER funding decisions, and their current and projected operating status.

1. Progress and Promising Practices: Provide your assessment of the top 2-3 strategies that have been most effective in supporting the needs of students in your State during the COVID-19 pandemic, especially for students most impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Please include, if applicable, how your State will submit and encourage its LEAs to submit lessons learned and best practices to the Department's [*Safer Schools and Campuses Best Practices Clearinghouse*](#) so that they can be shared with other States and LEAs.

Response:

During the COVID-19 pandemic, the State Board of Education, State Department of Education, and other state agencies, as appropriate (state) developed various resources and trainings to support school districts and charter schools (local education agencies – LEAs) in meeting the needs of students across Idaho. For example, the State Department of Education (Department) held regular webinars to discuss all of the aspects of the shifting educational landscape, including the use of relief funds, child nutrition policy, and changes in assessment and accountability. The Department also created a public schools reopening page as a central repository for this type of information to assist local education agencies address student learning, operations, coordination with parents, safety and wellness, government resources, and connectivity. This page also included the Back to School Framework approved by the State Board of Education / State Education Agency (Board / SEA). All of these resources provided guidance for supporting effective instruction and student wellbeing whether a school was open fully in-person, hybrid, or remote.

Using this information, Idaho LEAs adopted various strategies, based on local needs and consultation with community partners, to support students during the COVID-19 pandemic. Three primary strategies implemented in Idaho are outlined below.

In-person instruction

For many LEAs, the most effective strategy was maintaining in-person instruction. To support this approach, the Department provided guidance about physical logistics such as distancing procedures, air filtration, and environmental hygiene. Additionally, the Department disseminated resources to support the broader social and emotional needs of students returning to school, such as the CASEL SEL Roadmap for Re-Opening Schools. All LEAs prioritized student health and safety, but with appropriate precautions, in-person learning remained safe and sustainable throughout the entire school year for various LEAs, particularly smaller LEAs in rural areas with minimal community transmission.

Equitable access

When LEAs did need to incorporate distance learning, effective strategies focused on providing equitable access to the necessary technological resources and enhancing support for the students most at-risk in this educational environment. The Department collaborated with LEAs to manage these challenges. For example, the public schools reopening page included guidance to help local IT Directors identify the locations of students without internet service. These students could be assisted by providing an alternate method of internet access or prioritizing them for remaining in-person when hybrid learning was an option. These efforts built on ongoing cross-agency efforts to improve technology access in Idaho, started under the Governor's Broadband Task Force and Digital Divide Committee. The Broadband Task Force and Digital Divide Committee worked to identify gaps in Idaho's broadband infrastructure and supported efforts to improve access. For LEAs operating in a hybrid environment, the maintenance of in-person learning was further focused on students for whom online learning would be the most disruptive. NAEP school survey results indicate that Idaho educators were able to prioritize maintaining in-person instruction for traditionally at-risk student groups, including English learners, children with disabilities, and students experiencing homelessness. Many schools also targeted in-person learning for students in lower grades, for whom online learning could be more difficult.

Teacher preparation

Another key strategy was ensuring educators were sufficiently prepared to provide effective instruction online, whether in a hybrid or fully-remote environment. The Department along with other stakeholders like the Idaho Digital Learning Academy, offered trainings on virtual classroom strategies and distance education resources, including those contributed by educators and school personnel from around the state. The Department also introduced remote assessment options to help teachers gather ongoing data from formative assessment processes, interim assessments, and progress monitoring tools to help educators track student outcomes and refine teaching and learning strategies.

Although many LEAs in Idaho modified their operating status multiple times during the year to respond to the existing public health situation, by the spring of 2021 there was a consistent trend towards offering in-person instruction. As of May 13, 2021, among 115 traditional school districts, 108 were in person, eight were hybrid and zero were fully online or closed.

2. **Overall Priorities:** Provide your assessment of the top 2-3 issues currently facing students and schools across your State as a result of or in response to the COVID-19 pandemic including, to the extent possible, data illustrating why these are the most critical and/or most widespread issues facing schools and students.

Response:**Using data to target student needs**

The foremost challenge for students and schools across Idaho is continuing to identify and employ effective strategies for assisting students who experienced unfinished learning. Statewide data on summative assessments in English Language Arts/Literacy and Mathematics and several other metrics are not yet available, but results from Idaho's fall early reading assessment indicated around a five-percentage point reduction in the share of kindergarten through third grade students reading at grade level from the year prior. Idaho is awaiting spring data from this early reading assessment to determine whether ongoing disruptions caused by COVID-19 further increased that performance gap relative to previous cohorts or if local education agencies were able to narrow it through instruction and specific intervention strategies during the year. Additional assessment results from available formative and summative assessments and end-of-year data on course enrollment, course grades, and other metrics will help districts and schools identify academic priorities. The state will help LEAs develop strategies to analyze intervention priorities outside of the academic context, such as student social/emotional health and economic need, which may have changed based on the ongoing COVID-19 disruptions.

Re-connecting with students

As part of that process, one additional challenge is supporting students who have not maintained consistent contact with the educational system during the past school year. These students may not have assessment data to identify their academic needs and, in some cases, may not have current connections to their district or school. Idaho's overall K-12 enrollment fell by several thousand students from the previous school year and some districts' enrollment fell by over five percent. LEAs will continue their efforts to identify and support any "missing" students as well. All of these results will determine priority focus areas for learning during the summer and next fall.

3. **Identifying Needs of Underserved Students:** Describe your State's 2-3 highest priority academic, social, emotional, and/or mental health needs for the remainder of the 2020-2021 school year (if applicable) and for the 2021-2022 school year related to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on each of the following student groups:
 - i. Students from low-income families,
 - ii. Students from each racial or ethnic group (e.g., identifying disparities and focusing on underserved student groups by race or ethnicity),
 - iii. Gender (e.g., identifying disparities and focusing on underserved student groups by gender),
 - iv. English learners,
 - v. Children with disabilities (including infants, toddlers, children, and youth with disabilities eligible under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act ("IDEA")),
 - vi. Students experiencing homelessness,

- vii. Children and youth in foster care,
- viii. Migratory students, and
- ix. Other groups disproportionately impacted by the pandemic that have been identified by the SEA (e.g., youth involved in the criminal justice system, students who have missed the most in-person instruction during the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years, students who did not consistently participate in remote instruction when offered during school building closures, and LGBTQ+ students).

To the extent possible, this description should include data on indicators such as estimates of the academic impact of lost instructional time,¹ chronic absenteeism, student engagement, and social-emotional well-being.

Complete the table below, adding rows as necessary, or provide a narrative description.

Response: The three core priorities for Idaho throughout the rest of this school year and school year 2021-2022 are continuing efforts to improve broadband access, addressing the academic impact of unfinished learning, and addressing the social, emotional, and mental health needs of students. These general areas of focus are the same for all students, but interventions will focus on the specific needs for each group.

Improving Broadband Access

As indicated above, the Broadband Task Force and Digital Divide Committee have engaged in efforts to identify and address gaps in Idaho's broadband infrastructure. To improve equity in resources that support student learning, all students need internet access and appropriate technology in order to engage in research and complete homework, and when necessary or preferred, to participate in online or hybrid learning. While improvements have been made, additional work is needed, particularly for students experiencing socioeconomic or housing challenges, and those in rural and remote communities. The Board / SEA is engaged on these committees and state agencies are engaged in ongoing discussions and work to identify appropriate next steps to address remaining broadband and technology gaps.

Addressing Unfinished Learning

At this point in time, Idaho has limited statewide data to quantify the impacts of unfinished learning due to the pandemic. As indicated in Section D of this plan, the Accountability Oversight Committee (AOC), an ad-hoc committee of the Board / SEA, will be working with the Department to gather and analyze appropriate data. Preliminary information suggests that the specific academic consequences of unfinished learning due to the COVID-19 pandemic vary by student group in ways that should inform intervention efforts. As an example, the fall statewide early literacy

¹ For the purposes of the plan, "academic impact of lost instructional time" refers to "learning loss" experienced by students as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, as referenced in the ARP Act and the CRRSA Act.

assessment data indicates that the percentage of students from low-income families reading at grade level fell more from 2019 to 2020 than the statewide whole (5.7 percentage points compared to 5.1 percentage points). Similar patterns were seen among many, but not all, traditionally underserved groups, highlighting the need for targeted support. The Board / SEA has reviewed this data, as well as national data regarding the impacts of the pandemic, and has considered anecdotal evidence received from LEAs. Based on this combined information, the Board / SEA has tentatively identified priorities for addressing unfinished learning in our state: early English language arts / literacy skills (K-4), middle grades mathematics (4-9), and credit completion and course recovery for high school. The Board's / SEA's staff will work with the AOC and the Department to conduct an analysis of the state's data to confirm if these areas are those with of greatest need. Once the priority areas are confirmed, the Board / SEA and Department will communicate them to LEAs and will provide professional development and resources to support LEAs in addressing them.

To fully understand and address unfinished learning, the Board / SEA and Department are also reviewing attendance and chronic absenteeism data in order to identify and support students who have or continue to miss substantial instructional time.. Current data on chronic absenteeism for school year 2020-2021 also highlights disparities by student group. For instance, mid-year results indicate that nearly 12 percent of Hispanic students were identified as chronically absent upon exiting school, which was nearly double the rate among white students. An outline of all data to be considered by the AOC is included in Section D.

Addressing Social and Emotional Challenges

The Board / SEA and the Department recognize that the pandemic has had an impact on the social, emotional, and mental health of students and educators. While we are still gathering data to better understand these issues, initial data from the 2020-2021 student engagement survey indicate that the social emotional impacts of the pandemic may have been more substantial for students in certain subgroups. As an example, the statewide student engagement survey administered to students in grades 3-12 in February and March, 2021, showed a substantially higher percentage of students with disabilities categorized as disengaged in the behavioral, cognitive, and emotional domains when compared with students without disabilities. More comprehensive data will become available at the end of this school year, allowing Idaho to enter the summer and the 2021-2022 school year with detailed information disaggregated by student group.

Table A1. This table will be populated when data is available in summer 2021.

The types of data disaggregated by the student groups below will include assessment results, graduation rate, go-on rate, course grades, and other metrics discussed above, including the extent of the ongoing digital divide. These data will provide critical information about how to best support traditionally underserved groups, such as Native American and Hispanic students. Idaho anticipates the majority of data used

for this analysis will be gathered through already established collection methods and will not require additional data submissions from LEAs.

Student group	Highest priority needs
Students from low-income families	Available summer 2021
Students from each racial or ethnic background used by the State for reporting purposes – please add a row for each racial or ethnic group (e.g., identifying disparities and focusing on underserved student groups by race/ethnicity)	Available summer 2021
Students by gender – please add a row for each gender (e.g., identifying disparities and focusing on underserved student groups by gender)	Available summer 2021
English learners	Available summer 2021
Children with disabilities	Available summer 2021
Students experiencing homelessness	Available summer 2021
Children and youth in foster care	Available summer 2021
Migratory students	Available summer 2021
Other groups of students identified by the State (e.g., youth involved in the criminal justice system, students who have missed the most in-person instruction during the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years, students who did not consistently participate in remote instruction when offered during school building closures, LGBTQ+ students)	Available summer 2021

4. Understanding the Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic: Describe how the SEA will support its LEAs in identifying the extent of the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on student learning and student well-being, including identifying the groups of students most impacted by the pandemic. Where possible, please identify the data sources the SEA will suggest its LEAs use in thoughtfully diagnosing areas of need, including data on the academic, social, emotional, and mental health impacts of lost instructional time.

Response: To support LEAs, the Department will provide both recorded and in-person trainings on using existing reporting tools to identify the academic and social/behavioral impacts of the COVID-19 disruptions. These trainings will address strategies for appropriately using existing data systems for our statewide assessments (interim and summative) in English Language Arts/Literacy and Mathematics, the statewide early literacy assessment (formative and summative), the English learner assessment, the student engagement survey, and graduation rates. The Department will advertise these training opportunities through newsletters, webinars, and direct outreach to LEA stakeholders. The AOC will review and analyze data in the Student Achievement Report (created by the Department), which will include a focus on the effects of COVID-19 on students across Idaho across myriad metrics, including opportunity to learn, statewide achievement tests, the state's early reading assessment, attendance, and enrollment. These results will be disaggregated by both student and school characteristics. The Department will also produce this report at the LEA level to help stakeholders contextualize their results and inform ongoing intervention efforts. Upon request, the state will provide LEAs with custom data reports targeted to their specific priorities. Of particular concern are schools previously identified for support and improvement. The Department will provide customized tools and reports to ensure these schools have ongoing support for their improvement efforts and that this year's

disruptions do not derail their progress in improving overall outcomes and narrowing achievement gaps.

5. School Operating Status: It is essential to have data on how students are learning in order to support the goals of access and equity, especially for student groups that have been disproportionately impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Describe the current status of data collection on operational status and mode of instruction of all schools in your State. This description must include:

- i. A description of to what extent, and how frequently, the State collects now and will collect in the future data for all schools in your State on:
 - a. Mode of instruction: The number of schools in your State that are offering fully remote or online-only instruction; both remote/online and in-person instruction (hybrid model); and/or full-time in-person instruction;
 - b. Enrollment: Student enrollment for all students and disaggregated for each of the student groups described in A.3.i-viii for each mode of instruction; and
 - c. Attendance: Student attendance for all students and disaggregated for each of the student groups described in A.3.i-viii for each mode of instruction.

Response: Throughout the 2021-2022 school year, the Department employed several approaches to track operating status. At the start of the year, staff monitored district websites and reported the listed status. However, the data were not always completely accurate because district websites did not always reflect operating status changes and the process for manually reviewing websites sometimes took several weeks to complete. In January and February 2021, staff contacted all districts by phone and email to obtain their correct operating status. Since that time, staff have continued to follow up regularly based on anticipated changes. This manual approach was necessary because Idaho does not have a single, unified system for tracking district or school operating status.

Enrollment and attendance, disaggregated by student group, have been collected regularly throughout the year via the state's K-12 longitudinal data system. LEAs submit information to the state via this system in October, November, December, March, May, at the end of the school year in June, and in September to cover the summer period. These attendance and enrollment data can be merged with the operating status information via the state's unique LEA identification number.

Idaho's longitudinal data system also includes information on instructional setting for each course in which a student participates. The available instructional settings can delineate between in-person instruction, synchronous online instruction, and asynchronous online instruction. However, these data are not captured at the individual student level, so a hybrid course would be identified with a single setting while

individual students might be participating either in-person or remotely. Furthermore, the setting may have changed at different times during the year without those updates being reflected in the data LEAs provide to the state.

- ii. The data described in A.5.i.a. and b. using the template in Appendix A (and to the extent available, the data described in A.5.i.c.) for the most recent time period available. Please note that this data can be submitted separately within 14 calendar days after a State submits this plan. The SEA must also make this data publicly available on its website as soon as possible but no later than June 21, 2021, and regularly provide updated available information on its website. The Department will periodically review data listed in A.5.i on SEA websites.

Response: Please see the tables in Appendix A for the data described in A.5.i.a and A.51.b. Using the most current mid-term average daily attendance (ADA) figures in Idaho to address item A.5.1.c, the ADA by operational status is as follows: Remote or online only = 8,837; Hybrid = 126,126; and Full-time in-person instruction = 168,123. All data will be made publicly available on the State Department of Education website (sde.idaho.gov) by June 21, 2021 and will be updated regularly.

- iii. To the extent available, a description of the planned operational status and mode of instruction for the State and its LEAs for Summer 2021 and for the 2021-2022 school year.

Response: The Board / SEA expects that nearly all traditional LEAs will offer in-person instruction during Summer 2021 and the 2021-2022 school year, with the exception of fully virtual charter schools. However, within traditional LEAs, we anticipate that some districts will continue to offer online school options that they created during the 2020-2021 school year. The Board / SEA and Department will explore options for capturing more detailed and accurate instructional methodology information. In making this decision, the Board / SEA will weigh the benefits of any new data collection against the additional time and effort necessary for LEAs to report this information. The state will track any available operating status information and report enrollment by student group on the State Department of Education website.

B. Safely Reopening Schools and Sustaining their Safe Operations

The Department recognizes that safely reopening schools and sustaining their safe operations to maximize in-person instruction is essential for student learning and student well-being, and especially for being able to address the educational inequities that have been worsened by the COVID-19 pandemic. In this section, SEAs will describe how they will support their LEAs in this vital area.

1. Support for LEAs: Describe how the SEA will support its LEAs in safely returning to in-person instruction and sustaining the safe operation of schools. This description must include:

- i. How the SEA will support its LEAs implementing, to the greatest extent practicable, prevention and mitigation policies in line with the most up-to-date guidance from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (“CDC”) for the reopening and operation of school facilities to effectively maintain the health and safety of students, educators, and other staff;

Response: The Board / SEA approved Idaho Back to School Framework (Framework) 2020, Appendix I, (<https://boardofed.idaho.gov/resources/idaho-back-to-school-framework-2020>) provides expectations, guidelines and best practices to ensure a safe and successful school environment. This document was most recently updated on October 21, 2020, and will continue to be updated by the Board / SEA as necessary to remain current with changes to state and federal guidance. The Framework is a collective effort by the Idaho Governor’s Office and the Board / SEA. The Framework was developed and recommended for Board / SEA approval by a work group made up of representatives from the Department of Education, the Department of Health and Welfare, the local public health districts, and LEAs. This guidance document addresses each of the mitigation strategies listed in the table below. For reference, the corresponding pages from the Framework 2020 have been provided for each mitigation strategy in the table below.

In addition to the guidelines provided in the Framework 2020 related to the appropriate accommodations for children with disabilities, the Department also distributed a 53-page document that dove deeper into the guidance on this particular topic. The “Guidelines for Providing Special Education Services During the COVID-19 Pandemic” is the Board’s / SEA’s detailed guidance to support districts in meeting the needs of students with disabilities (<https://www.sde.idaho.gov/coronavirus/sped/SPED-COVID-19-Q-A-06-03-2020.pdf>).

The Board / SEA also distributed a separate guidance document specific to the wearing of masks in our schools (<https://www.sde.idaho.gov/re-opening/files/COVID-19-School-Mask-Guidance.pdf>).

Complete the table below, adding rows as necessary, or provide a narrative description.

Table B1.

Mitigation strategy	SEA response
Universal and correct wearing of masks	<i>p. 9, 12, 31</i>
Physical distancing (e.g., including use of cohorts/podding)	<i>p. 7, 8, 10-13, 31</i>
Handwashing and respiratory etiquette	<i>p. 9, 29-31</i>
Cleaning and maintaining healthy facilities, including improving ventilation	<i>p. 9, 29-31</i>
Contact tracing in combination with isolation and quarantine, in collaboration with the State, local, territorial, or Tribal health departments	<i>p. 7-10</i>
Diagnostic and screening testing	<i>p. 9- 11</i>

Mitigation strategy	SEA response
Efforts to provide vaccinations to educators, other staff, and students, if eligible	<i>The State Department of Education is not involved in the effort to provide vaccinations.</i>
Appropriate accommodations for children with disabilities with respect to the health and safety policies	<i>p. 13, 23, 24, 26- 28</i>

- ii. Any Statewide plans, policies, estimated timelines, and specific milestones related to reopening and operation of school facilities, including any mechanisms the SEA will use to track, monitor, or enforce their implementation;

Response: In the late Summer of 2020, each LEA was required to develop a local plan. With input from the local public health district or other health experts, each LEA developed a plan that outlined their operations, including how it would respond to disease transmission within their community, enabling them to continue to provide instruction and educational services to their students. The Board / SEA staff verified that each LEA plan had been drafted, vetted, and adopted by the local school board. It is anticipated that districts will update these plans for the upcoming school year through the Safe Return to In-Person Instruction and Continuity of Services Plan. By May 2021, most Idaho brick-and-mortar LEAs had reopened and returned to full-time, in-person instruction.

During the 2021 legislative session, the Superintendent of Public Instruction introduced and sponsored House Bill 175 (<https://legislature.idaho.gov/wp-content/uploads/sessioninfo/2021/legislation/H0175.pdf>), which was signed into law later in the session. Beginning July 1, Idaho law will require, “to the greatest extent possible and where safety requirements can be developed by the school district or public charter school, an in-person instruction option will be made available to students.” This new law prioritizes in-person instruction for our traditional brick and mortar schools and requires progress monitoring in all required (core) subject areas.

- iii. To what extent the SEA and its LEAs consult with Federal, State, and local health officials. This description should include, if available, whether the SEA and its LEAs have received support for screening testing from their State or local health department based on funding awarded by the CDC; and

Response: The state coordinated a network of statewide task forces to inform aspects of prevention, mitigation, response, and recovery from the pandemic events and effects. Federal resource coordination and information flow was primarily accomplished through federal and state agency representatives in the task force environment. The primary vehicle for guidance and information to individual LEAs was through regular webinars with Idaho public school administrators and staff, the Idaho Superintendents Network, the Idaho School Boards Association, and formal policy guidance from the Board / SEA and the Department. LEAs were incorporated into technical working groups with Education Liaisons through the 7 Public Health Districts (PHDs) as well

as county Emergency Managers to support regional and local decision-making and resource requests. These technical working groups assisted in determining critical information and process development related to screening, testing, essential worker testing, community situational awareness and healthcare resource allocation specific to the education environment. This coordination pattern from federal partners to statewide task forces to local technical working groups and ultimately to the local governing body comprised the primary support structure for coordination and guidance throughout the preparation and response phase of operations.

- iv. Any guidance, professional learning, and technical assistance opportunities the SEA will make available to its LEAs.

Response: The Department will continue to hold informational webinars for LEA administrators and staff to provide ongoing and uninterrupted support for their needs in responding to COVID. The Department will facilitate the Idaho Superintendents Network, a professional learning community that works with superintendents across Idaho's LEAs. These monthly gatherings allow for direct and timely communication with district administrators, providing information that will facilitate nimble transitions in instruction delivery throughout the highly dynamic environment the pandemic has created in our schools. The Governor's Office convened a K-12 Emergency Council made up of superintendents representing each educational region of the state, charter school representatives, and State Board of Education members. This group continues to meet to identify issues, share information and inform LEA's in the regions. The Board / SEA will update and maintain the relevant guidance documents described in our responses in Section B.1.i-iii. The Board / SEA will continue to maintain and update a primary webpage focused on COVID resources that support Idaho schools in the year ahead, and the Department will maintain the pandemic resource page located on the its website. A link to the state coronavirus resource website is available here, <https://coronavirus.idaho.gov/resources-for-schools/>. Additionally, the Department will continue to offer professional development opportunities to support educators in implementing instructional practices and programs to address the academic impact of unfinished learning and the social and emotional challenges created by COVID-19. Efforts to address social and emotional impacts include a statewide professional development activity, the Idaho Prevention and Support Conference, for all K-12 educators to learn about school safety and prevention support programs. This event most recently occurred in April of 2021, with more than 800 Idaho educators in virtual attendance. The Department will be offering this opportunity again in the Spring of 2022. Further, the Idaho Rural Education Association has as their mission to expand the quality of learning and educational opportunities in rural schools and communities. Partly in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, this association offers a resource list of mental health providers who will travel to rural and remote locations throughout the state to support the emotional and mental health needs of students.

2. Safe Return to In-Person Instruction and Continuity of Services Plans: Describe how the SEA will ensure that its LEAs that receive ARP ESSER funds meet the requirements in section 2001(i) of the ARP Act and the requirements relating to the

ARP ESSER funds published in the Federal Register and available at <https://oese.ed.gov/offices/american-rescue-plan/american-rescue-plan-elementary-and-secondary-school-emergency-relief/> (ARP ESSER requirements) to either: (a) within 30 days of receipt of the funds, develop and make publicly available on the LEA's website a plan for the safe return to in-person instruction and continuity of services, or (b) have developed and made publicly available on the LEA's website such a plan that meets statutory requirements before the enactment of the ARP Act, including:

- i. How the SEA will ensure that each LEA plan includes, or will be modified to include, the extent to which it has adopted policies and a description of any such policies on each of the strategies listed in table B1;
- ii. How the SEA will ensure that each LEA plan describes how it will ensure continuity of services including but not limited to services to address the students' academic needs, and students' and staff social, emotional, mental health, and other needs, which may include student health and food services;
- iii. How the SEA will ensure that the LEA periodically reviews, no less frequently than every six months for the duration of the ARP ESSER grant period (i.e., through September 30, 2023),² and revises as appropriate, its plan, and how the SEA will ensure that the LEA seeks public input, and takes such input into account on (1) whether revisions are necessary and, if so, (2) the revisions to the plan; and
- iv. Describe, to the extent the SEA collects it, information about LEA implementation, to the greatest extent practicable, of each element of the most up-to-date CDC guidance listed in table B1 and its LEAs' needs for support and technical assistance to implement strategies consistent, to the greatest extent practicable, with relevant CDC guidance.

Response: In October 2020, LEAs posted a Back-to-School Plan on their website or made them available through their district offices, which was verified by Board / SEA staff. This was five months prior to the enactment of ARP ESSER. A checklist form (see Appendix E) by which LEAs can compare their original plan and the ARP Act ESSER LEA plan requirements will be made available. This checklist may be used for LEAs to verify their existing plans meet Federal requirements and identify any amendments they will need to make to come into compliance. LEAs whose Back-to-School plan included input from stakeholders and is publicly available on the LEA's website as identified under Sec. 2001(i)(1) and (2), but does not address all the requirements of the April 22, 2021 Federal Register, will have until November 24, 2021 (6 months after May 24, 2021) to revise and post its plan. Any LEA whose Back-to-School plan does not meet the requirements under Sec. 2001(i)(1) and (2) will have 30 days within receipt of the funds to develop and make publicly available a Safe Return

² ARP ESSER funds are subject to the Tydings amendment in section 421(b) of the General Education Provisions Act, 20 U.S.C. 1225(b), and are therefore available to SEAs and LEAs for obligation through September 30, 2024. Review and revisions of these plans, if necessary, are not required during the Tydings period.

plan that meets statutory requirements (August 1, 2021). All LEAs must complete the Safe Return to In-Person Instruction Checklist and post it on their website with their Back-to-School Plan by August 1, 2021. Department staff will visit each LEA website for these documents beginning August 2, 2021. Technical assistance and support will be provided, as needed, for any LEA that does not meet the minimum statutory requirements, which includes meaningful stakeholder input. For a copy of the Safe Return to In-Person Instruction and Continuity of Services Plans Checklist, see Appendix E.

C. Planning for the Use and Coordination of ARP ESSER Funds

The Department recognizes that seeking input from diverse stakeholders is essential to developing plans for the use of ARP ESSER funds that are responsive to the needs of students, families, and educators. In this section, SEAs will describe their plans for consultation and for coordinating the use of ARP ESSER funds with other resources to meet the needs of students.

1. SEA Consultation: Consistent with the ARP ESSER requirements, describe how the SEA engaged in meaningful consultation with stakeholders, and incorporated input into its plan, including, but not limited to:
 - i. students;
 - ii. families;
 - iii. Tribes (if applicable);
 - iv. civil rights organizations (including disability rights organizations);
 - v. school and district administrators (including special education administrators);
 - vi. superintendents;
 - vii. charter school leaders (if applicable);
 - viii. teachers, principals, school leaders, other educators, school staff, and their unions; and
 - ix. stakeholders representing the interests of children with disabilities, English learners, children experiencing homelessness, children and youth in foster care, migratory students, children who are incarcerated, and other underserved students.

The description must include how the SEA provided the public the opportunity to provide input in the development of the plan, a summary of the input (including any letters of support), and how the SEA took such input into account.

Response: As soon as Idaho received the ARP ESSER State Plan template on April 22, 2021, the Department staff met several times to identify team leads for each section of the plan and to develop a core stakeholder list for initial communication. On May 5, 2021, a letter went out from the Department's Chief Deputy Superintendent to stakeholders. The letter invited stakeholders to provide input into Idaho's ARP ESSER Plan, included the timeline for developing and submitting the Plan, and provided a process for stakeholders to identify sections of interest. The same communication was sent out again May 7, 2021 from the Department's Deputy Superintendent of Communications and Policy.

Team leads scheduled meetings for their sections between May 10-May 18, 2021, and invited interested stakeholders to participate. The opportunity to collaborate was communicated to a variety of stakeholders including superintendents, Board / SEA staff, Governor's Office staff, Division of Financial Management staff, Legislative Services Office staff, Idaho Association of School Administrators, Idaho School Boards Association, Idaho Education Association, LEA business managers, Nez Perce Tribe Education Director, Idaho Business for Education, Idaho Association of Commerce and Industry, Bluum, Idaho PTA Association Board, and the Department's directors of Special Education, Indian Education, Certification, Student Engagement and Safety Coordination, Assessment and Accountability, and Federal Programs.

Additionally, Superintendent of Public Instruction Sherri Ybarra is currently accepting applications through June 25, 2021, for a new Student Advisory Council. This statewide panel will include representation from students in grades 2 – 11 from different backgrounds, cultures, and school size. Through this council, Idaho students will have an opportunity to share their voices on important educational topics, including the Idaho ARP ESSER SEA State Plan implementation. This group will meet quarterly either virtually or in person.

A link to the first draft of Idaho's ARP ESSER State Plan was published on the State Department of Education's website (www.sde.idaho.gov) from May 21– June 1, 2021 for public review. Sixteen individuals and organizations provided input on the draft Plan posted on the Department's webpage. These stakeholders represented district administrators and business managers, a retired counselor, local school board member, a grandparent, United Way, College of Idaho, New Classrooms, and interested citizens. Stakeholder input included support and gratitude in using part of the ARP ESSER State Set-Aside Reserve 3% for LEAs who do not receive Title I-A allocations. Support for Innovation Zones to address unfinished learning was promoted by New Classrooms. Support was expressed for using the State Set-Aside Reserve for students with unique needs such as those students who are homeless, migratory, English Learners, or with disabilities. Several stakeholders described support for using full-service community-based afterschool and summer enrichment providers as a valuable resource and partner to schools in addressing the academic, social and emotional needs of students. Support for using chronic absenteeism as a measure for identifying students was included. There was some confusion expressed about the two LEA plans and the need for approval by the local school board prior to submission to the State Department of Education. Support was also expressed for additional educator professional development for social emotional health and positive behavioral interventions and supports (PBIS) as well as the importance of good nutrition and feeding children at school. All feedback documentation is on file with the Department.

The Board's / SEA's Accountability Oversight Committee (AOC) met May 27, 2021 to read the draft plan and make comments. This feedback was provided to the Department on June 1st and the input was incorporated into the final draft.

A stakeholder feedback review committee was convened on June 2, 2021 to review the public comment feedback and make recommendations for changes to the plan. Twenty

seven participants representing the Idaho Educators Association, LEA administrator, Charter Commission, Board / SEA, Idaho School Boards Association, Idaho Business for Education, Idaho Association of Commerce and Industry, Idaho legislators, Bluum, Idaho Hispanic Commission, Idaho Division of Financial Management, Education Northwest REL and Comprehensive Center 17, and the Department's executive staff and directors from the Indian Education, Special Education, Assessment and Accountability, Certification, and Federal Programs departments discussed the comments and provided suggestions for plan revisions. The final version of the Idaho ARP ESSER State Plan submitted to the State Board of Education / SEA for consideration and submittal to the U.S. Department of Education reflects discussions from this meeting.

The Board/ SEA met June 15, 2021, to review and approve Idaho's ARP ESSER State Plan and delegate submittal of the plan by the SEA's representative to the U.S. Department of Education.

In addition to the stakeholders represented in the development of Idaho's plan, Idaho has engaged representation from two additional vulnerable populations of students: advocates for students in the foster care system and advocates for children and youth incarcerated in the juvenile justice system. The number of students in the foster care system fluctuated between 1,800 and 1,900 during the 2020-2021 school year, and approximately 5,000 students were served in Neglected or Delinquent facilities during this same period. The Board / SEA and State Department of Education are committed to both of these underserved populations. The State Department of Education's Foster Care liaison works closely with LEAs to ensure students are provided transportation to their school of origin when determined to be in their best interest. Additionally, quarterly meetings are held between the State Department of Education staff and Health and Welfare Family and Children Services Division to develop practices, procedures and policies to support K-12 students in the foster care system. The State Department of Education's Foster Care Liaison also participates in the U.S. Department of Education and American Bar Association Foster Care Community of Practice. This group assists other states working to strengthen their foster care processes. A stakeholder meeting was conducted fall 2021 with LEA foster care liaisons representing the different Idaho regions and staff from the Department of Health and Welfare. All participants expressed agreement and support for the three core priorities outlined in the Idaho ARP ESSER State Plan. The stakeholder group focused on each component and the impact for students in foster care. During the discussion, the liaisons expressed the desire to address each of these elements in a collaborative and meaningful process through quarterly regional meetings working collaboratively with neighboring districts, Department of Health and Welfare Regional Supervisors, and local community organizations. Through these joint quarterly meetings, resources will be shared, relationships will be strengthened, community resource locators will be identified and highlighted, and LEAs will share practical and effective strategies for utilizing ESSER funds to identify, reengage, and support students in foster care by focusing on evidenced-based interventions to address lost instructional time.

Idaho's Title I-D Coordinator is a member of the Idaho Juvenile Justice Commission / State Advisory Group and participates in quarterly meetings where specific needs

related to justice-involved youth are discussed. This Commission includes representation from the Department of Corrections, the Department of Juvenile Corrections, and the Juvenile Detention Centers and their partner LEAs. Subpart 1 entities have not had any disruptions in in-person instruction since the beginning of COVID-19 in March 2020. Students in Department of Corrections and Juvenile Corrections facilities have maintained seamless, consistent instruction by qualified staff. Subpart 2 entities, including the Juvenile Detention Centers and their partner LEAs, did experience partial disruptions in In-person instruction when facilities were closed to outside visitors, which included instructors, beginning March 2020. These entities had to pivot similar to how public LEAs had to in preparing for remote learning. In April 2020, the Title I-D State Coordinator made individual calls to each Subpart 2 entity and provided technical assistance and support in moving from in-person to remote learning. As a result of the data collected from these calls, the summer 2021 Subpart 2 Application was revised to include a section for addressing a move to remote learning when needed. On October 8, 2021, the State Coordinator provided a link to Idaho's ARP ESSER State Plan, summary of highlights in the Plan, and surveyed all Juvenile Justice Commission participants for general and specific feedback. Feedback was received from Title ID Subpart 1 and Subpart 2 grantees, county probation officers, Idaho Department of Juvenile Corrections staff, and a Vocational Rehabilitation counselor from the Shoshone-Paiute Tribe. The majority of responses showed strong support for the state's three focus areas – improving connectivity statewide, supporting unfinished learning, and providing social/emotional support for students. Our Title ID Subpart 1 grantee, the Idaho Department of Juvenile Corrections had the least disruptions to student learning with face-to-face learning continuing while most schools in the state provided remote/online learning. Transition to and from facilities support and data collection were somewhat impacted by COVID while family engagement was impacted the most in all Neglected or Delinquent sites throughout the state. LEAs worked closely with Juvenile Detention site technology staff to pivot and provide remote services through multiple secure online platforms, to meet to collaborate regarding specific student needs, and to connect students to families remotely. In ranking the strategies of support for neglected, delinquent or at-risk students from most impactful to least impactful, stakeholders felt that ARP-ESSER funds should be used to 1) reduce chronic absenteeism & improve re-engagement strategies, 2) meet unfinished learning needs/gaps, 3) support credit recovery options, 4) meet the social/emotional needs of students, 5) engage students with Career & Technical Education programs, 6) connect students with community-based afterschool programs, and 7) provide summer enrichment activities. Stakeholders also felt that they would benefit from more professional development opportunities provided by the ISDE. Representatives from the Juvenile Justice Commission will continue to be engaged as the Idaho ARP ESSER State Plan is implemented and amended as needed.

State Department staff continue to provide bi-weekly ESSER reports on its website, updates on the implementation of the state's ARP ESSER State Plan to the Board / SEA, and quarterly virtual/in-person updates to the public. As the Idaho ARP ESSER State Plan is implemented, the Board / SEA and Department of Education staff will continue to invite and engage all stakeholders including representation from students, families, tribes, civil rights organizations, including the Hispanic Commission, school staff,

district administrators, special education administrators, charter school leaders, as well as advocates for children with disabilities, English learners, children experiencing homelessness, children in foster care, migratory students, children who are incarcerated, and any other identified underserved students.

Additional stakeholders include associations connected with education such as the PTA Association Board, staff from the Governor’s office, business, commerce and industry leaders, and Board / SEA and State Department of Education staff.

2. Coordinating Funds: Describe to what extent the SEA has and will coordinate Federal COVID-19 pandemic funding and other Federal funding. This description must include:
 - i. How the SEA and its LEAs 1) are using or have used prior to the submission of this plan and 2) plan to use following submission of this plan, Federal COVID-19 funding under the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (“CARES”) Act and the CRRSA Act to support a safe return to and safely maximize in-person instruction, sustain these operations safely, and address the disproportionate impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on individual student groups (including students from low-income families, children with disabilities, English learners, racial or ethnic minorities, students experiencing homelessness, children and youth in foster care, and migratory students);

Complete the table below or provide a narrative description.

Table C1.

Funding Source	Prior/current SEA and LEA uses (including funding amounts, if applicable)	Planned SEA and LEA uses (including funding amounts, if applicable)
CARES Act ESSER I: \$47,854,695 Idaho allocated 100% of its ESSER I funds, including the State Set-Aside Reserve to LEAs.	LEA: \$43,069,226 total See Appendix F for LEAs’ Reimbursement by Category	Idaho does not collect LEAs’ future/planned uses of funds.
	\$3,785,469 – LMS/Blended Learning	
	\$1,000,000 – SEL activities	
CARES Act GEER I:	\$1,036,000 – funds to Career Technical Ed for PD to teachers	Idaho does not collect LEAs’ future/planned uses of funds.
	\$742,000 – funds to Career Technical Ed for PD to teachers	
	\$3,813,800 – funds to Idaho Digital Learning Alliance	
	\$839,700 – funds to Idaho Public Television	
	\$368,250 - STEM	
	\$25,000 – Building Safety	
CRRSA Act ESSER II: \$195,890,413	LEA: \$176,301,372 total discretionary flow through. The Governor signed the supplemental appropriation into law May 10, 2021 and LEAs received access to half of these funds May 20, 2021 and the remaining funds July 1, 2021, per the legislature. Data on how LEAs spend these funds are not yet available.	100% of the State Set-Aside Reserve has been obligated. There are no additional planned uses of funds at the state level. The state does not collect LEAs’ planned uses of funds.

	SEA: \$19,589,041 total \$11,851,302 – allocations to LEAs who do not receive a Title I-A allocation and low funded ESSER II LEAs \$300,000 – administration costs \$6,137,700 – HB22 \$1,300,000 – Content and Curriculum Digital Tech	
CRRSA Act GEER II: \$6,857,039	These funds have not been obligated yet.	

Response: In addition to the GEER funds, the Governor approved the funding request of the Board / SEA for flowthrough grants to LEAS administered by the Department:

- \$99 M Special Distribution,
- \$24,920,000 Blended Learning for devices, connectivity, professional development, assistive technology and a learning management system
- \$1,000,000 for Technology for 60 LEAs with high poverty needs
- \$1,000,000 for LEAs that did not receive a Title I-A allocation.

- ii. To what extent ESSER I and ESSER II funds have been awarded to LEAs and, if funds have not yet been made available to LEAs, when they will be. In addition, please provide any available information on the total dollar amounts of ESSER I and ESSER II funds that have been obligated but not expended by the SEA and its LEAs, including whether the SEA is able to track LEA obligations.

Response: CARES Act ESSER I funds were awarded to LEAs on June 24, 2020. The Board / SEA allocated 100% of its 10% State Set-Aside Reserve to LEAs for LMS/Blended Learning and SEL activities. The Board / SEA and Department do not track LEA obligations, only reimbursements. In Idaho, Federal funds are subject to legislative appropriation. Governor Little signed the supplemental appropriation for CRRSA Act ESSER II funds into law May 10, 2021. LEAs received access to approximately half of these funds May 20, 2021 and will receive access to the remaining funds July 1, 2021, per the legislature. The 10% State Set-Aside Reserve of \$19,589,041 has been obligated by the Board / SEA:

- \$11,851,302 – allocations to LEAs who did not receive a Title I-A allocation and low funded ESSER II LEAs
- \$300,000 – administration costs

The legislature approved:

- \$6,137,700 – HB22 – an additional appropriation based on increased attendance for two Charter LEAs
- \$1,300,000 – Content and Curriculum Digital Tech (\$1,000,000 must be used for research-based programs to assist with the instruction of students with non-English or limited-English proficiency for learning loss while \$300,000 may be used by the Department to contract for services that provide technology education opportunities and/or information technology certification to students and faculty that prepare students for college, career, or the workplace.

Idaho does not track LEA obligations.

- iii. In supporting LEAs as they plan for the safe return to and continuity of in-person instruction and for meeting the academic, social, emotional, and mental health needs of students resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic, the extent to which the SEA is also using other Federal funding sources including but not limited to under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (“ESEA”), IDEA, Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (“WIOA”), funding for child nutrition services, and McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, and the funds to support the needs of students experiencing homelessness provided by section 2001(b)(1) of the ARP Act.³

Response: LEAs are highly encouraged to coordinate and leverage all their Federal funds, including ESEA, IDEA, McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, and child nutrition funds, to meet the academic, social, emotional, and mental health needs of students resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic as they plan for the safe return to and continuity of in-person instruction.

D. Maximizing State-Level Funds to Support Students

The Department recognizes that States have an extraordinary opportunity to address the disproportionate impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on underserved students through the ARP Act’s required State set-asides to address the academic impact of lost instructional time, provide summer learning and enrichment programs, and provide comprehensive afterschool programs. In this section, SEAs will describe their evidence-based strategies for these resources.

1. Academic Impact of Lost Instructional Time: Describe how the SEA will use the funds it reserves under section 2001(f)(1) of the ARP Act (totaling not less than 5 percent of the State’s total allocation of ARP ESSER funds) on evidence-based interventions to address the academic impact of lost instructional time by supporting the implementation of evidence-based interventions, such as summer learning or summer enrichment, extended day, comprehensive afterschool programs, or extended school year programs, and ensure that such interventions respond to students’ academic, social, emotional, and mental health needs. The description must include:
 - i. A description of the evidence-based interventions (e.g., providing intensive or high-dosage tutoring, accelerating learning) the SEA has selected, and the extent to which the SEA will evaluate the impact of those interventions on an ongoing basis to understand if they are working;

³ Please note that the needs of students experiencing homelessness must be addressed (along with the other groups disproportionately impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic) through the use of the ARP ESSER SEA reservations and the required LEA reservation for the academic impact of lost instructional time; the funding provided to support the needs of students experiencing homelessness by section 2001(b)(1) of the ARP Act is in addition to the supports and services provided with ARP ESSER funds.

In an effort to level the playing field for small, rural districts, the Board / SEA will use 2.5% of the state reserve funds, as outlined in Section D.4. Emergency Needs, to provide funds to the Bureau of Educational Services for the Deaf and the Blind and LEAs who are receiving little to no funding through the ARP ESSER LEA funds, as distributed based on the Title I formulas. No other state reserve funds will be distributed to LEAs. Please see Section D.4. Emergency Needs for additional details regarding distribution of state reserve funds to LEAs.

The Board / SEA will use the state reserve funds to address the impact of lost instructional time, through the following three targeted activities.

Data Systems, Research and Monitoring

The Board / SEA will use state-level funds to support expanding data systems needed for research regarding the impact of the pandemic and ongoing monitoring of the impact of strategies implemented by the state and LEAs. This will include costs to program the state longitudinal data system to support expanded data collection and costs related to analysis and research, including engaging with contractors as needed. A primary focus of this work will be ensuring we have research and data systems capacity to accurately identify students disproportionately affected by the pandemic, including research on the impact on each of the student groups listed in A.3.i-viii. Funds used for collecting, researching and monitoring the impact of loss instructional time and the impact of evidence-based interventions that support learning loss will be tracked separately from state-level funds designated for other purposes such as evidenced based summer learning and enrichment programs and evidenced based comprehensive afterschool programs. The use of funds will be tracked to assure they are only expended for allowable uses.

The Accountability Oversight Committee (AOC), an ad hoc committee of the Board / SEA, will review data related regarding the effectiveness of the state's implementation of the ARP ESSER Plan no less than two times per year. Based on this analysis, the AOC will make recommendations to the Board / SEA regarding any adjustments that should be considered to improve the use of funds or implementation. The data that will be included in the AOC's annual report is provided romanette ii below. The analysis will include a focus on the disproportionate impact of the pandemic on certain groups of students, including those listed in A.3.i-viii. In addition to the data outlined in romanette ii below, the AOC will review the state's interim assessment data. As a part of the Idaho's suite of standardized assessments, the Idaho Standards Achievement Test by Smarter Balanced includes the Smarter Balanced Interim Assessments. The Smarter Balanced Interim Assessments are provided at no cost to LEAs, and between 30% and 40% of the state's LEAs have used them in recent years as a part of progress monitoring and/or formative assessment. Moving forward, the Board / SEA will continue to strongly encourage LEAs to

use the Interim Block and Focused Interim Block assessments throughout the year and the Department will provide professional development and communications to support LEAs in effectively using them. The AOC will review a sampling of the Smarter Balanced Interim Assessment data midyear to monitor progress and attempt to identify LEAs that are demonstrating success in accelerating student learning. The AOC recognizes the limitations of the interim assessment data and will take care in making interpretations and using the data.

Statewide Tools to Address Unfinished Learning

The Board / SEA has reviewed national data regarding the impacts of the pandemic and has considered anecdotal evidence received from LEAs has tentatively identified priorities for addressing learning gaps in our state: early English language arts / literacy skills (K-4), middle grades mathematics (4-9), and credit completion and course recovery for high school. The Board / SEA will be conducting an analysis of the state's data to confirm if these areas are those with the greatest need. Once the priority areas are confirmed, the Board / SEA and Department will communicate them to LEAs and will provide professional development and resources to support LEAs in addressing them.

The Board has created the Unfinished Learning Work Group, and has tasked the Unfinished Learning Work Group with identifying evidence-based tools for statewide use. The Unfinished Learning Work Group will work with the Board / SEA to develop specifications for resources and tools to be purchased through a statewide contract using ARP ESSER state reserve funds. These evidence-based tools will be provided to all LEAs for their use in support of accelerated learning. Once the evidence based practices have been identified the state plan will be updated to include the specific evidence based practices.

Professional Development

The Board / SEA will use state reserve funds to provide professional development to LEAs, including administrators and teachers. Professional development will be focused on: a) using data to identify gaps in student learning caused by unfinished learning, with an emphasis on identifying students disproportionately impacted by the pandemic; and b) implementing accelerated learning strategies to address those gaps. Such strategies may include high-dosage tutoring, extended learning time interventions (during or before/after school), acceleration academies (focused instruction in one subject during vacation breaks), with an emphasis on ensuring strategies are tied closely to classroom content (and aligned to the state content standards) and designed to meet the needs of their local student populations.

In addition to strategies focused on addressing disrupted learning, the Board / SEA will use state reserve funds to provide professional development to LEAs

regarding using ARP ESSER funds to increase resources available to students and families to meet students' social, emotional, and mental health needs and will strongly encourage LEAs to set aside funds for this purpose. The Idaho Rural Education Support Network and Idaho Digital Learning Academy have gathered a list of social, emotional, and mental health resources, and the Board / SEA and Department will partner with them to distribute the list to all LEAs. LEAs will be required to indicate how they are addressing these needs in their Use of Funds Plan, as outlined in Section E.

- ii. How the evidence-based interventions will specifically address the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on certain groups of students, including each of the student groups listed in question A.3.i.-viii. When possible, please indicate which data sources the SEA will use to determine the impact of lost instructional time; and

Idaho's previous assessment data has demonstrated gaps between subgroups of students and their peers, including students from low socioeconomic backgrounds, those receiving special education services, English Learners, and students from diverse ethnic backgrounds. The Board / SEA is committed to determining the extent to which these gaps have been exacerbated by the pandemic. As previously indicated, the Board / SEA has reviewed national data related to the impacts of the pandemic and has engaged in preliminary discussions regarding prioritizing efforts to address learning gaps. However, the Board / SEA is looking forward to reviewing Idaho's data to determine if the national trends related to learning gaps and disproportionate impact hold true in our state. As outlined in Section D1.i., above, the Board / SEA will use state reserve funds to support expansion of our data and research capacities to ensure we have an accurate understanding of the student groups disproportionately affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. The Board / SEA has tasked the AOC with reviewing the data and reporting to the Board. An emphasis of the AOC's analysis will be the disproportionate impact of the pandemic on certain groups of students, including those listed in A.3.i.-viii.

The statewide data to be used to determine the disproportionate impact of the pandemic on certain groups of students will be compiled by the Department annually into Idaho's Student Achievement Report. The Student Achievement Report will be analyzed by the AOC, and the committee will provide recommendations to the Board regarding policies and strategies that need to be adjusted to address student needs and improve achievement. The majority of the data to be included in the Student Achievement Report is already collected by the state; however, the Department and the AOC will be comparing and analyzing the data in new ways in order to identify students disproportionately impacted by the pandemic. The 2022 Student Achievement Report will include the following data:

- Opportunity to Learn Survey Results
- Longitudinal representation of cohort performance on state standardized assessments, including the Idaho Standards Achievement Test and the Idaho Reading Indicator
- Comparison of performance on the state standardized assessment by student subgroups (low socioeconomic, special education, English learners, homeless, migrant, and foster).
- Comparison of performance on state standardized assessment by locale designation (rural vs. urban)
- Comparison of performance on state standardized assessment by attendance
- Comparison of graduation rates by student subgroups and by attendance
- Comparison of secondary student grades (with a focus on failure grade rates) by student subgroups and by attendance
- Comparison of Go On rates by student subgroups

As outlined in Sections A and E of this plan, the state will distribute the AOC's Annual Report, including the Student Achievement Report to all LEAs. The Board / SEA will use state reserve funds, as outlined in Section D1.i. above, to provide professional development to support LEAs in conducting similar analyses of their LEA-level data. The Board / SEA and Department will encourage LEAs to consider other locally available data, such as benchmark and formative assessments and teacher feedback, that they may use to identify and support students disproportionately impacted by the pandemic. Further, the professional development provided by the state will include information regarding how to use accelerated learning strategies to address the needs of students disproportionally impacted by the pandemic, including how strategies can be adjusted to target the student groups listed in A.3.i.-viii. LEAs will also be provided with evidence-based tools purchased by the state to address the needs of all students, with an emphasis on those disproportionately impacted by the pandemic. Such strategies may include high-dosage tutoring, extended learning time interventions (during or before/after school), acceleration academies (focused instruction in one subject during vacation breaks), with an emphasis on ensuring strategies are tied closely to classroom content (and aligned to the state content standards) and designed to meet the needs of their local student populations.

Finally, the Board / SEA will require LEAs to indicate the evidence-based strategies they will use to address the needs of individual students, including students within subgroups disproportionally impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic, in their Use of Funds Plans, as outlined in Section E.

- iii. The extent to which the SEA will use funds it reserves to identify and engage 1) students who have missed the most in-person instruction during the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years; and 2) students who did not consistently participate in remote instruction when offered during school building closures.

The Board / SEA will review the AOC's Annual Report, which will include an analysis of data comparing the performance of students on statewide standardized assessments based on their enrollment (movement between LEAs) and attendance, including those identified as chronically absent. Professional development will be provided to LEAs regarding use of the statewide data and how they can conduct similar analysis at the LEA level. LEAs will be guided to use their attendance and absenteeism data to identify students who missed the most instruction during the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years, and those whose attendance is problematic during the 2021-2022 school year. To support LEAs in addressing the needs of students who missed substantial instruction, professional development will be provided to LEAs regarding how they can use a tiered system of support to improve student attendance and engagement. The tiered system of support will be based on resources available through AttendanceWorks, as previously recommended to the Board by the Accountability Oversight Committee.

The Board / SEA will offer LEAs with no or low formula-based allocations and those who use their LEA-level ARP ESSER funds and have exhausted other funding sources, but still need additional funds to implement the tiered system of support, the opportunity to apply for funds from the state.

2. Evidence-Based Summer Learning and Enrichment Programs: Describe how the SEA will use the funds it reserves under section 2001(f)(2) of the ARP Act (totaling not less than 1 percent of the State's total allocation of ARP ESSER funds) for evidence-based summer learning and enrichment programs, including those that begin in Summer 2021, and ensure such programs respond to students' academic, social, emotional, and mental health needs. The description must include:
 - i. A description of the evidence-based programs that address the academic, social, emotional, and mental health needs of students (e.g., providing intensive or high-dosage tutoring, accelerating learning) the SEA has selected, and the extent to which the SEA will evaluate the impact of those programs;

As previously indicated, the Board / SEA will use 2.5% of the state reserve funds, as outlined in Section D.4. Emergency Needs, to provide funds to the Idaho Bureau of Educational Services for the Deaf and the Blind and LEAs who are receiving little to no funding through the ARP ESSER LEA funds, as distributed based on the Title I formulas. No other state reserve funds will be distributed to LEAs. The Bureau of Educational Services for the Deaf and the Blind and LEAs who receive funds through the Emergency Needs set aside may use those funds for summer learning and enrichment programs. Please see Section D.4. Emergency Needs for additional details regarding distribution of state reserve funds to LEAs.

The Board / SEA will use the state reserve funds for summer learning and enrichment programs in the following ways.

Data Systems, Research and Monitoring

As outlined in Section D1.i. above, the Board / SEA will use state-level funds to support expanding data systems needed for research regarding the impact of the pandemic and ongoing monitoring of the impact of strategies implemented by the state and LEAs, including summer learning and enrichment programs. Funds used for collecting, researching and monitoring the impact of loss instructional time and the effectiveness of evidence-based summer learning and enrichment programs will be tracked separately from funding used for identifying the effectiveness of evidence based interventions that support learning loss and the effectiveness of evidenced based comprehensive afterschool programs.

Beginning with summer 2021, LEAs will be required to submit data regarding student enrollment and attendance in summer programs. As the state has not previously collected this data, state reserve funds will be used to program the statewide longitudinal data system for this data collection, and to provide training to districts to ensure they collect and report the data correctly. Gathering this data will allow the Board / SEA to conduct an evaluation of the effectiveness of summer programs. The state may utilize the following data points to evaluate the effectiveness of summer programs:

- Comparison of student scores on the spring Idaho Reading Indicator (prior to participation in summer) and the fall Idaho Reading Indicator (after participation) for grades K-3;
- Comparison of students' scores on the spring Idaho Standards Achievement Test by Smarter Balanced and the Smarter Balanced Interim Comprehensive Assessment administered at the end of summer programs for grades 4-8;
- Student grades for secondary students enrolled in specific courses for the purposes of credit recovery; and
- LEA established pre and post test results.

Statewide Tools to Address Unfinished Learning

As described in Section D1.i. above, the Board / SEA will use state reserve funds to purchase evidence-based tools to support accelerated learning. The tools will be made available to all LEAs and may be used by LEAs in summer learning and enrichment programs. The funds reserved under this section will only be used for evidence based summer learning and enrichment programs and shall be tracked separately from funds used for other purposes. Once the evidence based practices have been identified the state plan will be updated to include the specific evidence based practices. The use of funds will be tracked to assure they are only expended for allowable uses. Once identified, the state

plan will be updated to include specific evidence-based summer learning and enrichment programs.

Professional Development and Resource Distribution

The Board / SEA will use state reserve funds to provide professional development focused on evidence-based summer learning and enrichment. Idaho is participating in the Summer Learning and Enrichment Collaborative, and the Board / SEA and Department will gather and distribute resources to LEAs regarding evidence-based approaches to implementing effective summer programs that address students' academic and social, emotional, and mental health needs, with an emphasis on supporting students disproportionately affected by the pandemic. Professional development aligned to these resources will be provided. Evidence-based practices included in the professional development and/or distributed resources could include:

- facilitation by credentialed teachers with content knowledge;
- focus on single-subject intensives;
- use of rigorous curricula aligned to the state content standards and consistent with the curricula used by the LEA during the school year;
- high-quality enrichment experiences; and
- an emphasis on consistent attendance and engagement.

- ii. How the evidence-based programs will specifically address the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on certain groups of students, including each of the student groups listed in question A.3. i.--viii. When possible, please indicate which data sources the SEA will use to identify students most in need of summer learning and enrichment programs; and

As outlined in Section D1.i-ii., above, the Board / SEA will use state reserve funds to support expansion of our data and research capacities to ensure we have an accurate understanding of the student groups disproportionately affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. The Board / SEA has tasked the AOC with reviewing the data (outlined in D1.ii) and reporting to the Board. An emphasis of the AOC's analysis will be the disproportionate impact of the pandemic on certain groups of students, including those listed in A.3.i-viii.

The Board / SEA will also use state reserve funds to provide professional development to LEAs to support them in conducting internal analyses to identify students within their LEA disproportionately impacted by the pandemic. The Board / SEA and Department will guide LEAs to use spring data, including statewide standardized assessment results and local assessments, to review the needs of individual students and determine which students should be enrolled in summer programs. The Board / SEA will guide LEAs to provide prioritized enrollment in summer learning and enrichment programs to students in their LEA identified as disproportionately affected by the pandemic.

Idaho is participating in the Summer Learning and Enrichment Collaborative, and the Board / SEA and Department will gather and distribute resources and provide aligned professional development to LEAs, as outlined in Section D2.i. above, regarding evidence-based strategies for meeting students' needs, with an emphasis on targeting strategies to effectively support students disproportionately affected by the pandemic.

- iii. The extent to which the SEA will use funds it reserves to identify and engage 1) students who have missed the most in-person instruction during the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years; and 2) students who did not consistently participate in remote instruction when offered during school building closures.

The Board / SEA will use enrollment, attendance, and absenteeism data to identify students who missed the most learning during the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years, as outlined in Section D.1.iii above. Professional development will be provided to guide LEAs in using their attendance and absenteeism data to identify the students who missed the most instruction within their LEA, and LEAs will be guided to prioritize those students for enrollment in summer programs.

Additionally, professional development regarding implementing a tiered support system to address the needs of students demonstrating attendance issues will be provided to LEAs beginning in the 2021-2022 school year.

3. Evidence-Based Comprehensive Afterschool Programs: Describe how the SEA will use the funds it reserves under section 2001(f)(3) of the ARP Act (totaling not less than 1 percent of the State's total allocation of ARP ESSER funds) for evidence-based comprehensive afterschool programs (including, for example, before-school programming), and ensure such programs respond to students' academic, social, emotional, and mental health needs. The description must include:
 - i. A description of the evidence-based programs (e.g., including partnerships with community-based organizations) the SEA has selected, and the extent to which the SEA will evaluate the impact of those programs;

As previously indicated, the Board / SEA will use 2.5% of the state reserve funds, as outlined in Section D.4. Emergency Needs, to provide funds to the Idaho Bureau of Educational Services for the Deaf and the Blind and LEAs who are receiving little to no funding through the ARP ESSER LEA funds, as distributed based on the Title I formulas. No other state reserve funds will be distributed to LEAs. The Bureau of Educational Services for the Deaf and the Blind and LEAs who receive funds through the Emergency Needs set aside may use those funds to implement evidence-based comprehensive after school programs. Please see Section D.4. Emergency Needs for additional details regarding distribution of state reserve funds to LEAs.

The Board / SEA will use the state reserve funds to support implementation of evidence-based comprehensive after school programs in the following ways.

Data Systems, Research and Monitoring

As outlined in Section D1.i. above, the Board / SEA will use state-level funds to support expanding data systems needed for research regarding the impact of the pandemic and ongoing monitoring of the impact of strategies implemented by the state and LEAs, including after school programs. Funds used for collecting, researching and monitoring the impact of loss instructional time and the effectiveness of evidenced based comprehensive afterschool programs will be tracked separately from funding used for identifying the effectiveness of evidence based interventions that support learning loss and the effectiveness of evidence-based summer learning and enrichment programs. Once identified, the state plan will be updated to include specific evidence-based comprehensive after school programs.

Beginning in the 2021-2022 school year, the Board / SEA will require LEAs to submit data about student enrollment and attendance in before and afterschool programs. As the state has not previously collected this data, state reserve funds will be used to program the statewide longitudinal data system for this data collection, and to provide training to districts to ensure they collect and report the data correctly. The Board / SEA will use statewide standardized assessment data, including interim assessments when appropriate, to compare the performance of students attending before and afterschool programs to their peers who do not attend. The Board / SEA will use state-level funds to support data gathering and analysis, as needed.

Statewide Tools to Address Unfinished Learning

As described in Section D1.i. above, the Board / SEA will use state reserve funds to purchase evidence-based tools to support accelerated learning. The tools will be made available to all LEAs and may be used by LEAs within their after school programs. The funds reserved under this section will only be used for evidence based afterschool programs and shall be tracked separately from funds used for other purposes. Once the evidence based practices have been identified the state plan will be updated to include the specific evidence based practices. The use of funds will be tracked to assure they are only expended for allowable uses.

Professional Development

The Board / SEA will use state reserve funds to provide professional development to LEAs on effective implementation of accelerated learning strategies within the after school environment. Further, the Board / SEA will

strongly encourage LEAs to begin or expand partnerships with non-profits and other community agencies that provide or support before and after school programming, and will ensure LEAs understand that they may use LEA-level funds to support these partnerships. The Board / SEA will require LEAs to report how their before and afterschool programs were created, including if they have engaged in new community partnerships or built upon existing partnerships, and to indicate if the number of available spots in before and afterschool programs has increased using ARP ESSER funds. The SEA will also require LEAs to provide information regarding their efforts to increase attendance and participation in before and afterschool programs (or maintain high attendance if previous data indicates it was already strong).

- ii. How the evidence-based programs will specifically address the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on certain groups of students, including each of the student groups listed in question A.3.i.-viii. When possible, please indicate which data sources the SEA will use to identify students most in need of comprehensive afterschool programming; and

As outlined in Section D1.i-ii., above, the Board / SEA will use state reserve funds to support expansion of our data and research capacities to ensure we have an accurate understanding of the student groups disproportionately affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. The Board / SEA has tasked the AOC with reviewing the data (outlined in D1.ii) and reporting to the Board. An emphasis of the AOC's analysis will be the disproportionate impact of the pandemic on certain groups of students, including those listed in A.3.i.-viii.

The Board / SEA will also use state reserve funds to provide professional development to LEAs to support them in conducting internal analyses to identify students within their LEA disproportionately impacted by the pandemic. The Board / SEA and Department will guide LEAs to use data, including statewide standardized assessment results, interim assessments, and/or locally-chosen progress monitoring, to review the needs of individual students and determine which students should be enrolled in before and afterschool programs. The Board / SEA will guide LEAs to provide prioritized enrollment in summer learning and enrichment programs to students in their LEA identified as disproportionately affected by the pandemic.

The Board / SEA will use state reserve funds to provide professional development to LEAs regarding implementing evidence-based accelerated learning strategies in after school programs to ensure students' individual learning gaps are addressed, with an emphasis on targeting strategies to effectively support students disproportionately affected by the pandemic.

- iii. the extent to which the SEA will use funds it reserves to identify and engage 1) students who have missed the most in-person instruction during the 2019-2020

and 2020-2021 school years; and 2) students who did not consistently participate in remote instruction when offered during school building closures.

The Board / SEA will use enrollment, attendance, and absenteeism data to identify students who missed the most learning during the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years, as outlined in Section D.1.iii above. Professional development will be provided to guide LEAs in using their attendance and absenteeism data to identify the students who missed the most instruction within their LEA, and LEAs will be guided to prioritize those students for enrollment in summer before and afterschool programs.

Additionally, professional development regarding implementing a tiered support system to address the needs of students demonstrating attendance issues will be provided to LEAs beginning in the 2021-2022 school year.

4. Emergency Needs: If the SEA plans to reserve funds for emergency needs under section 2001(f)(4) of the ARP Act to address issues responding to the COVID-19 pandemic, describe the anticipated use of those funds, including the extent to which these funds will build SEA and LEA capacity to ensure students' and staff's health and safety; to meet students' academic, social, emotional, and mental health needs; and to use ARP ESSER funds to implement evidence-based interventions.

The Board / SEA will set aside 2.5% of the total state reserve allocation as the statewide Emergency Needs funds, which will be distributed to LEAs that receive no or low formula-based ARP ESSER LEA allocations based on Title I. Additionally, the Board / SEA will use a portion of the Emergency Needs funds to provide funding to the Idaho Bureau of Educational Services for the Deaf and the Blind, as they have not qualified for allocations, but have students in need of services.

The Idaho Bureau of Education Services for the Deaf and the Blind and LEAs with no or low ARP ESSER LEA allocations will receive funds through a state established formula. The Idaho Bureau of Education Services for the Deaf and the Blind and LEAs with no or low LEA ARP ESSER allocations will be required to create and submit Use of Funds Plans, as outlined in Section E, to indicate how they will use their funds, including how they will address the academic, social emotional, and mental health needs of students, with an emphasis on students disproportionately impacted by the pandemic, including the student groups listed in A.3.i.-viii and those who missed the most in-person instruction during the 2019-2020 or 2020-2021 school years. The Board / SEA will allow the Idaho Bureau of Education Services for the Deaf and the Blind and LEAs with no or low ARP ESSER LEA allocations to use the funds provided to them to address the impact of lost instructional time, and to implement evidence-based summer learning and enrichment programs, and/or comprehensive afterschool programs, based on the identified needs of their student populations.

E. Supporting LEAs in Planning for and Meeting Students' Needs

The Department recognizes that the safe return to in-person instruction must be accompanied by a focus on meeting students' academic, social, emotional, and mental health needs, and by addressing the opportunity gaps that existed before – and were exacerbated by – the pandemic. In this section, SEAs will describe how they will support their LEAs in developing high-quality plans for LEAs' use of ARP ESSER funds to achieve these objectives.

1. LEA Plans for the Use of ARP ESSER Funds: Describe what the SEA will require its LEAs to include in LEA plans consistent with the ARP ESSER requirements for the use of ARP ESSER funds, how the SEA will require such plans to be made available to the public, and the deadline by which the LEA must submit its ARP ESSER plan (which must be a reasonable timeline and should be within no later than 90 days after receiving its ARP ESSER allocation). The LEA plans must include, at a minimum:
 - i. The extent to which and how the funds will be used to implement prevention and mitigation strategies that are, to the greatest extent practicable, in line with the most recent CDC guidance, in order to continuously and safely operate schools for in-person learning;
 - ii. How the LEA will use the funds it reserves under section 2001(e)(1) of the ARP Act (totaling not less than 20 percent of the LEA's total allocation of ARP ESSER funds) to address the academic impact of lost instructional time through the implementation of evidence-based interventions, such as summer learning or summer enrichment, extended day, comprehensive afterschool programs, or extended school year programs;
 - iii. How the LEA will spend its remaining ARP ESSER funds consistent with section 2001(e)(2) of the ARP Act; and
 - iv. How the LEA will ensure that the interventions it implements, including but not limited to the interventions under section 2001(e)(1) of the ARP Act to address the academic impact of lost instructional time, will respond to the academic, social, emotional, and mental health needs of all students, and particularly those students disproportionately impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic, including students from low-income families, students of color, English learners, children with disabilities, students experiencing homelessness, children and youth in foster care, and migratory students.

Response: Ensuring LEAs develop high-quality thoughtful plans identifying how they will use their ARP ESSER funds is critical in addressing the significant impact of COVID-19, including keeping schools open for in-person instruction, lost instructional time, and the social and emotional health of both students and staff. The Department has an important role in supporting LEAs in meeting the Federal Register requirements for the ARP ESSER Plan. An LEA ARP ESSER Use of Funds template was developed and will be distributed to LEAs to complete. The Board / SEA expects each LEA's local board of trustees to guide collaborative community efforts to develop their Use of Funds Plans, and is requiring the LEA Plans to be signed by the LEA's superintendent or charter administrator and the president of the LEA's local board of trustees prior to submitting the plan to the Department. See Appendix H for the LEA

ARP ESSER Use of Funds template. This template requires LEAs to address the impact of lost instruction time on academics, social, emotional, and mental health needs of all students with a targeted focus on the students disproportionately impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic, including students from low-income families, students of color, English learners, children with disabilities, students experiencing homelessness, children and youth in foster care, and migratory children. Additionally, Idaho requires LEAs to address interventions to support Native American students and Hispanic students experiencing gaps. These underserved population groups are included in the LEA plan template.

Technical assistance and support in developing the plan will be provided to LEAs until all plans are submitted to the state. State support includes an upcoming webinar in June on the four tiers of evidence-based interventions. The Comprehensive Center 17 organized an Idaho team that is currently participating in the Summer Learning and Enrichment Collaborative. This professional learning community supports organizations that are partnering to provide summer programs that engage students academically, socially and emotionally, particularly those students hardest hit by the pandemic. Information is posted on the ARP ESSER webpage at <https://www.sde.idaho.gov/federal-programs/american-rescue-plan/index.html> , and LEAs are invited to visit the Collaborative website and engage in the series of virtual learning offerings. ESEA Federal Programs coordinators are planning a mini-webinar series later this summer to share specific ideas on how ESSER funds can be used to support the at-risk subgroup populations. This includes students identified as homeless, children and youth in foster care, migratory students, and students in correctional facilities.

LEAs who want to move to a community school model are encouraged. Community schools are an evidence-based framework for coordinating recovery efforts and actively engaging families and partner organizations. The Federal Programs' FACE coordinator is actively involved in supporting LEAs to become community schools. On June 10, 2021, a virtual learning presentation will provide LEAs information on how ESSER funds can support the practical implementation of the community school strategy, ongoing professional development and implementation support. An additional presentation is planned to provide LEAs information on the importance of stakeholder involvement in developing and revising their Back-to-School plans and the LEA ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan.

2. LEA Consultation: Describe how the SEA will, in planning for the use of ARP ESSER funds, ensure that, consistent with the ARP ESSER requirements], its LEAs engage in meaningful consultation with stakeholders, including, but not limited to:
 - i. students;
 - ii. families;
 - iii. school and district administrators (including special education administrators);
 - and

- iv. teachers, principals, school leaders, other educators, school staff, and their unions.

The LEA must also engage in meaningful consultation with each of the following to the extent present in or served by the LEA:

- i. Tribes;
- ii. civil rights organizations (including disability rights organizations); and
- iii. stakeholders representing the interests of children with disabilities, English learners, children experiencing homelessness, children and youth in foster care, migratory students, children who are incarcerated, and other underserved students.

The description must also include how the SEA will ensure that LEAs provide the public the opportunity to provide input in the development of the LEA's plan for the use of ARP ESSER funds and take such input into account.

Response: As part of the LEA ARP ESSER Plan – Use of Funds, LEAs must identify the stakeholder roles providing input, describe the process, including timeline, for involving stakeholders, and describe how the public was given an opportunity to provide input in the development of the plan. Involving stakeholders includes meaningful participation in conversations, discussions, and meetings where plan components are addressed. Examples of obtaining public input could include conducting a public hearing, posting the plan on the LEA's website and soliciting comment, and conducting a survey on sections of the plan. The Department will ensure LEAs are aware of resources available to develop their plan and ensure stakeholder input is included. Staff are currently scheduling a mini-webinar series that includes stakeholder involvement in ESSER use of funds planning and program use of funds for the underserved populations.

- 3. Describe how the SEA will support and monitor its LEAs in using ARP ESSER funds. The description must include:
 - i. How the SEA will support and monitor its LEAs' implementation of evidence-based interventions that respond to students' academic, social, emotional, and mental health needs, such as through summer learning or summer enrichment, extended day, comprehensive afterschool programs, or extended school year programs – including the extent to which the SEA will collect evidence of the effectiveness of interventions employed;

Response: The LEA ARP ESSER Plan template requires the LEA to describe how it will consistently monitor student progress and effectiveness of the strategies/interventions implemented to address gaps in student learning and well-being. The Department will review LEA plans for inclusiveness and will provide direct support for LEAs with gaps in their plans. Information from LEA plans will be

used to devise ongoing specific support plans and deliver through existing state support mechanisms.

The Department will collaborate with federal technical assistance providers (e.g., Region 17 Comprehensive Center), to provide professional development and resource support to LEA staff on topics to be determined through analysis of developed LEA plans. It is anticipated, but not limited to, the following topics:

- Acceleration Academies
 - Devising and best utilizing additional instructional time
 - Before or After School
 - Additional School Days
 - Summer School
 - Common formative assessments
 - Early learning (K-4 literacy)
 - Extended day partnerships (CBOs)
 - Extracurricular Activities
 - High-quality tutoring
 - Mastery learning/Project-based learning
 - Multi-tiered system of supports
 - Narrowing standards
 - SEL and mental health supports
 - Strategic staffing (teacher advocates, advisory, looping)
 - Student voice and perception
 - Transition supports (Pre-K-Elem; Elem- MS; MS-HS; HS-post-secondary/career/beyond)
 - Four tiers of evidence-based interventions
- ii. How the SEA will support and monitor its LEAs in specifically addressing the disproportionate impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on certain groups of students, including each of the student groups listed in question A.3.i.-viii; and

Response: The Accountability Oversight Committee (AOC) is an ad-hoc committee of the Board / SEA that provides the Board with recommendations related to assessment and accountability. The AOC's annual report is focused on analyzing data and providing recommendations regarding policy and implementation strategies the state can put in place to improve student achievement. For its fiscal year 2022 report, the AOC will be including a special focus analyzing the effects of the COVID 19 pandemic on student achievement, including a review of data to determine if disparate impacts exist between subgroups of students. The Department will compile the data into the 2020-2021 Student Achievement Report, and the AOC will analyze the data and make recommendations to the Board / SEA regarding its use. The data will be distributed to LEAs to improve their understanding of any disparate impacts on student subgroups. Professional development will be provided to LEAs on conducting analysis of local data to identify disproportionately impacted students within their LEA and to support development of appropriate intervention strategies.

iii. How the SEA will support and monitor its LEAs in using ARP ESSER funds to identify, reengage, and support students most likely to have experienced the impact of lost instructional time on student learning, such as:

1. Students who have missed the most in-person instruction during the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years;
2. Students who did not consistently participate in remote instruction when offered during school building closures; and
3. Students most at-risk of dropping out of school.

Response: The LEA ARP ESSER Use of Funds template includes a section on identifying, re-engaging, and supporting students who have experienced the impact of unfinished learning. These data will be collected from the LEA plan templates and analyzed at the state level to identify the largest gaps. The state will share the information with LEAs, and these data will identify the areas of greatest need which will inform technical assistance and professional development to support LEAs.

4. Describe the extent to which the SEA will support its LEAs in implementing additional strategies for taking educational equity into account in expending ARP ESSER funds, including but not limited to:

- i. Allocating funding both to schools and for districtwide activities based on student need, and
- ii. Implementing an equitable and inclusive return to in-person instruction. An inclusive return to in-person instruction includes, but is not limited to, establishing policies and practices that avoid the over-use of exclusionary discipline measures (including in- and out-of-school suspensions) and creating a positive and supportive learning environment for all students.

Response: In the LEA ARP ESSER Use of Funds template, LEAs must describe how remaining funds will be allocated to schools and for districtwide activities based on student need to support student success both equitably and inclusively. These data will provide a state-level view of where professional development and support is needed. Additionally, the Board / SEA has approved the use of SEA set-aside/reserve fund for LEAs that do not receive a Title I-A allocation and, therefore, do not receive an ESSER formula allocation in recognition of their COVID pandemic needs that require funding to address. As a result, with the ESSER I round of funding, LEAs that did not receive a Title I-A allocation received “equitable like” funding from one of the Governor’s COVID relief funding sources. With CRRSA Act ESSER II and ARP ESSER, the Board / LEA approved funding these same LEAs using monies from the State Set-Aside Reserve.

In an effort to provide financial transparency, the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires public schools to report per-pupil federal and non-federal costs, and for states to collect and publish this information. Idaho reports this information on its report card website at <https://idahoschools.org/> under the Non-Academics section of each LEA’s

page. The new maintenance of equity requirement under the American Rescue Plan (ARP) will further protect funding levels for those high poverty LEAs and schools.

F. Supporting the Educator Workforce

The Department recognizes the toll that the COVID-19 pandemic has taken on the Nation’s educators as well as students. In this section, SEAs will describe strategies for supporting and stabilizing the educator workforce and for making staffing decisions that will support students’ academic, social, emotional, and mental health needs.

1. Supporting and Stabilizing the Educator Workforce:

- i. Describe the extent to which the State is facing shortages of educators, education administration personnel, and other school personnel involved in safely reopening schools, and the extent to which they vary by region/type of school district and/or groups of educators (e.g., special educators and related services personnel and paraprofessionals; bilingual or English as a second language educators; science, technology, engineering, and math (“STEM”) educators; career and technical education (“CTE”) educators; early childhood educators). Cite specific data on shortages and needs where available.

Complete the table below, changing or adding additional rows as needed, or provide a narrative description.

Table F1.

Area	2018-2019 Idaho State Student to Area Ratio*	2019-2020 Idaho State Student to Area Ratio*	2020-2021 Idaho State Student to Area Ratio*
Special educators	24 special education students per special educator	23 special education students per special educator	21 special education students per special educator
Paraprofessionals – special education	11 special education students per special education paraprofessional	10 special education students per special education paraprofessional	11 special education students per special education paraprofessional
English as a second language educators	180 English language students per English as a second language educator	145 English language students per English as a second language educator	129 English language students per English as a second language educator
Paraprofessionals – English as a second language	103 English language students per English as a second language paraprofessional	113 English language students per English as a second language paraprofessional	104 English language students per English as a second language paraprofessional
School counselors	421 students per school counselor 31 out of 176 LEAs had no school counselors	413 students per school counselor 31 out of 180 LEAs had no school counselors	403 students per school counselor 39 out of 185 LEAs had no school counselors
Social workers	5796 students per school social worker	5673 students per school social worker	5822 students per school social worker

Area	2018-2019 Idaho State Student to Area Ratio*	2019-2020 Idaho State Student to Area Ratio*	2020-2021 Idaho State Student to Area Ratio*
	144 out of 176 LEAs had no school social workers	149 out of 180 LEAs had no school social workers	152 out of 185 LEAs had no school social workers
Nurses	1969 students per school nurse 130 out of 176 LEAs had no school nurses	1902 students per school nurse 131 out of 180 LEAs had no school nurses	1825 students per school nurse 135 out of 185 LEAs had no school nurses
School psychologists	1755 students per school psychologist 107 out of 176 LEAs had no school psychologists	1686 students per school psychologist 109 out of 180 LEAs had no school psychologists	1704 students per school psychologist 117 out of 185 LEAs had no school psychologists

*The number for each area is determined by an individual serving in at least one (1) applicable assignment and counts that person as one individual (full or part time), and does not include those who were contracted by outside vendors.

- ii. Describe how the SEA will assist its LEAs in identifying the most urgent areas of shortages or potential shortages, with particular plans for individual LEAs facing the most significant needs (e.g., by avoiding layoffs, providing high-quality professional learning opportunities, and addressing the impact of stress or trauma on educators). Include a description of how other Federal COVID-19 funding (e.g., ESSER and GEER funds under the CARES Act and CRRSA Act) have already been used to avoid layoffs during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Response: To recruit and retain certificated individuals in the identified shortage areas, the Department will provide the following professional development opportunities which is in alignment with the second recommendation of the Educator Pipeline Subcommittee of the Our Kids, Idaho's Future – Final Report to continue to grow statewide professional development efforts for educators:

- The Department partnered with NNU to develop behavioral health and wellness professional development specific to grade level. For a list of opportunities click [here](#).
- Free Youth Mental Health First Aid twice a month
- Free Suicide Prevention Gatekeeper training, both online and community trainings
- Various workshops on behavioral health and wellness at the annual Prevention and Support Conference
- Various workshops on mental health at Idaho School Mental Health conference, in collaboration with the Idaho School Counselors Association, the Idaho School Psychologist Association, and the Association of Idaho School Social Workers.

- Implementation and technical support for student wellness programs in Idaho elementary, middle, and high schools via Idaho Lives Project and Sources of Strength.
- Management and oversight of the Garrett Lee Smith Grant and the ID-AWARE (Addressing Wellness and Resilience in Education) Grant.
- SEA provides PD for educators with a special education focus throughout the year through the SEA and SESTA. For a list of trainings please see the ITC link at <https://idahotc.com/>

Idaho LEAs have had access to CARES Act ESSER funds since June 24, 2020. Approximately, half of CARES Act funds have been expended and drawn down from LEAs as of May 3, 2021. For CARES Act ESSER, 25% of these expended funds have been used for salaries and benefits to avoid, in part, layoffs during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The Idaho legislature has been given spending authority for CRRSA Act funds, and these budget appropriations were signed into law by the Governor the week of May 10, 2021. These funds are being made available to LEAs in the Grant Reimbursement Application (GRA) program, for which LEAs will have access the week of May 17, 2021.

- iii. Describe the actions the SEA will take to fill anticipated gaps in certified teachers for the start of the 2021-2022 school year and to what extent the SEA will further support its LEAs in expanding the educator pipeline and educator diversity while addressing the immediate needs of students disproportionately impacted by the pandemic (e.g., recruiting teaching candidates to provide high-dosage tutoring or implementing residencies for teacher candidates).

Response: The following are options provided by the Board / SEA to fill areas of need in certified educators for the 2021-2022 school year.

- Three alternative authorization options to allow educators to serve as a teacher of record, school counselor of record, and social worker of record while they are completing a program that leads to certification.
- Four non-traditional routes to teacher certification that allows teachers to serve as the teacher of record while they are finishing the requirements for certification.
- Emergency provisional certification for areas a school district or charter school identify as a declared emergency hire.

The Department has a *Be an Educator* webpage for the recruitment of certified educators. The Department will continue to update the website to include options and routes for certification.

The Department will provide Career Fairs through already established funding to assist LEAs in recruitment of certified educators. The Career Fair will include information

for LEAs on how they can use different types of funding to cover costs for the preparation of their staff that are working toward certification as well as the professional development opportunities provided by the Department.

2. Staffing to Support Student Needs: Describe the extent to which the SEA has developed or will develop strategies and will support its LEAs in increasing student access to key support staff within school buildings, including school counselors, special education personnel, nurses, social workers, and psychologists (e.g. hiring additional personnel or freeing up these staff to focus on providing services to students).

Response: The Department has a *Be an Educator* webpage for the recruitment of certified educators. The Department will continue to update the website to include options and routes for certification.

The Board / SEA and Department of Education will collaborate with Department of Labor and PERSI on ideas for recruiting individuals for employment opportunities.

The Department will provide Career Fairs through already established funding to assist LEAs in recruitment of certified educators. The Career Fair will include information on how LEAs can use different types of funding to cover costs for the preparation of their staff that are working toward certification. In addition, information will be provided on opportunities for preparation of paraeducators to increase the number of qualified paraeducators available to LEAs.

The Department will provide resources of ideas on options for classified staff/paraprofessionals to free up teachers, school counselors, school social workers, school nurses, and school psychologists to focus on providing services to students.

The Department to create a website with resources available for behavioral health and wellness.

G. Monitoring and Measuring Progress

The Department recognizes that transparency on how ARP ESSER funds are used and their impact on the Nation's education system is a fundamental responsibility of Federal, State, and local government. In this section, SEAs will describe how they are building capacity at the SEA and LEA levels to ensure high-quality data collection and reporting and to safeguard funds for their intended purposes.

1. Capacity for Data Collection and Reporting: It is important for an SEA to continuously monitor progress and make adjustments to its strategies, as well as to support its LEAs in making adjustments to LEA strategies, based on impact. Describe how the SEA will ensure its capacity and the capacity of its LEAs to collect data on reporting requirements, including but not limited to the examples of reporting requirements described in the SEA's Grant Award Notification (listed in Appendix B). Describe the SEA's capacity and strategy to collect data from its LEAs (disaggregated by student group, where applicable), to the greatest extent practicable, including any steps the SEA

will take to build its capacity in the future (which may include the use of ARP ESSER and other Federal COVID-19 pandemic funds at the SEA and LEA levels), on issues that may include the following:

- i. Student learning, including the academic impact of lost instructional time during the COVID-19 pandemic;
- ii. Opportunity to learn measures (e.g., chronic absenteeism; student engagement; use of exclusionary discipline; access to and participation in advanced coursework; access to technology, including educator access to professional development on the effective use of technology; access to high-quality educators; access to school counselors, social workers, nurses, and school psychologists; and results from student, parent, and/or educator surveys);
- iii. Fiscal data that is comparable across the State (e.g., per-pupil expenditures at the LEA and school levels);
- iv. Jobs created and retained (by position type);
- v. Participation in programs funded by ARP ESSER resources (e.g., summer and afterschool programs); and
- vi. Other reporting requirements reasonably required by the Secretary (please refer to Appendix B of this template; final requirements will be issued separately).

Response: The Board / SEA will ensure LEAs have the capacity to collect and report data for all identified metrics by maintaining existing systems and using SEA set-aside ARP ESSER funds to expand the state's statewide longitudinal data system and support data management, research, and analysis. The Board / SEA and Department have been thoughtful in prioritizing use of existing data for analysis and only adding requirements for new data submission when necessary. Additionally, the Board / SEA may use SEA funds to address capacity issues at the LEA level, if needed. The existing data management and reporting system for the Idaho Standards Achievement Test in English Language Arts/Literacy, Mathematics, and Science includes the results of interim assessments that LEAs may administer to students throughout the year to identify learning needs relative to the state content standards. LEAs who use these optional assessments can view their results in this system and the state can access this information as well. The state's early literacy assessment also incorporates a monthly progress monitoring system that LEAs can use to support younger students and that the state can access as needed.

Data on coursework, chronic absenteeism, exclusionary discipline, and school personnel characteristics will continue to be available in the state's longitudinal data system, via which LEAs submit information several times throughout the year.

Idaho LEAs will also continue to administer student, parent, and staff engagement surveys in the 2021-2022 school year. Fiscal data, including per-pupil expenditures by LEA and school, are also available through the existing data infrastructure and will continue to be included on the state and local report cards, (available at IdahoSchools.org).

To assist LEAs in reporting these data, the Department will also generate consistent, streamlined templates with associated guidance. This approach will mirror the process the Department already uses for state intervention and remediation funds, where LEAs report the specific amount allocated towards personnel, technology, curriculum, professional development, or other resources.

Consistent with Appendix B, the state will also produce more general guidance to assist LEAs in creating appropriate policies that allow them to safely maintain in-person learning in the summer and during school year 2021-2022. This guidance will build on the state's Back to School Framework.

2. Monitoring and Internal Controls: Describe how the SEA will implement appropriate fiscal monitoring of and internal controls for the ARP ESSER funds (e.g., by updating the SEA's plan for monitoring funds and internal controls under the CARES and CRRSA Acts; addressing potential sources of waste, fraud, and abuse; conducting random audits; or other tools). In this response, please describe the SEA's current capacity to monitor ARP ESSER; steps, if needed, to increase capacity; and any foreseeable gaps in capacity, including how the SEA will provide its LEAs with technical assistance in the anticipated areas of greatest need.

Response: As ARP ESSER funds are released, Idaho will provide regular trainings to LEAs about the rules for using these funds and effective strategies for identifying local high priority needs. The state will base these strategies on the analysis components outlined in Section A. These steps will assist LEAs in setting up appropriate and effective use of these funds at the start of the process. For reporting, Idaho currently maintains a Grant Reimbursement Application (GRA) that educational entities use to provide documentation about the use of funds for federal flow through grants. However, this application does not include all of the reporting information associated with the use of ARP ESSER funds, such as the amount of funds spent on unfinished learning and on social/emotional needs. Consequently, to support appropriate monitoring of these funds, the state will create a separate ARP ESSER tracking system that includes all of the associated federal reporting requirements. LEAs receiving these funds will be required to report their use of funds on a quarterly basis. Staff members will review all submissions and follow up with any entities who have not submitted their information or who have submitted information, insufficient data, or a questionable use of funds. Each submission period, staff will randomly select five percent of the LEAs for more detailed auditing.

The state will also regularly track changes in the identified priority metrics over time to help determine whether interventions have been effective or require refinement. Particular attention will be paid to the effectiveness of support for traditionally underserved student groups, such as Native American and Hispanic students. Idaho anticipates it will be able to develop and support this new ARP ESSER monitoring framework with existing staff, who are well practiced in assisting LEAs in appropriately using and reporting on other sources of funds.

Appendix A: School Operating Status and Instructional Mode Data Template

Indicate the date or time period represented by the following data.

Response: The data below are current as of 5/13/2021. The data in Table 1 are based on the current LEA-level operating status information that Idaho tracks. The possible values are “Online,” “Virtual School,” “Hybrid,” “In Person with Online School Option,” and “In Person.” To align with the categories in Table 1, these values are mapped as follows:

- Online and Virtual School entries = Remote or online only
- Hybrid and In Person with Online School Option = School buildings open with remote/online and in-person instruction (hybrid)
- In Person = School buildings open with full-time in-person instruction

Since Idaho only captures these data at the LEA level, all schools within an LEA are considered to have the same status as the LEA as a whole to generate the counts in Table 1. It is also impossible to fully discern with the existing data whether a particular educational environment is being offered to all students or some students. Consequently, schools are listed as offering the option associated with their operating status to all students. All other schools are listed in the “Not offered” category. Based on these caveats, users should be cautious in interpreting these data.

Table 1

In the most recent time period available, how many schools in your State offered each mode of instruction or learning model described below? Each row should account for all schools in your State, so that, for each row, the sum of the numbers in the “offered to all students,” “offered to some students,” and “not offered” columns is equal to the number in the “all schools” column.

Add or change rows as needed

Number of schools	All schools	Offered to all students	Offered to some students	Not offered
Remote or online only	760	17	-	743
School buildings open with both remote/online and in-person instruction (hybrid)	760	294	-	466
School buildings open with full-time in-person instruction	760	449	-	311

To the extent data are available, please complete the above table for 1) all schools in the State, and 2) separately for each instructional level (e.g., pre-kindergarten/elementary schools, middle schools, high schools).

Response: Due to these underlying data limitations discussed above, the state is not able to confidently disaggregate the figures by instructional level.

Table 2

In the most recent time period available, what was the enrollment and mode of instruction for the schools in your State?

Add or change rows as needed

Response: The data in Table 2 are based on enrollment data as of 5/13/2021. Students who are enrolled in more than one entity are counted in each location. Student enrollment data are merged with the operational status information summarized above Table 1.

Table 2. Enrollment and mode of instruction

Number of students	Total enrollment	Remote or online only	Both remote/online and in-person instruction (hybrid)	Full-time in-person instruction
Students from low-income families	98,070	4,160	38,159	55,751
White, not Hispanic	229,134	7,538	91,784	129,812
Black or African American, not Hispanic	3,335	64	1,297	1,974
Hispanic, of any race	57,759	1,418	27,674	28,667
Asian, not Hispanic	3,460	65	1,436	1,959
American Indian or Alaskan Native, not Hispanic	3,211	70	1,347	1,794
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, not Hispanic	976	21	429	526
Two or more races, not Hispanic	9,415	443	4,236	4,736
Race/Ethnicity information not available	NA	NA	NA	NA
English learners	18,601	126	8,725	9,750
Children with disabilities	33,918	1,040	14,691	18,187
Students experiencing homelessness	5,521	149	2,132	3,240
Children and youth in foster care	1,105	40	433	632
Migratory students	3,758	0	2,236	1,522

Appendix B: Reporting Language Included in the Grant Award Notification (“GAN”)

As described in the Grant Award Notification (“GAN”), the SEA will comply with, and ensure that its LEAs comply with, all reporting requirements at such time and in such manner and containing such information as the Secretary may reasonably require, including on matters such as:

- How the State is developing strategies and implementing public health protocols including, to the greatest extent practicable, policies and plans in line with the CDC guidance related to mitigating COVID-19 in schools;
- Overall plans and policies related to State support for return to in-person instruction and maximizing in-person instruction time, including how funds will support a return to and maximize in-person instruction time, and advance equity and inclusivity in participation in in-person instruction;
- Data on each school’s mode of instruction (fully in-person, hybrid, and fully remote) and conditions;
- SEA and LEA uses of funds to meet students’ social, emotional, and academic needs, including through summer enrichment programming and other evidence-based interventions, and how they advance equity for underserved students;
- SEA and LEA uses of funds to sustain and support access to early childhood education programs;
- Impacts and outcomes (disaggregated by student subgroup) through use of ARP ESSER funding (e.g., quantitative and qualitative results of ARP ESSER funding, including on personnel, student learning, and budgeting at the school and district level);
- Student data (disaggregated by student subgroup) related to how the COVID-19 pandemic has affected instruction and learning;
- Requirements under the Federal Financial Accountability Transparency Act (“FFATA”); and
- Additional reporting requirements as may be necessary to ensure accountability and transparency of ARP ESSER funds.

Appendix C: Assurances

By signing this document, the SEA assures all of the following:

- The SEA will conduct all its operations so that no person shall be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subject to discrimination under the ARP ESSER program or activity based on race, color, national origin, which includes a person's limited English proficiency or English learner status and a person's actual or perceived shared ancestry or ethnic characteristics; sex; age; or disability. These non-discrimination obligations arise under Federal civil rights laws, including but not limited to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments Act of 1972, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and the Age Discrimination Act of 1975. In addition, the SEA must comply with all regulations, guidelines, and standards issued by the Department under any of these statutes;
- The SEA will comply with all ARP Act and other ARP ESSER requirements and all requirements of its Grant Award Notification, including but not limited to:
 - Complying with the maintenance of effort provision in section 2004(a)(1) of the ARP Act, absent a waiver by the Secretary pursuant to section 2004(a)(2) of the ARP Act; and
 - Complying with the maintenance of equity provisions in section 2004(b) of the ARP Act, and ensuring its LEAs comply with the maintenance of equity provision in section 2004(c) of the ARP Act (please note that the Department will provide additional guidance on maintenance of equity shortly);
- The SEA will allocate ARP ESSER funds to LEAs in an expedited and timely manner and, to the extent practicable, not later than 60 days after the SEA receives ARP ESSER funds (i.e., 60 days from the date the SEA receives each portion of its ARP ESSER funds). An SEA that is not able to allocate such funds within 60 days because it is not practicable (e.g., because of pre-existing State board approval requirements) will provide an explanation to the Department within 30 days of receiving each portion of its ARP ESSER funds (submitted via email to your Program Officer at [State].OESE@ed.gov (e.g., Alabama.OESE@ed.gov)), including a description of specific actions the SEA is taking to provide ARP ESSER funds to LEAs in an expedited and timely manner and the SEA's expected timeline for doing so;
- The SEA will implement evidence-based interventions as required under section 2001(f) of the ARP Act and ensure its LEAs implement evidence-based interventions, as required by section 2001(e)(1) of the ARP Act;
- The SEA will address the disproportionate impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on underserved students (i.e., students from low-income families, students from racial or ethnic groups (e.g., identifying disparities and focusing on underserved student groups by race or ethnicity), gender (e.g., identifying disparities and focusing on underserved student groups by gender), English learners, children with disabilities, students experiencing homelessness, children and youth in foster care, and migratory students), as required under section 2001(f) of the ARP Act, and ensure its LEAs address the disproportionate impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on underserved students (i.e., students from low-income families, students from racial or ethnic groups, gender, English learners, children with disabilities, students experiencing homelessness, children and youth in foster care, and migratory students), as required by section 2001(e)(1) of the ARP Act; and

- The SEA will provide to the Department: (1) the URL(s) where the public can readily find data on school operating status and (2) the URL(s) for the SEA and/or LEA websites where the public can find the LEA plans for a) the safe return to in-person instruction and continuity of services required under section 2001(i) of the ARP Act, and b) use of ARP ESSER funds. SEAs should consider ensuring a standardized URL format in all cases (e.g., xxx.gov/COVIDplan).

Appendix D

OMB Control No. 1894-0005 (Exp. 06/30/2023)

NOTICE TO ALL APPLICANTS

The purpose of this enclosure is to inform you about a new provision in the Department of Education's General Education Provisions Act ("GEPA") that applies to applicants for new grant awards under Department programs. This provision is Section 427 of GEPA, enacted as part of the Improving America's Schools Act of 1994 (Public Law (P.L.) 103-382).

To Whom Does This Provision Apply?

Section 427 of GEPA affects applicants for new grant awards under this program. **ALL APPLICANTS FOR NEW AWARDS MUST INCLUDE INFORMATION IN THEIR APPLICATIONS TO ADDRESS THIS NEW PROVISION IN ORDER TO RECEIVE FUNDING UNDER THIS PROGRAM.**

(If this program is a State-formula grant program, a State needs to provide this description only for projects or activities that it carries out with funds reserved for State-level uses. In addition, local school districts or other eligible applicants that apply to the State for funding need to provide this description in their applications to the State for funding. The State would be responsible for ensuring that the school district or other local entity has submitted a sufficient section 427 statement as described below.)

What Does This Provision Require?

Section 427 requires each applicant for funds (other than an individual person) to include in its application a description of the steps the applicant proposes to take to ensure equitable access to, and participation in, its Federally-assisted program for students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries with special

needs. This provision allows applicants discretion in developing the required description. The statute highlights six types of barriers that can impede equitable access or participation: gender, race, national origin, color, disability, or age. Based on local circumstances, you should determine whether these or other barriers may prevent your students, teachers, etc. from such access to, or participation in, the Federally-funded project or activity. The description in your application of steps to be taken to overcome these barriers need not be lengthy; you may provide a clear and succinct description of how you plan to address those barriers that are applicable to your circumstances. In addition, the information may be provided in a single narrative, or, if appropriate, may be discussed in connection with related topics in the application.

Section 427 is not intended to duplicate the requirements of civil rights statutes, but rather to ensure that, in designing their projects, applicants for Federal funds address equity concerns that may affect the ability of certain potential beneficiaries to fully participate in the project and to achieve high standards. Consistent with program requirements and its approved application, an applicant may use the Federal funds awarded to it to eliminate barriers it identifies.

What are Examples of How an Applicant Might Satisfy the Requirement of This Provision?

The following examples may help illustrate how an applicant may comply with Section 427.

- (1) An applicant that proposes to carry out an adult literacy project serving,

among others, adults with limited English proficiency, might describe in its application how it intends to distribute a brochure about the proposed project to such potential participants in their native language.

(2) An applicant that proposes to develop instructional materials for classroom use might describe how it will make the materials available on audio tape or in braille for students who are blind.

(3) An applicant that proposes to carry out a model science program for secondary students and is concerned that girls may be less likely than boys to enroll in the course, might indicate how it

intends to conduct "outreach" efforts to girls, to encourage their enrollment.

(4) An applicant that proposes a project to increase school safety might describe the special efforts it will take to address concerns of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender students, and efforts to reach out to and involve the families of LGBT students.

We recognize that many applicants may already be implementing effective steps to ensure equity of access and participation in their grant programs, and we appreciate your cooperation in responding to the requirements of this provision.

Idaho's GEPA Statement:

Idaho adheres to Section 427 of the General Education Provisions Act (GEPA) through its policies and practices for barrier-free programs. In carrying out its educational mission, the Board / SEA and Department ensures to the fullest extent possible equitable access to, participation in, and appropriate educational opportunities for all individuals served. Board / SEA and Department is committed to ensuring that Federally funded activities, programs, and services are accessible to all teachers, students, program beneficiaries, contractors, and the public. The Board / SEA and Department ensures equal access and participation to all persons regardless of their race, color, ethnicity, religion, national origin, age, citizenship status, disability, gender or sexual orientation in its education programs, services, and/or activities. For state-level activities as well as all other activities supported by federal assistance through our electronic grant application, Idaho enforces all federal and state laws and regulations designed to ensure equitable access to all program beneficiaries and to overcome barriers to equitable participation. The Board / SEA and Department holds LEAs accountable for ensuring equal access and providing reasonable and appropriate accommodations to meet the needs of a diverse group of students, staff, community members and other participants. LEAs are provided training on Section 427 GEPA and are required to annually upload their GEPA statement in the Consolidated Federal and State Grant Application (CFSGA). Specifically, all State Department of Education public facing documents are made accessible and we continue to make information on Idaho programs available in Spanish and other languages as needed. The State Department of Education works with LEAs to make information on its programs available to Special Education teachers and administrators. The State Department of Education continues to work with LEAs to make data available on its programs to the districts, schools, and the public to ensure equitable access and participation.

Estimated Burden Statement for GEPA Requirements

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3 hours per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. The obligation to respond to this collection is required to obtain or retain benefit (Public Law 103-382). Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Ave., SW, Washington, DC 20210-4537 or email ICDocketMgr@ed.gov and reference the OMB Control Number 1894-0005.

Appendix E: Safe Return to In-Person Instruction and Continuity of Services Plan Checklist

Instructions: All Idaho LEAs must complete this Safe Return to In-Person Instruction Checklist and post it on their website with their Back-to-School Plan by August 1, 2021.

LEA # and Name:
DATE LEA's 2020-2021 Back-to-School Plan was approved by the local school board:
Website link to the LEA's current Back-to-School Plan:

Mitigation Strategies Information Required to be Included in LEA's Plan		
CDC Recommended Prevention/Mitigation strategies required to be addressed in the LEA plan by the U.S. Department of Education (Federal Register/Vol. 86, No. 76/Thursday, April 22, 2021/Rules and Regulations)	Does the LEA's <u>current</u> Back to School Plan include information regarding policies applicable to the mitigation strategy? (Note: the LEA is not required to implement all strategies, but the LEA's plan must include information about the LEA's policy about each mitigation strategy)	
Universal and correct wearing of masks	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Modifying facilities to allow for physical distancing (e.g., including use of cohorts/podding)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Handwashing and respiratory etiquette	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Cleaning and maintaining healthy facilities, including improving ventilation	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Contact tracing in combination with isolation and quarantine, in collaboration with the State, local, territorial, or Tribal health departments	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Diagnostic and screening testing	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Efforts to provide vaccinations to school communities	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Appropriate accommodations for children with disabilities with respect to the health and safety policies	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

NARRATIVE Information Required to be Included in LEA's Plan		
Required Information	Does the LEA's <u>current</u> Back to School Plan include this NARRATIVE information?	
How the LEA is addressing and plans to address students' academic needs	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
How the LEA is addressing and plans to address students' social, emotional, mental health, and other needs (which may include student health and food services)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
How the LEA is addressing and plans to address their staff's social, emotional, mental health, and other needs	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
The process for review and revision of the plan (including gathering community / stakeholder input) no less frequently than every six months through September 30, 2023.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
The LEA's need for support and/or technical assistance related to implementing the strategies identified in Table 1 or Table 2, if applicable.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Assurances	LEA Response	
1. The LEA assures that, to the best of the LEA's knowledge and belief, all information in this plan is true and correct.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
2. The LEA engaged in meaningful consultation with stakeholders and gave the public an opportunity to provide input in the development of this plan. Specifically, the LEA engaged in meaningful consultation with students; families; school and district administrators (including special education administrators); and teachers, principals, school leaders, other educators, school staff, and their unions.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
3. The LEA engaged in meaningful consultation with each of the following, to the extent present in or served by the LEA: Tribes; civil rights organizations (including disability rights organizations); and stakeholders representing the interests of children with disabilities, English learners, children experiencing homelessness, children in foster care, migratory students, children who are incarcerated, and other underserved students.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
4. The plan is in an understandable and uniform format; to the extent practicable, written in a language that parents can understand or, if not practicable, orally translated; and, upon request by a parent who is an individual with a disability, will be provided in an alternative format accessible to that parent.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
5. The plan is publicly available on the LEA website.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

NOTES:

- If the LEA developed a Back-to-School plan before ARP ESSER was enacted (March 11, 2021) and that plan was developed with public input, but the plan does not include *all* of the required information, as outlined in Table 1 and Table 2 above, the LEA must revise its plan to include all required elements..
- LEAs are required to review and revise their Back-to-School / Safe Return to In-Person Instruction Plan no less frequently than every 6 months.

Date of most recent review of the LEA's current Back-to-School Plan:

If the LEA answered "No" to any elements in Table 1 or Table 2,
LEA Plan Modification Deadline): November 24, 2021 (6 months after May 24, 2021).

If the LEA answered "Yes" to all elements in Table 1 and Table 2, next planned LEA Plan Review Date (no more than 6 months from the last plan review date):

Appendix F: CARES Act FY20 & FY 21 Reimbursements by Category as of June 1, 2021

CARES ACT GRANTS	Salaries and Benefits	Purchased Services	Professional Development	Supplies Materials	Capital Objects	Transportation	Other	Travel	Indirect Cost Collection	Meals	Total
CARES Coronavirus Relief - CFAC											
CARES Coronavirus Relief - CFAC - Special Distribution	\$ 67,598,460.25	\$ 4,971,951.73	\$ 17,739,312.51	\$ 248,936.92	\$ 6,970,319.55	\$ -	\$ 63,565.69	\$ 31,405.90	\$ 1,710.32		\$ 97,625,662.87
CARES Coronavirus Relief - CFAC - Technology	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 88,903.89	\$ 638,356.90	\$ -	\$ 253,358.62	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ 981,424.41
CARES Coronavirus Relief - CFAC - Blended Learning	\$ 1,410,550.41	\$ 1,731,722.85	\$ 14,242,142.00	\$ 417,246.21	\$ 6,090,141.85	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 134,992.08	\$ -		\$ 24,026,795.40
CARES Coronavirus Relief - CFAC - Non ESSER I	\$ 202,472.69	\$ 118,411.88	\$ 400,386.31	\$ 21,400.46	\$ 109,794.07	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,483.06		\$ 853,948.47
CARES Coronavirus Relief - CFAC - Child Nutrition	\$ 168,136.42	\$ 1,785.15	\$ -	\$ 1,463,231.90	\$ 3,431.91	\$ 27,261.51	\$ -	\$ 3,542.34	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,667,389.23
CARES ESSER I											
CARES ESSER I - Flow Through	\$ 12,645,627.61	\$ 1,633,691.44	\$ 5,612,236.83	\$ 32,593.62	\$ 1,986,821.92	\$ 159,820.48	\$ 33,203.34	\$ 455,922.66	\$ 1,743.04		\$ 22,561,660.94
CARES ESSER I - State Set-Aside LMS	\$ 41,675.71	\$ 1,017,667.91	\$ 888,878.30	\$ 42,558.42	\$ 116,898.48	\$ 33,451.36	\$ -	\$ 40,868.84	\$ -		\$ 2,181,999.02
CARES ESSER I - State Set-Aside SEL	\$ 110,121.47	\$ 64,859.63	\$ 123,272.44	\$ 25,754.67	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 1,211.96	\$ -	\$ 4,316.90	\$ 3,315.64		\$ 340,852.71
CARES Child Nutrition State Grant											
CARES Child Nutrition State Grant	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 45,481,967.00	\$ 45,481,967.00
Grand Total	\$ 82,179,544.56	\$ 9,628,994.48	\$ 39,644,585.29	\$ 2,251,722.20	\$ 15,538,766.40	\$ 221,745.31	\$ 96,769.03	\$ 671,048.72	\$ 8,252.06	\$ 45,481,967.00	\$ 195,721,700.05
Percentage	41.9880%	4.9197%	20.2556%	1.1505%	7.9392%	0.1133%	0.0494%	0.3429%	0.0042%	23.2381%	100.0000%
CRRSA ACT GRANTS	Salaries and Benefits	Purchased Services	Professional Development	Supplies Materials	Capital Objects	Transportation	Other	Travel	Indirect Cost Collection	Meals	Total
CRRSA Act - ESSER II F/T	\$ 2,991,249.59	\$ 1,588,239.27	\$ 265,666.63	\$ -	\$ 94,748.60	\$ -	\$ 1,727.49	\$ 309,295.00	\$ -		\$ 5,250,926.58
CRRSA Act - ESSER II Set Aside	\$ 145,466.00	\$ 15,587.96	\$ 37,750.84	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 198,804.80
Grand Total	\$ 3,136,716	\$ 1,603,827	\$ 303,417	\$ 0	\$ 94,748	\$ 0	\$ 1,727	\$ 309,295	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 5,449,731
Percentage	57.5573%	29.4295%	5.5676%	0.0000%	1.7386%	0.0000%	0.0317%	5.6754%	0.0000%	0.0000%	100.0000%

Appendix G: ARP Section 2001(e)(1-2): Use of LEA Funds

2001(e)(1)

(e) USES OF FUNDS.—A local educational agency that receives funds under this section—

(1) shall reserve not less than 20 percent of such funds to address learning loss through the implementation of evidence-based interventions, such as summer learning or summer enrichment, extended day, comprehensive afterschool programs, or extended school year programs, and ensure that such interventions respond to students' academic, social, and emotional needs and address the disproportionate impact of the coronavirus on the student subgroups described in section 1111(b)(2)(B)(xi) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6311(b)(2)(B)(xi)), students experiencing homelessness, and children and youth in foster care.

2001(e)(2)

(2) shall use the remaining funds for any of the following:

(A) Any activity authorized by the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965.

(B) Any activity authorized by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

(C) Any activity authorized by the Adult Education and Family Literacy Act.

(D) Any activity authorized by the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act of 2006.

(E) Coordination of preparedness and response efforts of local educational agencies with State, local, Tribal, and territorial public health departments, and other relevant agencies, to improve coordinated responses among such entities to prevent, prepare for, and respond to coronavirus.

(F) Activities to address the unique needs of low-income children or students, children with disabilities, English learners, racial and ethnic minorities, students experiencing homelessness, and foster care youth, including how outreach and service delivery will meet the needs of each population.

(G) Developing and implementing procedures and systems to improve the preparedness and response efforts of local educational agencies.

(H) Training and professional development for staff of the local educational agency on sanitation and minimizing the spread of infectious diseases.

(I) Purchasing supplies to sanitize and clean the facilities of a local educational agency, including buildings operated by such agency.

(J) Planning for, coordinating, and implementing activities during long-term closures, including providing meals to eligible students, providing technology for online learning to all students, providing guidance for carrying out requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education

Act and ensuring other educational services can continue to be provided consistent with all Federal, State, and local requirements.

(K) Purchasing educational technology (including hardware, software, and connectivity) for students who are served by the local educational agency that aids in regular and substantive educational interaction between students and their classroom instructors, including low-income students and children with disabilities, which may include assistive technology or adaptive equipment.

(L) Providing mental health services and supports, including through the implementation of evidence-based full-service community schools.

(M) Planning and implementing activities related to summer learning and supplemental afterschool programs, including providing classroom instruction or online learning during the summer months and addressing the needs of low-income students, children with disabilities, English learners, migrant students, students experiencing homelessness, and children in foster care.

(N) Addressing learning loss among students, including low-income students, children with disabilities, English learners, racial and ethnic minorities, students experiencing homelessness, and children and youth in foster care, of the local educational agency, including by—

(i) administering and using high-quality assessments that are valid and reliable, to accurately assess students' academic progress and assist educators in meeting students' academic needs, including through differentiating instruction;

(ii) implementing evidence-based activities to meet the comprehensive needs of students;

(iii) providing information and assistance to parents and families on how they can effectively support students, including in a distance learning environment; and

(iv) tracking student attendance and improving student engagement in distance education.

(O) School facility repairs and improvements to enable operation of schools to reduce risk of virus transmission and exposure to environmental health hazards, and to support student health needs.

(P) Inspection, testing, maintenance, repair, replacement, and upgrade projects to improve the indoor air quality in school facilities, including mechanical and nonmechanical heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems, filtering, purification and other air cleaning, fans, control systems, and window and door repair and replacement.

(Q) Developing strategies and implementing public health protocols including, to the greatest extent practicable, policies in line with guidance from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for the reopening and operation of school facilities to effectively maintain the health and safety of students, educators, and other staff.

(R) Other activities that are necessary to maintain the operation of and continuity of services in local educational agencies and continuing to employ existing staff of the local educational agency.

Appendix H: LEA ARP ESSER Use of Funds Template

Instructions: Complete this plan template by engaging meaningful consultation with stakeholders, as identified in the assurances below, and by giving the public an opportunity to provide input in the development of this plan. Submit this plan, or a Plan developed by the LEA that includes all requirements, to Lisa at english@sde.idaho.gov by October 1, 2021.

LEA # and Name:
Website link to the LEA's ARP ESSER Plan – Use of Funds

Section 1: Using ARP ESSER funds for the continuous and safe operation of in-person learning

1. *Describe the LEA's process, including timeline, for engaging meaningful consultation with stakeholders. Identify the stakeholder groups involved. Describe how the public was given an opportunity to provide input in the development of this plan.*
[Open-ended response]
2. *Describe how funds will be used to implement prevention and mitigation strategies that are consistent with the most recent Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidelines⁴ for reopening and operating schools for in-person learning.*
[Open-ended response]
3. *Describe how the LEA will use no less than, 20% of allotted ARP funds to address the academic impact of lost instructional time through the implementation of evidence-based interventions, such as summer learning or summer enrichment, extended day, comprehensive afterschool programs, or extended school year. Specifically, address how the LEA will utilize funds to identify, reengage, and support students most likely to have experienced the impact of lost instructional time on student learning, such as:*
 - a. *Students who have missed the most in-person instruction during the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years;*
 - b. *Students who did not consistently participate in remote instruction when offered during school building closures; and*
 - c. *Students most at-risk of dropping out of school.*
 - d. *Subgroups of students disproportionately impacted by COVID-19, including students from low-income families, students of color, English learners, children with disabilities, students experiencing homelessness, children in foster care, migratory students, Hispanic students, and Native American students.*
[Open-ended response]
4. *Describe how the LEA will spend its remaining ARP ESSER funds consistent with section 2001(e)(2) of the ARP Act (See Appendix G) . In your description, please identify how funds will be allocated to schools and for districtwide activities based on student need to equitably and inclusively support student success.*
[Open-ended response]

⁴ The most recent guidelines can be found here: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/schools-childcare/index.html>

5. *Describe how the LEA will ensure that the interventions it implements, including but not limited to the interventions implemented to address the academic impact of lost instructional time, will respond to the academic, social, emotional, and mental health needs of all students, and particularly those students disproportionately impacted by the COVID–19 pandemic, including students from low-income families, students of color, English learners, children with disabilities, students experiencing homelessness, children in foster care, and migratory students.*

[Open-ended response]

6. *Describe how the LEA will consistently monitor student progress and effectiveness of the strategies/interventions implemented to address gaps in student learning and well-being.*

[Open-ended response]

Section 2: Assurances

Assurance	LEA Response	
1. The LEA assures that, to the best of the LEA's knowledge and belief, all information in this plan is true and correct.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
2. The LEA engaged in meaningful consultation with stakeholders and gave the public an opportunity to provide input in the development of this plan. Specifically, the LEA engaged in meaningful consultation with students; families; school and district administrators (including special education administrators); and teachers, principals, school leaders, other educators, school staff, and their unions. Keep documentation of stakeholder communications and meetings on file at the LEA.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
3. The LEA engaged in meaningful consultation with each of the following, to the extent present in or served by the LEA: Tribes; civil rights organizations (including disability rights organizations); and stakeholders representing the interests of children with disabilities, English learners, children experiencing homelessness, children in foster care, migratory students, children who are incarcerated, and other underserved students. Keep documentation of stakeholder communications and meetings on file at the LEA.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
4. The plan is in an understandable and uniform format; to the extent practicable, written in a language that parents can understand or, if not practicable, orally translated; and, upon request by a parent who is an individual with a disability, will be provided in an alternative format accessible to that parent.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
5. The plan is publicly available on the LEA website.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
[5a] If the LEA response with 'yes', this is an open-ended item for the URL Please provide the URL:		

Superintendent's Printed Name: _____

Superintendent's Signature: _____

Date: _____

School Board President's Printed Name: _____

School Board President's Signature: _____

Date: _____

Submit this plan to Lisa at lenglish@sde.idaho.gov no later than October 1, 2021.



October 28, 2021

Follow-up response to the September 13, 2021 letter regarding Section D of the American Rescue Plan Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief (ARP ESSER) State plan template:

In the letter dated September 13, 2021, the State Board of Education, Idaho's State Education Agency was requested to provide additional detail describing how Idaho will meet the requirements outlined in sections(s) D.1.i-iii, D.2.i-iii, and D.3.i-iii. and provide an update on our progress selecting evidence-based interventions for meeting these requirements. The amendment to Idaho's ARP ESSER State Plan approved by the Board, dated August 26, 2021, provides the state level activities we have or will be initiating to meet these requirements, this addendum identifies specific evidence based resources and practices and further clarification to the plan approved by the Board.

The Board, working with the Governor's Office has established an Unfinished Learning Workgroup to take a deeper dive into various accelerated learning strategies and identify evidence based interventions to address the academic impact of lost instruction time that can be used for summer learning and summer enrichment programs, extended day programs, and comprehensive afterschool or extended school year programs including supports for developing communities of learning.

To date the group has identified the following evidence-based practice guides, school resources, and intervention programs. Additional interventions and resources will be identified over the next year with adjustment made based on LEA level use and success in addressing learning loss for our students.

Practice Guides: <https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/practiceguides>

- Math Specific: <https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/PracticeGuide/16>
- Using Assessment Data: <https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/PracticeGuide/12>
- Out-of-School Time: <https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/PracticeGuide/10>
- Addressing Unfinished Learning After COVID-19 School Closures:
https://www.cgcs.org/CMS/LIB/DC00001581/CENTRICITY/DOMAIN/313/CGCS_UNFINISHED%20LEARNING.PDF
- Collaborative for Student Growth:
https://www.nwea.org/content/uploads/2020/05/Collaborative-Brief_Covid19-Slide-APR20.pdf

Intervention Programs: <https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/FWW>

- Math Specific: <https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/FWW/Results?filters=,Math>

- Literacy Specific: <https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/FWW/Results?filters=,Literacy>
- Numeracy Project: <https://www.isu.edu/rmc/resources/numeracy-project-resources/>

Additional Resources:

- [Mike Mattos - Solution Tree webinar on RTI](#)
- [Delaware Strategy to Accelerate Learning](#)
- [Accelerate, Don't Remediate](#)
- [Promising Practices to Accelerate Learning for Students With Disabilities During COVID-19 and Beyond](#)

Additional work is being done to identify:

- Professional development that supports teacher's use of formative and interim assessment data to inform instruction, avoid misuse of large scale testing data, and identify and monitor progress of those students most severely impacted by learning loss.
- Instructional models/structures (e.g., in-school tutoring model, additional time via summer school, etc.) focused on grade-level content.
- Instructional material for intervention focused on both content and practice standards.
- Classroom instructional strategies that use just-in-time instruction to support learning grade-level content; use word problems and visual models to frame instruction around just-in-time learning; formative assessment and pre-teaching of upcoming topics through visual models.

Idaho will use data from our statewide assessments, including summative and interim assessments as well as data submitted from the local education agencies (LEA) on LEA level assessments to monitor progress and the impact of the evidence-based interventions and the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on all sub groups of students, including each subgroup listed in question A.3.i-viii. The Board's Accountability Oversight Committee (AOC) will be compiling and reporting to the Board results and progress made, as identified by these assessments, based on the different evidenced-based interventions used by the LEAs. Additional resources will be made available to LEAs who do not show evidence of accelerated learning for those student subgroups that have been identified as disproportionately impacted by COVID-19.

Based on the evaluation provided through the AOCs annual report as well as interim data analysis additional resources and instructional support will be provided to LEAs for engaging students who missed the most instructional time or who do not consistently participate in remote instruction when offered during school building closers.

The Unfinished Learning Work Group has identified and the Board has approved an accelerated learning math collaborative proposal as the first evidenced based statewide tool to address unfinished learning. The Board approved this proposal as a statewide tool at its October Regular Board meeting. Additional tools will be brought forward to the Board for consideration as statewide intervention tools as they are identified. Once identified the proposals will go through the state procurement process as applicable to the estimated cost of each evidenced based program. These proposals will be identified based on their use as evidence-based summer learning and enrichment programs, comprehensive afterschool programs, or both. Student growth/accelerated

learning will be monitored for all LEA's and students who participate in these programs and reported regularly to the Board.

Additionally, the Board has contracted with a private company to build a data dashboard and additional reporting tools to help monitor the progress made toward eliminating the unfinished learning gap. This includes a comprehensive analysis on unfinished learning and LEA level access that will help LEAs identify the expected progress of individual students and make adjustments in the individual learning for those students that are not making progress.

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

SUBJECT

Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) Consolidated State Plan Amendment

REFERENCE

June 2017	The Board received an update on the development of and initial draft of Idaho's Consolidated State Plan and provided input and feedback.
August 2017	Board approved Idaho's Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) Consolidated Plan and approved the Department to submit the plan to the U.S. Department of Education on behalf of the Board.
February 2018	Board approved a revised Consolidated State Plan based on review and feedback from the US Department of Education.
December 2018	Board received the Accountability Oversight Committee 2018 Student Achievement Report and Recommendations.
February 2019	Board approved amendments to the Idaho Consolidated State Plan.
August 2019	State Board received an assessment and accountability update.
March 2020	Board approved a waiver submission to the U.S. Department of Education of several reporting and accountability requirements outlined in the Consolidated Plan in response to COVID-19 disruptions. The Board also waived the requirement in 08.02.03.111 for the administration of the Idaho Standard Achievement Test (ISAT) and alternate assessments for students with significant cognitive disabilities, in English Language Arts, Math and Science, in grades 3-8 and high school for the 2019-2020 school year. August 2017 Board approved Idaho's Consolidated Plan and its submission to the US Department of Education.
October 2020	Board received an update on assessment administration and accountability calculations for the 2020-2021 school year.
January 2021	Board approved an accountability addendum based on existing US Department of Education guidance for modifying calculations and identifications following the 2020-2021 school year.

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

April 2021	Board reviewed the proposed accountability waiver and postponed action pending waiver of the 95 percent participation rule in IDAPA 08.02.03.112.
June 2021	Board waived the 95 percent participation rule in IDAPA 08.02.03.112 and approved the accountability waiver and school identification for submission to the US Department of Education.

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY

Section 33-110, Idaho Code

Administrative Code, IDAPA 08.02.03 – Sections 111 and 112

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The U.S. Department of Education (USED) approved Idaho's Consolidated State Plan (Plan) in March 2018. Prior to the 2018/2019 school year, the state implemented its new school accountability system for the first time. In accordance with the Plan, schools have been identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (August 2018), Targeted Support and Improvement (September 2018), and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (October 2018). As we strive for continuous improvement of the system, the State Department of Education (SDE) conducted an evaluation of the accountability system to ensure the individual metrics and the system as a whole functioned as intended. This analysis and stakeholder feedback after the system was operationalized identified areas of the Plan where additional information or updates are necessary to best serve schools and student. The proposed amendments were included in the Accountability Oversight Committee Annual Report presented to the Board in December 2018. A public comment period on the proposed amendments was held from January 11 to February 1, 2019.

Proposed changes are needed to address state accountability changes, small technical corrections, and gather feedback on improving metrics and identification methods. The goal is to finalize potential changes for stakeholder review and Board approval for submission to USED February 2022. Areas of change for consideration:

1. Revise the current Exit Criteria for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Underperforming schools reflecting the school is no longer identified in the bottom 5% and is above the 10th percentile (currently 20th percentile) in proficiency for both ELA and Math.
2. The current growth model is trajectory towards proficiency three years in the future. This model does not adequately reward growth.
3. For targeted support and improvement (TSI), schools can be identified in any (Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) metric and student group based on gap of 35 percentage points or more for three consecutive years. Overidentification is a concern.
4. More rigorous interventions are required under ESSA for schools that do not exit CSI and therefore are re-identified. The first time this will occur is summer of 2022, after spring 2022 ISAT results are analyzed.

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

On November 4, 2021, the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) received a presentation on Idaho's ESSA Consolidated State Plan proposed amendments. There was considerable discussion on using a value table to more accurately reflect growth within achievement bands. Additionally, different approaches to identifying Targeted Support and Improvement schools was also discussed.

IMPACT

This agenda item will provide the Board with an update on proposed changes to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) Consolidated State Plan. Proposed updates will take effect during the current 2021-2022 school year (exit criteria) and for the 2022-2023 school year (identification criteria). Idaho may not implement these changes until the amendment has been approved by USED.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 - ESSA Proposed Amendment PowerPoint

STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Section 33-110, Idaho Code, designates the State Board of Education as the State Educational Agency (SEA) and authorizes the Board to negotiate with the federal government, and to accept financial or other assistance to further the cause of education. The Elementary Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as reauthorized by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) of 2015 requires each state's SEA to submit plans outlining how they will meet the requirements of ESSA to be eligible for the federal funding attached to the requirements. States were allowed to submit individual plans for each Title contained in the law or they had the option to submit a single consolidated plan. Idaho, like most states, submitted a single consolidated plan. The Board approved Idaho's Consolidated State Plan at the August 2017 Board meeting. Provisions in ESSA (34 C.F.R. § 299.13(b) and 299.15(a) – Consultation and Stakeholder Engagement, 34 C.F.R. § 299.13(b) – Public Notice and Outreach and Input, and ESSA § 8540 Governor's Consultation) require much broader stakeholder engagement than was previously required under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act in the development of state plans.

In addition to codifying Idaho's accountability framework requirements for state and federal accountability in administrative rule, IDAPA 08.02.03.112.04 provides that the State Board of Education is responsible for determining methodologies for reporting progress and determining performance on the accountability measures. Any changes to the state accountability framework or the state comprehensive assessment program identified in IDAPA 08.02.03 must be promulgated through the negotiated rulemaking process prior to those amendments being made in the ESEA Consolidated State Plan. Methodologies for determining progress, setting growth and achievement targets, or identifying schools based on the performance measures can be changed through Board action without having to amend or waive any provisions in IDAPA 08.02.03. As the SEA, any amendments or requests for waivers to the ESEA Idaho Consolidated State Plan must be approved by the Board prior to submittal of the plan to the US Department of Education. Any

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

amendments or waivers that conflict with the accountability provisions in IDAPA 08.02.03 would also require a waiver or amendment to those provisions. IDAPA 08.02.03, sets out the metrics used for school and district accountability and designates the State Board of Education as the body responsible for setting annual measurable progress goals and outcomes for schools not meeting those goals. Due to this flexibility, any amendments to the school identification process only require approval of a waiver or amendment to the provisions established in Idaho's Consolidated State Plan.

At the time the Board established the existing accountability framework, the intention was to provide multiple measures of school and district performance that would provide a more complete picture of school performance and opportunities provided to students and that all of the accountability measures would be made publicly available.

The process the Board uses for make amendments to the Consolidated State Plan includes those amendments first being submitted to the Accountability Oversight Committee for their recommendation and then coming to the Board for formal action. Small technical changes or temporary waivers may be submitted directly to the Board for consideration without recommendation from the Accountability Oversight Committee when time is of the essence.

BOARD ACTION



This item is for informational purposes only.



Idaho Assessment & Accountability State Board Meeting

December 15, 2021

Supporting Schools and Students to Achieve
SHERRI YBARRA, ED.S., SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION



ESSA Consolidated State Plan Updates

Context



- Last plan amendment June, 2019
- Need to adjust to address state accountability changes and small technical corrections
- Further interest in improving metrics and identification methods

Today's Discussion



- Goal is to finalize potential changes for stakeholder review and Board approval for submission to ED in February
- Feedback
 - State Technical Assistance Team (STAT) – Fall 2021
 - Idaho Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)-November 4, 2021
- Next steps for input
 - Accountability Oversight Committee (AOC)
 - State Board Meeting, December 15, 2021

Today's Discussion



1. Exit Criteria
2. Growth
3. Targeted Support and Improvement
4. More Rigorous Options (re-identified schools)
5. State Goals



Exit Criteria



Assessment Webinar – November, 2017 | 6

Exit Criteria – Current Model



- To exit Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)
 - No longer identified in bottom 5%
 - ELA and Math proficiency above 20th percentile

Exit Criteria – Current Model



- Concerns
 - 20th percentile is too high
 - Originally set without sufficient data
 - Not able to support a significantly larger group of CSI schools (reidentified + newly identified)

Exit Criteria – ideas under consideration



- Initial analysis suggests 10th percentile more effective in discerning between CSI schools that are and are not making progress
- Consider ranking schools within each category in growth OR proficiency
- Other options?



Growth



Growth – Current Model



- Current growth model is trajectory towards proficiency three years in the future

Growth – Current Model



- Concerns
 - Does not adequately reward growth for students starting at lowest performance level
 - Not meaningful for highest achieving students

Growth – ideas under consideration



- Interest in value table
 - Reflects ongoing stakeholder interest in simplicity/transparency
- How to set points appropriately?
- Other options?



Targeted Support and Improvement



Targeted Support and Improvement



- School can be identified in any CSI metric and student group based on gap of 35 percentage points of more for three consecutive years

Targeted Support and Improvement



- Concerns
 - Overidentification
 - 188 schools identified in 2019 (last year done)
 - Driven by statewide challenges in supporting students with disabilities

Targeted Support and Improvement



- Could increase gap percentage
- But perhaps better overall ways to identify schools with “consistently underperforming” student groups
- Other options?



More Rigorous Interventions



More Rigorous Interventions



- Required for schools that do not exit CSI
- First time this will potentially occur is following this school year
- Not developed in original plan

More Rigorous Interventions



- Concerns
 - Need something meaningful for course correction
 - Still honors local needs

More Rigorous Interventions



- Will discuss latest ideas from STAT for re-identified schools:
 - Comprehensive and Integrated Field Review from independent team
 - Other non-negotiables
- Other ideas?


More Rigorous Interventions



- Reidentified schools will be called CSI-R
- Comprehensive and Integrated Field Review
- Comprehensive needs assessment is conducted, which includes a leadership capacity evaluation, quantitative data analysis, school profile data, educator equity data, financial risk assessments, program analyses, and community input
- Observations of all ELA and Math classes using a standard protocol that aligns with the Danielson framework
- Focus group interviews with teachers, parents, students, and noncertified staff
- Interview LEA, school administrators, and local board member(s)
- Collect and interpret data
- Provide a written report with recommendations for school interventions and systemic changes to SDE; a copy of report to administrators and local Board members

Other Non-Negotiables


- Revision of SWIP tool to reflect recommended changes
- Fiscal audit conducted on the use of funds
- Regular updates and trainings provided to the school board until the school meets the exit criteria
- Idaho Building Capacity school improvement coach assigned to the CSI-R school
- An ELA and math coach assigned to the CSI-R school
- CSI-R school trained on and implement the ISAT interims
- Implementation data for ELA and math teachers clearly identified and collected to understand if practices and interventions are being implemented with fidelity
- As soon as possible, the CSI-R school's master schedule will be revised to include at least 40 minutes weekly of PLC time for teacher to talk about improving student learning
- As soon as possible, the CSI-R school's master schedule will be revised to reflect 90 minutes a day for ELA/reading instruction and 75 minutes a day for math instruction
- Other ideas?



Statewide Goals

Assessment Webinar – November, 2017 | 23

Statewide Goals



- Originally set with starting year of 2016 and ending in 2022
- Goal was to reduce the percentage of students not proficient/not making progress/not graduating by one-third over six years

Statewide Goals



- Concerns
 - Idaho has not come close to meeting goals
 - Original goals were set without meaningful data

Statewide Goals



- How to create targets that are ambitious but achievable?
- Strategies for making goals meaningful for LEAs?
- Other ideas?



Kevin Chandler | Director, Assessment & Accountability
Idaho State Department of Education
650 W State Street, Boise, ID 83702
208.332.6800
kchandler@sde.idaho.gov
<https://www.sde.idaho.gov>



Karen Seay | Director, Federal Programs
Idaho State Department of Education
650 W State Street, Boise, ID 83702
208.332.6800
kseay@sde.idaho.gov
<https://www.sde.idaho.gov/federal-programs/index.html>

Supporting Schools and Students to Achieve

SHERRI YBARRA, ED.S., SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

Presentation Title | 27

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

SUBJECT

Annual English Learners Proficiency Report

REFERENCE

April 2005	Board approved rule for definition of Limited English Proficient
November 2009	Board adopted The Idaho English Language Assessment Achievement Standards as temporary
August 2010	Board adopted The Idaho English Language Assessment Achievement Standards as pending, that were approved in November 2009
June 2014	Board approved proposed rule amendment for clarification and accuracy in definition for Limited English Proficient
November 2015	Board approved pending rule changes to IDAPA 08.02.03.105
August 2016	Board removed the Idaho English Language Assessment (IELA) Achievement Standards
April 2017	Board approved temporary and proposed rule Docket 08-0203-1708.
October 2017	Board approved updated Idaho English Language Proficiency Assessment Achievement Standards and pending and amended temporary rule Docket 08-0203-1708, incorporating them by referencing into administrative rule.
December 16, 2020	Board was provided the 2019-2020 Annual Summary report for the English Learner Program and an English Learner Proficiency update.

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY

IDAPA 08.02.03: Rules Governing Thoroughness, subsection 004- Incorporated by Reference and subsection 111 - Assessment in Public Schools
Elementary Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), Section 1111(c)(4)(A)(ii) English Language Proficiency.

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The English Learner (EL) program assists local education agencies in creating, implementing, and maintaining researched based programs to support students whose primary language at home is other than English. Federal and state requirements help remove barriers and provide equity in learning to ensure English language learners succeed in school. Results from the WIDA ACCESS Placement Test determine program eligibility and inform each student's plan for developing English language skills. The WIDA ACCESS assessment is administered annually to all identified English learners and includes reading, writing, listening, and

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

speaking, resulting in an overall composite score and a scale score in each of the four domains. Beginning with the 2020 ACCESS assessment, a student is considered proficient with a composite score equal to or greater than 4.2 with a minimum score of 3.5 in the reading, writing, and listening domains and a minimum score of 1 in the speaking domain. Idaho's Consolidated State Plan, amended June 18, 2019, identifies the five-year long-term goals for English learners in making progress in achieving proficiency using 2018 data as the baseline. Information about the local education agencies program plan and allocation of funds are included in the English Learner Proficiency update.

IMPACT

This agenda item will provide the Board with an update on the English Learners program, including student proficiency data.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 – English Learner Proficiency Report
Attachment 2 – English Learner Proficiency Update

BOARD STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Pursuant to IDAPA 08.02.03, 111, the English Language Proficiency Assessment is part of Idaho's comprehensive assessment system and must be given annually as applicable to the student eligibility and grade range established in administrative rule. Further, the English Language Development Standards adopted by the Board are the Word-Class Instructional Design and Assessment (WIDA) 2012 English Language Development standards. In addition to these state requirements, the Board has identified the WIDA ACCESS Placement test as one of the tools used to determine a student's eligibility as an English Learner. Eligible students are then assessed annually for English Language proficiency using the WIDA Access 2.0 assessment.

BOARD ACTION

This item is for informational purposes only.

2020-2021 ANNUAL SUMMARY

Idaho English Learner Program



IDAHO STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
ENGLISH LEARNER & TITLE III-A PROGRAM | FEDERAL PROGRAMS DEPARTMENT

Compiled by Maria A. Puga, English Learner Program Coordinator

650 W STATE STREET, 2ND FLOOR
BOISE, IDAHO 83702
208 332 6800 OFFICE
WWW.SDE.IDAHO.GOV

10.27.2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Background	3
State Level Summary	4-5
State English Learner Goals	6
English Language Proficiency Assessment	7
List of Local Education Agency Allocations	8-12

BACKGROUND

The Idaho English Learner (EL) Program and Title III-A assist school districts with federal and state requirements of English Learners (ELs). We help districts create, implement, and maintain development programs that provide equal learning opportunities for ELs. Our goal is to assist Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) to develop their curriculum and teaching strategies which embrace each learner's unique identity to help break down barriers that prevent ELs from succeeding in school.

The Federal Program's English Learner Department oversees state and federal grant requirements, monitoring visits for all Title III-A districts, the state English Learner's 3-year Enhancement Grant, professional development activities, and the English Learner Management System (ELMS).

State Level Summary

During the 2020-2021 school year, the Idaho English Learner Program oversaw 127 English Learner educational programs. Of these LEAs, 87 had only state EL funding and 40 had both state and federal funding, through the Title III-A program. Any LEA which has at least one English Learner enrolled at their school will qualify for state EL funding. LEAs must meet a \$10,000 allocation threshold to qualify for additional federal funding through the Title III-A program.

All Idaho district/charters are required, under the Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, to provide a comprehensive English language proficiency program for students who cannot speak, read, or write English well enough to participate meaningfully in educational programs. Federal and State legislation requires that district/charters provide Language Instruction Educational Programs (LIEP) and services to support the language development of EL students. As part of state and federal guidelines, each LEA includes a Home Language Survey as part of their registration process in order to initially screen students for a language other than English.

LEAs submit their English Learner plans through the Consolidated Federal and State Grant Application (CFSGA) each year. In this plan, the LEAs include their EL program information, core language instructional program, yearly goals, and an annual budget. The EL Program Coordinator reviews each plan, provides feedback and indicates where changes or additions need to be made to ensure each LEA is meeting the state and federal minimum requirements. LEAs must have their plan approved by the program coordinator before funding is distributed.

PROGRAM MONITORING

A State is required to oversee and monitor the activities of its Local Education Agencies (LEAs). In the 2020-2021 school year, five out of 40 Title III-A LEAs were monitored through the Federal Programs Monitoring process. The SDE monitors on a 6-year cycle and uses the following factors to determine district priority:

- Coordination with other Federal Programs
- District requests for program evaluation
- New Title III-A Coordinator and/or New Superintendent
- District improvement status
- Sudden and/or significant increase in the number of English Learners

- Formal compliance complaint filed with the Idaho State Department of Education, Idaho State Board of Education and/or U.S. Department of Education - Office for Civil Rights

Title III-A monitoring involves reviewing an LEA's core instructional education program, certified staffing and proper supervision of paraprofessionals, parent engagement activities, student support, and proper use of funds. Due to Covid-19 related restrictions, LEAs were monitored virtually during the 2020-2021 school year. The following LEAs were monitored during the 2020-2021 school year: Kuna Joint District #003, Heritage Community Charter #481, Aberdeen District #058, Emmett Independent District #221, and Idaho Falls District #091.

STATE ENHANCEMENT GRANTS

The English Learner (EL) Enhancement Grant Program is funded by the state of Idaho through a competitive grant process. The state legislature has earmarked \$450,000 to this enhancement grant and awards range from \$10,000-\$85,000 depending on the chosen project. LEAs have the option of choosing from the following grant options: Implementation of Co-Teaching Model, funding for a Regional Coordinator, or Program Enhancements.

Grantee districts use the funds for additional resources to enhance core EL program services for English learners and to improve student English language skills to allow for better access to the educational opportunities offered in public schools. Grants are funded for three years (2020-2021, 2021-2022, and 2022-2023) with ongoing funding contingent on legislative funding. Each grant recipient creates yearly and three-year goals and works with a grant mentor to ensure benchmarks are being met. An annual report on goal progress by the grantees is developed each year in December on program design, use of funds, goal progress, and program effectiveness. A new grant cycle began in 2020-2021 school year with 12 new grant recipients. For this current grant cycle, there are three Co-Teaching grants, one Regional Coordinator grant, and eight Program Enhancement grants.

State English Learner Goals

The State of Idaho's English Learner Program goals taken from the [2019 Idaho Consolidated Plan](#) reads:


**Table 6b: Percent of Students Making Expected Progress toward English proficiency
2018 baseline, 2023 long-term goal, and 2019-2022 interim targets**

2018 Baseline	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
74.07%	75.80%	77.53%	79.26%	80.98%	82.71%

Idaho will reduce the number of English learners who are not making expected progress to English proficiency, as defined above by 1/3 over five years. This five-year long-term goal has been reset to reflect the change to the expected progress, using 2018 data as the baseline.

The WIDA ACCESS assessment is administered to all identified English Learners, either electronically or paper based, and includes assessments in reading, writing, listening, and speaking. A student will receive an overall composite score and a scale score in each of the four domains. The reading and writing component are weighted 35% each, while speaking and listening are weighted 15% each in the overall composite score.

In 2020-2021, 48.1% of ELs who completed ACCESS for ELLs met the expected progress toward English proficiency metric. This was a 14.3% decrease from the 2019-2020 ACCESS for ELLs test administration. According to the Idaho State Consolidated Plan, the stated goal for students making progress in 2020-2021 was 79.26%.




English Language Proficiency Assessment

WIDA's suite of assessments are used to screen, monitor, and exit Idaho students from a research-based language instruction educational program. Using the WIDA Screener for Kindergarten or the WIDA Screener, districts/charters are able to identify newly enrolled students for additional language support services. After identification, Idaho English learners (ELs) participate annually in a standardized English language proficiency assessment to monitor academic English language proficiency growth in four distinct language domains: Reading, Writing, Listening, and Speaking. The ACCESS for ELLs annual language proficiency assessment is typically administered from the last week in January to the first week in March.

During the 2020-2021 school year, the testing window was January 25th to March 19th, 2021. During this time period, there were 18,126 tests administered. The testing window was extended from March 5th to March 19th due to Covid-19, adding an additional 10 days to provide social distancing considerations.

Below is a performance distribution chart, which reflects the percentage of students scoring at each WIDA performance level: 1- Entering, 2- Emerging, 3- Developing, 4- Expanding, 5- Bridging, and 6- Reaching. The State of Idaho has determined a 4.2 composite score as the benchmark for state proficiency.

ACCESS English Language Proficiency
Idaho Statewide Proportions



2020-2021 List of LEA Allocations

LEAs have the opportunity of multiple funding allocations based on their EL student population. The following table shows funding allocations for: State EL Allocations, , Federal Title III-A Allocations, Title III-A Immigrant Allocations, and State Enhancement Grant Allocations.

*Title III-A LEAs for federal monitoring purposes.

LEA Name	EL Student Population	State EL Allocation	Title III-A Allocation	Title III-A Immigrant Allocation	State Enhancement Grant Allocations
BOISE INDEPENDENT DISTRICT*	2524	\$527,945	\$262,848	\$41,616	\$83,750
NAMPA SCHOOL DISTRICT*	1896	\$408,511	\$197,449	\$0	\$83,750
JOINT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 2*	1804	\$375,879	\$187,868	\$27,370	\$15,000
CALDWELL DISTRICT*	1245	\$260,418	\$129,654	\$0	\$0
VALLIVUE SCHOOL DISTRICT*	1185	\$247,868	\$123,405	\$15,206	\$0
JEROME JOINT DISTRICT*	990	\$207,079	\$103,098	\$30,411	\$15,000
TWIN FALLS DISTRICT*	911	\$190,555	\$94,871	\$0	\$15,000
BLAINE COUNTY DISTRICT*	745	\$155,832	\$77,584	\$0	\$0
IDAHO FALLS DISTRICT*	721	\$150,812	\$75,085	\$0	\$0
CASSIA COUNTY JOINT DISTRICT*	705	\$147,466	\$73,418	\$0	\$0
BONNEVILLE JOINT DISTRICT*	630	\$131,778	\$65,608	\$0	\$0
MINIDOKA COUNTY JOINT DISTRICT*	615	\$128,640	\$64,046	\$0	\$0
WENDELL DISTRICT*	409	\$85,551	\$42,593	\$0	\$0
BLACKFOOT DISTRICT*	348	\$72,791	\$36,241	\$0	\$83,750
AMERICAN FALLS JOINT DISTRICT*	337	\$70,491	\$35,095	\$0	\$0
TETON COUNTY DISTRICT*	319	\$66,726	\$33,221	\$0	\$0
JEFFERSON COUNTY JOINT DISTRICT*	283	\$59,195	\$29,472	\$0	\$0
MOUNTAIN HOME DISTRICT*	270	\$56,476	\$28,118	\$0	\$0
ABERDEEN DISTRICT*	249	\$52,084	\$25,931	\$0	\$0
KUNA JOINT DISTRICT*	235	\$49,155	\$24,473	\$0	\$0
BUHL JOINT DISTRICT*	214	\$44,763	\$22,286	\$0	\$0
GOODING JOINT DISTRICT*	208	\$43,508	\$21,661	\$0	\$0
MADISON DISTRICT*	176	\$36,814	\$18,329	\$0	\$0
HOMEDALE JOINT DISTRICT*	169	\$35,350	\$17,600	\$0	\$0
HERITAGE COMMUNITY CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.*	166	\$34,722	\$17,287	\$0	\$10,000

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

ATTACHMENT 1

MARSING JOINT DISTRICT*	162	\$33,886	\$16,871	\$0	\$0
FREMONT COUNTY JOINT DISTRICT*	161	\$33,677	\$16,766	\$0	\$83,750
PAYETTE JOINT DISTRICT*	153	\$32,003	\$15,933	\$0	\$15,000
FRUITLAND DISTRICT*	150	\$31,376	\$15,621	\$0	\$15,000
WILDER DISTRICT*	150	\$31,376	\$15,621	\$0	\$0
SHOSHONE JOINT DISTRICT*	138	\$28,866	\$14,371	\$0	\$0
WEISER DISTRICT*	132	\$27,611	\$13,746	\$0	\$0
POCATELLO DISTRICT*	120	\$25,101	\$12,497	\$0	\$0
MIDDLETON DISTRICT*	117	\$24,473	\$12,184	\$0	\$0
VALLEY DISTRICT*	114	\$23,845	\$11,872	\$0	\$0
SHELLEY JOINT DISTRICT*	109	\$22,800	\$11,351	\$0	\$0
EMMETT INDEPENDENT DISTRICT*	104	\$21,754	\$10,831	\$0	\$15,000
KIMBERLY DISTRICT*	100	\$20,917	\$10,414	\$0	\$0
PARMA DISTRICT*	100	\$20,917	\$10,414	\$0	\$0
SNAKE RIVER DISTRICT*	99	\$20,708	\$10,310	\$0	\$0
COEUR D'ALENE DISTRICT	86	\$17,989	\$0	\$0	\$0
PRESTON JOINT DISTRICT	78	\$16,315	\$0	\$0	\$0
MOSCOW DISTRICT	70	\$14,433	\$0	\$0	\$0
FILER DISTRICT	68	\$14,224	\$0	\$0	\$0
MELBA JOINT DISTRICT	67	\$14,014	\$0	\$0	\$0
IDAHO ARTS CHARTER SCHOOL	62	\$12,969	\$0	\$0	\$0
GLENNS FERRY JOINT DISTRICT	55	\$11,504	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUGAR-SALEM JOINT DISTRICT	55	\$11,504	\$0	\$0	\$0
WEST JEFFERSON DISTRICT	51	\$10,668	\$0	\$0	\$0
ELEVATE ACADEMY INC.	43	\$8,994	\$0	\$0	\$0
FUTURE PUBLIC SCHOOL, INC.	42	\$8,785	\$0	\$0	\$0
MURTAUGH JOINT DISTRICT	42	\$8,785	\$0	\$0	\$0
BRUNEAU-GRAND VIEW JOINT SCHOOL DISTRICT	40	\$8,367	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEW PLYMOUTH DISTRICT	40	\$8,367	\$0	\$0	\$0
NOTUS DISTRICT	40	\$8,367	\$0	\$0	\$0
POST FALLS DISTRICT	40	\$8,367	\$0	\$0	\$0
MCCALL-DONNELLY JOINT SCHOOL DISTRICT	38	\$7,948	\$0	\$0	\$0
HANSEN DISTRICT	36	\$7,530	\$0	\$0	\$0
Gem Prep Nampa	36	\$7,530	\$0	\$0	\$0
CASTLEFORD DISTRICT	33	\$6,903	\$0	\$0	\$0
LAKE PEND OREILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT	32	\$6,693	\$0	\$0	\$0
CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT	30	\$6,275	\$0	\$0	\$0

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

ATTACHMENT 1

HERITAGE ACADEMY, INC.	27	\$5,648	\$0	\$0	\$0
HAGERMAN JOINT DISTRICT	25	\$5,229	\$0	\$0	\$0
COMPASS PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	24	\$5,020	\$0	\$0	\$0
RIRIE JOINT DISTRICT	22	\$4,602	\$0	\$0	\$0
THE SAGE INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF BOISE, A PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	22	\$4,602	\$0	\$0	\$0
THE VILLAGE CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	21	\$4,393	\$0	\$0	\$0
BLACKFOOT CHARTER COMMUNITY LEARNING CENTER, INC.	20	\$4,183	\$0	\$0	\$0
DIETRICH DISTRICT	20	\$4,183	\$0	\$0	\$0
XAVIER CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	20	\$4,183	\$0	\$0	\$0
LAKELAND DISTRICT	19	\$3,974	\$0	\$0	\$0
BLISS JOINT DISTRICT	18	\$3,765	\$0	\$0	\$0
FIRTH DISTRICT	18	\$3,765	\$0	\$0	\$0
RICHFIELD DISTRICT	18	\$3,765	\$0	\$0	\$0
VISION CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	18	\$3,765	\$0	\$0	\$0
INSPIRE ACADEMICS, INC.	16	\$3,347	\$0	\$0	\$0
LEWISTON INDEPENDENT DISTRICT	14	\$2,928	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONEIDA COUNTY DISTRICT	13	\$2,719	\$0	\$0	\$0
ANOTHER CHOICE VIRTUAL CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	11	\$2,301	\$0	\$0	\$0
CANYON-OWYHEE SCHOOL SERVICE AGENCY (COSSA)	11	\$2,301	\$0	\$0	\$0
IDAHO SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	11	\$2,301	\$0	\$0	\$0
NORTH VALLEY ACADEMY, INC.	10	\$2,092	\$0	\$0	\$0
TREASURE VALLEY CLASSICAL ACADEMY, INC.	10	\$2,092	\$0	\$0	\$0
THOMAS JEFFERSON CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	9	\$1,883	\$0	\$0	\$0
LEGACY PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	8	\$1,673	\$0	\$0	\$0
PATHWAYS IN EDUCATION - NAMPA, INC.	8	\$1,673	\$0	\$0	\$0
ROLLING HILLS PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	8	\$1,673	\$0	\$0	\$0
ALTURAS INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY, INC.	7	\$1,464	\$0	\$0	\$0
BOUNDARY COUNTY DISTRICT	7	\$1,464	\$0	\$0	\$0

IDAHO VIRTUAL ACADEMY, INC.	7	\$1,464	\$0	\$0	\$0
VICTORY CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	7	\$1,464	\$0	\$0	\$0
PEACE VALLEY CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	6	\$1,255	\$0	\$0	\$0
FORGE INTERNATIONAL, LLC	5	\$1,046	\$0	\$0	\$0
GEM PREP: MERIDIAN, LLC	5	\$1,046	\$0	\$0	\$0
MONTICELLO MONTESSORI CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	5	\$1,046	\$0	\$0	\$0
NORTH STAR CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	5	\$1,046	\$0	\$0	\$0
SYRINGA MOUNTAIN SCHOOL, INC.	5	\$1,046	\$0	\$0	\$0
BASIN SCHOOL DISTRICT	4	\$837	\$0	\$0	\$0
ISUCCEED VIRTUAL HIGH SCHOOL, INC.	4	\$837	\$0	\$0	\$0
LIBERTY CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	4	\$837	\$0	\$0	\$0
MEADOWS VALLEY DISTRICT	4	\$837	\$0	\$0	\$0
SOUTH LEMHI DISTRICT	4	\$837	\$0	\$0	\$0
BUTTE COUNTY JOINT DISTRICT	3	\$628	\$0	\$0	\$0
CHALLIS JOINT DISTRICT	3	\$628	\$0	\$0	\$0
FALCON RIDGE PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	3	\$628	\$0	\$0	\$0
HORSESHOE BEND SCHOOL DISTRICT	3	\$628	\$0	\$0	\$0
TAYLOR'S CROSSING PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	3	\$628	\$0	\$0	\$0
THE ACADEMY, INC.	3	\$628	\$0	\$0	\$0
WEST SIDE JOINT DISTRICT	3	\$628	\$0	\$0	\$0
WHITE PINE CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	3	\$628	\$0	\$0	\$0
IDAHO STEM ACADEMY, INC.	2	\$418	\$0	\$0	\$0
KELLOGG JOINT DISTRICT	2	\$418	\$0	\$0	\$0
ST MARIES JOINT DISTRICT	2	\$418	\$0	\$0	\$0
THE POCAHELLO COMMUNITY CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	2	\$418	\$0	\$0	\$0
MERIDIAN TECHNICAL CHARTER DISTRICT	2	\$418	\$0	\$0	\$0
AMERICAN HERITAGE CHARTER SCHOOL, INC.	1	\$209	\$0	\$0	\$0
BEAR LAKE COUNTY DISTRICT	1	\$209	\$0	\$0	\$0
CASCADE DISTRICT	1	\$209	\$0	\$0	\$0

GEM PREP: ONLINE LLC	1	\$209	\$0	\$0	\$0
GEM PREP: POCA TELLO, LLC	1	\$209	\$0	\$0	\$0
IDAHO COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS ACADEMY, INC.	1	\$209	\$0	\$0	\$0
OROFINO JOINT DISTRICT	1	\$209	\$0	\$0	\$0
WALLACE DISTRICT	1	\$209	\$0	\$0	\$0
WEST BONNER COUNTY DISTRICT	1	\$209	\$0	\$0	\$0
MERIDIAN MEDICAL ARTS CHARTER	1	\$209	\$0	\$0	\$0
MOSCOW CHARTER SCHOOL	1	\$209	\$0	\$0	\$0

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Idaho English Learner Proficiency Update

December 15, 2021

Karen Seay
Director, Federal Programs



Supporting Schools and Students to Achieve

SHERRI YBARRA, ED.S., SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

State Board Meeting 12.15.2021

Federal and State Support for English Learners



1. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Lau v Nichols (1974)
2. Idaho Code 33-1617 – English Learner Program Requirements
3. Idaho Consolidated State Plan
4. Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), Title III-A
5. Consolidated Federal and State Grant Application (CFSGA)


State Board Meeting 12.15.2021

Idaho's Progress to Proficiency Goals



Table 6b: Percent of Students Making Expected Progress toward English proficiency
2018 baseline, 2023 long-term goal, and 2019-2022 interim targets

2018 Baseline	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
74.07%	75.80%	77.53%	79.26%	80.98%	82.71%




State Board Meeting 12.15.2021

English Language Proficiency Assessment Performance



ACCESS English Language Proficiency
Idaho Statewide Proportions



State Board Meeting 12.15.2021

2020-2021 LEA Allocations



State Support for English Learners

# of LEAs	State EL Population	State EL Allocations	State Enhancement Grant Allocations
127	20,892	\$4,380,249	\$455,000

Federal Support for English Learners


# of LEAs	Title III-A Student Population	Federal Title III-A Allocations	Federal Title III-A Immigrant Allocations
40	19,263	\$2,006,043	\$114,603

State Board Meeting 12.15.2021

Idaho EL Program Oversees...



- State and Federal Grant Requirements
- Monitoring visits for Title III-A districts
- State 3-year English Enhancement Grant
- Professional Development Activities
- Imagine Learning EL Contract
- English Learner Management System (ELMS)



State Board Meeting 12.15.2021

Recommendations for Program Progress



1. Increase professional development opportunities for general education teachers who work with EL students.
2. Continue to collaborate with certification department to promote EL endorsements and teachers of color.
3. Provide curricular support and professional development for learning loss during COVID-19.

State Board Meeting 12.15.2021

Questions? Comments?



Maria Puga | English Learner Program Coordinator
Idaho State Department of Education
650 W State Street, Boise, ID 83702
(208) 332-6905
mpuga@sde.idaho.gov
<https://www.sde.idaho.gov/federal-programs/el/>



Karen Seay | Director, Federal Programs
Idaho State Department of Education
650 W State Street, Boise, ID 83702
(208) 332-6978
kseay@sde.idaho.gov
<https://www.sde.idaho.gov/federal-programs/el/>

Supporting Schools and Students to Achieve
SHERRI YBARRA, ED.S., SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

State Board Meeting 12.17.2020

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

SUBJECT

Fall 2021 IRI Results

REFERENCE

August 2016	Board members adopted the recommendations from the Early Literacy Assessment Working Group to replace the current statewide Idaho reading assessment with an electronically-administered, computer adaptive assessment.
December 2018	Board reviewed fall Idaho Reading Indicator (IRI) performance on the new assessment.
October 2019	Board reviewed the statewide reading assessment results and discussed literacy growth targets.
October 2020	Board reviewed the statewide reading assessment results as part of the October Work Session
October 2021	Board review the statewide reading assessment results, including the fall 2021 administration as part of the Work Session discussion.

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY

Chapter 18, Title 33,
Administrative Code, IDAPA 08.02.03.111 Assessment in Public Schools

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

In previous years, the Board has been presented with fall IRI data. The results from this fall are of particular interest given the state's focus on early literacy and the potential effects of unfinished learning associated with COVID-19.

This fall's IRI administration occurred between August 2, 2021 and September 3, 2021. By default, students participated in person, but the state again enabled a remote administration option to provide additional flexibility for schools and students. This presentation provides the results of the fall 2021 IRI administration to update the Board on current early reading performance.

IMPACT

This agenda item will provide Board and Department staff with updated IRI performance data to inform ongoing discussions about early reading.

BOARD STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Pursuant to Section 33-1805, Idaho Code, each school district is required to report to the State Department of Education (SDE) by October 1 the number and percentage of students, by grade level, on an individualized reading improvement plan. SDE is then required to compile the information and report it annually to the

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

State Board of Education, the public, the Governor, and the Legislature. Additionally, pursuant to Section 33-1806, Idaho Code, SDE is required to report to the public, the State Board of Education, the Legislature and the Governor the Fall and Spring statewide reading assessment results by school and by school district.

The Board has historically reviewed the statewide reading assessment performance at the October Board meeting. In 2019, this review took place as a standalone item at the October Board meeting. Pursuant to Section 33-1809, Idaho Code, the Board is required to set statewide literacy growth targets. The Board approved the current literacy growth targets at the August 2016 Regular Board meeting. Those targets were codified in IDAPA 08.02.01.802. With the statutory changes enacted in 2020 consolidating all of the literacy requirements in Title 33 into a single new chapter, the requirement was changed from requiring the targets in administrative code to requiring the targets be identified in the required literacy dashboard.

BOARD ACTION

This item is for informational purposes only.

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS COMMISSION

SUBJECT

Professional Standards Commission Annual Report 2020-2021

REFERENCE

December 2018	Board approved the Professional Standards Commission 2017-2018 Annual Report
December 2019	Board accepted the Professional Standards Commission 2018-2019 Annual Report
December 2020	Board accepted the Professional Standards Commission 2019-2020 Annual Report

APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY

Sections 33-1208, 33-1251, 33-1252, 33-1253, 33-1254, and 33-1258, Idaho Code

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The 1972 state legislature established the Professional Standards Commission (PSC). This legislative action combined the Professional Practices Commission, established by the state legislature in 1969, with the Professional Standards Board, an advisory board appointed by the State Board of Education. The PSC consists of 18 constituency members appointed for terms of three years, the membership of which is prescribed in Section 33-1252, Idaho Code:

- Secondary or Elementary Classroom Teacher (5)
- Exceptional Child Teacher (1)
- School Counselor (1)
- Elementary School Principal (1)
- Secondary School Principal (1)
- Special Education Director (1)
- School Superintendent (1)
- School Board Member (1)
- Public Higher Education Faculty Member (2)
- Private Higher Education Faculty Member (1)
- Public Higher Education Letters and Sciences Faculty Member (1)
- State Career & Technical Education Staff Member (1)
- State Department of Education Staff Member (1)

The PSC publishes an annual report following the conclusion of each fiscal year to inform the State Board of Education of the PSC's accomplishments.

IMPACT

This report informs the State Board of Education of the accomplishments of the Professional Standards Commission at the conclusion of each fiscal year.

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 – PSC 2020-2021 Annual Report

Attachment 2 – PSC 2020-2021 Annual Report Presentation

BOARD STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Professional Standards Commission is established through Section 33-1252, Idaho Code. The commission is made up of 18 members appointed by the State Board of Education. Membership is made up of individuals representing the teaching profession in Idaho, including a staff person from the Department of Education and the Division of Career Technical Education. No less than seven members must be certificated classroom teachers, of which at least one must be a teacher of exceptional children and one must serve in pupil personnel services. The purpose of the Professional Standards Commission is to make recommendations regarding professional codes and standards of ethics to the State Board of Education and is authorized to investigate complaints regarding the violation of such standards and makes recommendations to the Board in areas of educator certification and educator preparation standards.

The Professional Standards Commission report includes the number of alternative authorizations for interim certificates that have been issued during the previous school year. Interim certificates are issued to all individuals who are approved for an alternate authorization or non-traditional route to certification. There are currently four non-traditional preparation programs approved in Idaho: American Board for Certification of Teacher Excellence (ABCTE), Teach for America (TFA) College of Southern Idaho, and Lewis-Clark State College. Alternate authorizations are also available for existing instructional staff as an expedited route for adding endorsements to an existing certificate or as a route for earning a new certificate, such as an administrator or pupil service staff certificate. There are four alternative authorization options educators may use to add an endorsement to an existing certificate. These include:

- Assurance from an approved educator preparation program that the individual is competent in the field they are seeking the endorsement in,
- National Board Certification in the content specific area they are seeking endorsement in,
- Earning a graduate degree in the content specific area they are seeking endorsement in, or
- Proof of competency in the content specific area through a Board approved assessment.

Alternate authorizations for certification are available through three pathways in addition to the Board-approved non-traditional routes to certification. These include:

- Teacher to New Certification – this route is available to individuals with an existing certification to add an additional certification. Examples would be a teacher with an instructional staff certificate adding an occupational specialist certificate so they could teach both career technical and non-

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

career technical courses, or an individual with an instructional staff certificate adding a pupil service staff certificate with a school counselor endorsement. This alternative authorization should not be confused with the alternative route for adding new endorsements to an existing certificate.

- **Content Specialist** – this route provides an expedited route to certification for individuals who are uniquely qualified in a subject area but have not gone through a traditional educator preparation route. An example would be an individual with industry experience in a content area or has deep content knowledge, such as a degree in engineering, but did not go through a traditional educator preparation program. While this route was originally used primarily for filling vacancies in emergency situations, it was amended a few years ago to recognize not all quality educators enter the classroom through a traditional route and to allow non-traditional candidates to enter the classroom while still ensuring they meet quality standards.
- **Pupil Service Staff** – this route provides a mechanism for school districts to fill pupil service staff positions when they cannot find someone with a correct endorsement or certification.

Individuals on any of the Board approved alternate routes or non-traditional educator preparation programs receive an up to three-year non-renewable interim certificate. During their time on the interim certificate they must complete the requirements of their chosen alternative route preparation program. This program could range from a formal alternative route preparation program with a Board-approved educator preparation program or could be an individual agreement developed by a consortium comprised of the certificate holder, designee from an approved educator preparation program, and a representative of the school district. For the Content Specialist route, it is the responsibility of the school district to assure the individual is qualified to teach in the area of identified need and that they are making adequate annual progress toward standard certification while on the interim certificate.

BOARD ACTION

This item is for informational purposes only.

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS COMMISSION

ANNUAL REPORT

2020-2021



Table of Contents

Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
Internal Operation of the Commission	6
Alternative Authorizations	7
Requests for Content Specialist Authorizations	8
Requests for Teacher to New Certificate Authorizations	10
Requests for Teacher to New Endorsement Authorizations	11
Requests for Pupil Service Staff Authorizations	13
Requests for Emergency Provisional Certificate	14
Executive Committee Activities	15
Standards Committee Activities	19
Educator Preparation Standards Reviews	19
Educator Preparation Program Reviews	19
Educator Preparation New Program Proposal Reviews	20
Appendix – Fiscal Year 2020 Budget Expenditures	21

INTRODUCTION

The 1972 state legislature established the Professional Standards Commission (PSC). This legislative action combined the Professional Practices Commission, established by the state legislature in 1969, with the Professional Standards Board, an advisory board appointed by the State Board of Education. The Commission consists of 18 constituency members appointed or reappointed for terms of three years:

- Secondary or Elementary Classroom Teacher (5)
- Exceptional Child Teacher (1)
- Pupil Service Staff (1)
- Elementary School Principal (1)
- Secondary School Principal (1)
- Special Education Director (1)
- School Superintendent (1)
- School Board Member (1)
- Public Higher Education Faculty Member (2)
- Private Higher Education Faculty Member (1)
- Higher Education Letters and Sciences Faculty Member (1)
- State Career & Technical Education Staff Member (1)
- State Department of Education Staff Member (1)

For further detail regarding the establishment and membership of the Professional Standards Commission, see Idaho Code §33-1252.

PSC Vision

The PSC will continue to provide leadership for professional standards and accountability in Idaho's schools. We will handle that responsibility with respect and in a timely fashion. We will nurture positive relationships and collaborative efforts with a wide range of stakeholders. We will be a powerful voice advocating on behalf of Idaho's children.

PSC Mission

The PSC makes recommendations to the State Board of Education and renders decisions that provide Idaho with competent, qualified, ethical educators dedicated to rigorous standards, pre-K-12 student achievement, and improved professional practice.

Statutory Responsibilities of the Professional Standards Commission

The professional standards commission may conduct investigations on any signed allegation of unethical conduct of any teacher brought by:

An individual with a substantial interest in the matter, except a student in an Idaho public school; or

A local board of trustees.

Idaho Code §33-1209

The commission shall have authority to adopt recognized professional codes and standards of ethics, conduct and professional practices which shall be applicable to teachers in the public schools of the state, and submit the same to the state board of education for its consideration and approval. Upon their approval by the state board of education, the professional codes and standards shall be published by the board.

Idaho Code §33-1254

The commission may make recommendations to the state board of education in such areas as teacher education, teacher certification and teaching standards, and such recommendations to the state board of education or to boards of trustees of school districts as, in its judgment, will promote improvement of professional practices and competence of the teaching profession of this state, it being the intent of this act to continually improve the quality of education in the public schools of this state.

Idaho Code §33-1258

Professional Standards Commission Membership

During the 2020-2021 academic year, the PSC met five times: September, November, January, April, and June. The following individuals served as members of the PSC:

Name	Agency	Representing
Iris Chimburas	Lapwai School District #341	Elementary Classroom Teachers
Steve Copmann	Cassia County School District #151	Secondary School Principals
Kathy Davis, Chair	St. Maries School District #41	Secondary Classroom Teachers
Kristi Enger	Idaho Career & Technical Education	Career & Technical Education
Mark Gorton	Lakeland School District #272	Secondary Classroom Teachers
Mark Haynal	Lewis Clark State College	Public Higher Education
Paula Kellerer	Nampa School District #131	School Superintendents
Ramona Lee	West Ada School District #2	Special Education Administrators
Peter McPherson	Idaho State Department of Education	Department of Education
Terah Moore	College of Idaho	Private Teacher Education
Jamee Nixon	Northwest Nazarene University	Colleges of Letters and Sciences
Karen Pyron	Butte County School District #111	School Board Members
Elisa Saffle	Bonneville School District #93	Elementary School Principals
Marianne Sletteland	Moscow School District #281	Exceptional Child Teachers
Charmaine VanBuskirk	Lakeland Joint School District #272	Secondary Classroom Teachers
Topher Wallaert	Mountain Home School District #193	Elementary Classroom Teachers
Mike Wilkinson, Vice Chair	Twin Falls School District #411	Pupil Service Staff
Emma Wood	Idaho State University	Public Teacher Education

Lisa Colón Durham served as administrator for the PSC from July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021.

INTERNAL OPERATION OF THE COMMISSION

The PSC has four standing committees with specific duties:

1. Authorizations Committee

- Reviews and makes recommendations to the PSC regarding:
 - Approval of alternative authorizations to teach, serve as an administrator, or provide pupil service staff services.
 - *Alternative Authorization – Content Specialist*: Allows a candidate who does not hold a valid Idaho credential to serve in an assignment while they work toward obtaining the applicable certificate/endorsement.
 - *Alternative Authorization – Pupil Service Staff*: Allows a candidate who does not hold a valid Idaho credential to service in an assignment that requires the Pupil Service Staff Certificate while they work toward obtaining the applicable endorsement.
 - *Alternative Authorization – Teacher to New*: Allows a candidate who already holds a valid Idaho credential to serve in an assignment while they work toward obtaining the applicable certificate/endorsement.
 - *Emergency Provisional Certificate*: Allows a candidate who does not hold a valid Idaho credential to serve in an assignment for one year that requires certification/endorsement in an emergency situation.
 - Policies and procedures for alternative authorizations;
 - The development and publishing of certification reports as needed.

2. Budget Committee

- Develops a yearly budget.
- Monitors and makes recommended revisions to the annual budget.

3. Executive Committee

- Reviews, maintains, and revises the Code of Ethics for Idaho Professional Educators as needed.
- Determines if there is probable cause to pursue discipline against a certificated educator for alleged unethical conduct.


4. Standards Committee

- Develops recommendations for preservice educator standards for consideration by the State Board of Education.
- Develops, maintains, and implements review processes for educator preparation programs for consideration by the State Board of Education;
- Develops and provides recommendations to the PSC for educator assessment(s) and qualifying scores for consideration by the State Board of Education.
- Develops and provides recommendations to the PSC for educator certificate and endorsement requirements for consideration by the State Board of Education.

ALTERNATIVE AUTHORIZATIONS

Local school districts, including charter schools or other educational agencies, may request approval of an alternative authorization for an individual to fill a certificated position when he/she does not presently hold an appropriate Idaho educator certificate/endorsement. The individual must have a plan that leads to certification in the assigned area.


For further detail regarding alternative authorizations, see [Alternative Authorizations website](#).




There were 20,673 total certificated educators employed statewide during the 2020-2021 school year. The percentage of educators working with an alternative authorization was 4.12% percent.


REQUESTS FOR CONTENT SPECIALIST AUTHORIZATIONS

The purpose of this authorization is to allow an Idaho school district/charter to hire a candidate who does not hold a valid Idaho credential to serve in an assignment that requires certification/endorsement. The district must show that the candidate is uniquely qualified to serve in the assignment while the candidate works toward obtaining the applicable certificate/endorsement. There were 372 Content Specialist authorizations with 426 total endorsements issued during the 2020-2021 school year.






There were 17 Career-Technical Content Specialist authorizations with 19 total endorsements issued during the 2020-2021 school year.




REQUESTS FOR TEACHER TO NEW CERTIFICATE AUTHORIZATIONS

The purpose of this authorization is to allow an Idaho school district/charter to hire a candidate who holds a valid Idaho credential to serve in an assignment for which the candidate does not hold the appropriate certificate and endorsement. There were 35 Teacher to New Certificate authorizations with 36 total endorsements issued during the 2020-2021 school year.





There were 12 Career-Technical Teacher to New Certificate authorizations with 14 total endorsements issued during the 2020-2021 school year.




REQUESTS FOR TEACHER TO NEW ENDORSEMENT AUTHORIZATIONS

The purpose of this authorization is to allow an Idaho school district/charter to hire a candidate who holds a valid Idaho credential to serve in an assignment for which the candidate does not hold the appropriate endorsement. There were 288 Teacher to New Endorsement authorizations with 298 total endorsements issued during the 2020-2021 school year.






There were 4 Career-Technical Teacher to New Endorsement authorizations with 5 total endorsements issued during the 2020-2021 school year.




REQUESTS FOR PUPIL SERVICE STAFF AUTHORIZATIONS

The purpose of this authorization is to allow an Idaho school district/charter to hire a candidate who does not hold a valid Idaho credential to serve in an assignment that requires the Pupil Service Staff Certificate. The authorization allows the candidate to serve in the assignment while working toward obtaining the Pupil Service Staff Certificate and the applicable endorsement. There were 38 Pupil Service Staff authorizations with 38 total endorsements issued during the 2020-2021 school year.



REQUESTS FOR EMERGENCY PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATE

The purpose of the Emergency Provisional Certificate is to allow an Idaho school district/charter to hire a candidate for one year who does not hold a valid Idaho credential to serve in an assignment that requires certification/endorsement in an emergency situation. The district must declare an emergency and the candidate must have at least two years of college training. As per IDEA, Emergency Provisional Certificates are not permitted for special education. There were 85 Emergency Provisional Certificates with 91 total endorsements issued during the 2020-2021 school year.



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIVITIES

Under Idaho Code §33-1208 and §33-1209, the PSC has the responsibility for suspending, revoking, issuing letters of reprimand, or placing reasonable conditions on any certificate for educator misconduct. The administrator of the PSC, in conjunction with the deputy attorney general and PSC staff, conducts a review of the written allegation using established guidelines to determine whether to open an investigation or remand the issue to the school district to resolve locally. The Executive Committee considers the allegation(s) and all additional relevant information to determine whether probable cause exists to warrant the filing of an administrative complaint. If probable cause is determined, the Executive Committee recommends disciplinary action to be taken against a certificate. Once an administrative complaint is filed, a hearing may be requested.

During 2020-2021, the PSC received thirty-nine (39) written complaints of alleged educator ethical misconduct, of which twenty-four (24) cases were opened. Additionally, thirty-six (36) cases were closed during 2020-2021. Ten (10) of the thirty-six (36) closed cases involved educators who were employed as administrators. The data below represents the cases that were closed.

2020-2021 Closed Ethics Cases


Case Number	Category of Ethics Violation	Probable Cause Found	Disciplinary Action
21901	Miscellaneous	Yes	Suspension
21914	Inappropriate Conduct with Student	Yes	Suspension
21917	Inappropriate Conduct with Student	Yes	Suspension
21927	Breach of Contract	Yes	Letter of Reprimand
21929	Substance Abuse	Yes	Suspension
21931	Felony (Violent)	Yes	Revocation
21932	Felony (Other)	Yes	Revocation
22001	Inappropriate Conduct with Student	Yes	Letter of Reprimand
22003	Inappropriate Conduct	Yes	Revocation
22004	Sexual Misconduct with a Student	Yes	Revocation (Permanent)
22005	Inappropriate Conduct with Student	Yes	Letter of Reprimand
22006	Inappropriate Conduct with Student	Yes	Letter of Reprimand
22009	Breach of contract	No	
22011	Inappropriate Conduct with Student	Yes	Letter of Reprimand
22012	Breach of contract	Yes	Suspension
22013	Breach of contract	Yes	Suspension
22015	Felony (Violent)	Yes	Revocation (Permanent)
22016	Substance Abuse	Yes	Letter of Reprimand
22017	Inappropriate Conduct with Student	Yes	Letter of Reprimand
22018	Theft-Fraud	No	
22019	Inappropriate Conduct with Student	Yes	Letter of Reprimand
22020	Theft-Fraud	No	

Case Number	Category of Ethics Violation	Probable Cause Found	Disciplinary Action
22021	Miscellaneous	No	
22022	Miscellaneous	No	
22023	Inappropriate Conduct	No	
22025	Theft-Fraud	Yes	Letter of Reprimand
22026	Theft-Fraud	No	
22028	Inappropriate Conduct with Student	No	
22029	Inappropriate Conduct with Student	Yes	Letter of Reprimand
22030	Theft-Fraud	Yes	Letter of Reprimand
22031	Inappropriate Conduct	Yes	Letter of Reprimand
22032	Theft-Fraud	No	
22033	Sexual Misconduct with a Student	Yes	Revocation
22100	Inappropriate Conduct with Student	Yes	Letter of Reprimand
22101	Breach of Contract	No	
22102	Breach of Contract	No	

2020-2021 Aggregate Data of Closed Ethics Cases Where Probable Cause Was Found


During 2020-2021 the PSC closed thirty-six (36) cases and finalized disciplinary action in twenty-five (25) cases. The disaggregated data is shown below. The first table shows the data by the category of the ethics violation. The second table displays the data by the type of disciplinary action.

Category of Ethics Violation	Number of Cases Closed	Percent of Cases Closed
Application Discrepancy	-	0%
Breach of Contract	3	12%
Felony (Other)	1	4%
Felony (Violent)	2	8%
Inappropriate Conduct	2	8%
Inappropriate Conduct with Student	10	40%
Miscellaneous	1	4%
Misdemeanor	-	0%
Sexual Misconduct Not with a Student	-	0%
Sexual Misconduct with a Student	2	8%
Substance Abuse	2	8%
Theft-Fraud	2	8%



Type of Disciplinary Action	Number of Cases Closed	Percent of Cases Closed
Conditioned Certificate	-	0%
Letter of Reprimand	13	52%
Revocation	4	16%
Revocation (Permanent)	2	8%
Suspension	6	24%
Voluntary Surrender	-	0%

NUMBER OF CASES CLOSED BY TYPE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION



STANDARDS COMMITTEE ACTIVITIES

The Standards Committee is responsible for completing educator preparation standards reviews, educator preparation program reviews, and educator preparation new program proposal reviews for recommendation to the full PSC. The PSC reviews the recommendations of the Standards Committee and makes recommendations to the State Board of Education (Board) for approval consideration.

EDUCATOR PREPARATION STANDARDS REVIEWS

The purpose of educator preparation standards reviews is to define and establish rigorous and research-based standards that better align with national standards and best practices. The standards provide requirements for educator preparation programs to ensure that future educators acquire the knowledge and performance standards to best meet the needs of students.

Upon the written request of the House and Senate Education Committees in March 2020, a full review of all educator preparation standards and endorsements was conducted, and the full review was completed April 2021. An Educator Standards Working Group, which included Standards Committee members and other members of the PSC, was convened to complete the full review and draft proposed revisions. The work of the Educator Standards Working Group is anticipated to culminate in a rulemaking action for consideration by the House and Senate Education Committees in 2022.

EDUCATOR PREPARATION PROGRAM REVIEWS

Each educator preparation program will undergo a state program approval process that is designed to assure that graduates meet the Idaho standards for professional educators. The PSC follows the national accreditation council model by which institutions pursue continuing approval through a full program review every seven (7) years. Additionally, the PSC conducts State-Specific Requirement Reviews, not to exceed every third year following the full program review. The requirements are defined in IDAPA 08.02.02.100: Rules Governing Uniformity and the Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP) standards.

The standards for evaluating teacher preparation programs are found in the [Idaho Standards for Initial Certification of Professional School Personnel](#) as updated and approved by the State Board of Education. For review purposes, pertinent rubrics accompanying these standards are on file in the office of the State Department of Education, Certification and Professional Standards. Current CAEP standards can be reviewed on the [CAEP website](#).

The following educator preparation programs were reviewed by the PSC during the 2020-2021 school year:

- **University of Idaho**

A full unit State Team Review was conducted virtually November 8-10, 2020. The State Review Team report was subsequently submitted to the PSC at its June 17-18, 2021, meeting. The report was considered, and the PSC recommended the Board accept the

recommendations in the State Review Team report and the Board conditionally approve Career Technical Education Foundation Standards.

The Board, at its August 2021, meeting, accepted the recommendations in the State Review Team Report and conditionally approved Career Technical Foundation Standards. Conditionally approved programs are subject to a focused revisit within three years following the on-site visit to determine if specific standards are met.

Specific information regarding the Board's review of this report can be found on the [State Board of Education website](#).

EDUCATOR PREPARATION NEW PROGRAM PROPOSAL REVIEWS

Each educator preparation new program proposal will undergo a desk review designed to confirm the new program meets the standards in the [Idaho Standards for Initial Certification of Professional School Personnel](#). The PSC reviews the recommendations of the Standards Committee and makes recommendations to the State Board of Education for approval consideration.

The following educator preparation new program proposals were reviewed by the PSC during the 2020-2021 school year, for recommendation to the State Board of Education for conditional approval:

- **Northwest Nazarene University**
 - Master of Education, Exceptional Child
 - Teacher Leader – Instructional Specialist
 - Teacher Leader – Special Education
- **University of Idaho**
 - Master of Arts in Teaching
 - Computer Science (5-9 or 6-12)

APPENDIX – FISCAL YEAR 2021 BUDGET EXPENDITURES

Revenue	Estimated	Actual Revenue	Variance
Cert Application Fees	\$600,000	\$677,650	\$77,650
Personnel	Budget	Actual Expenditures	Variance
Salaries & Benefits	\$447,700	\$467,789	(\$20,089)
Expenses (Spending Authority)	Budget	Actual Expenditures	Variance
Operating Expenses			
PSC Meeting/Travel/Meals	\$21,000	\$3,510	\$17,490
PSC PD & Training	\$-	\$-	\$-
Communication	\$9,000	\$12,601	(\$3,601)
Staff Development	\$-	\$-	\$-
Repairs & Maintenance Services and Supplies	\$50	\$-	\$50
Administrative Services	\$3,000	\$5,465	(\$2,465)
Computer Services	\$250	\$-	\$250
Staff Travel – NAAC	\$2,500	\$-	\$2,500
Staff Travel – NASDTEC Annual Conference	\$5,000	\$4,550	\$450
Staff Travel – NASDTEC PPI	\$3,500	\$-	\$3,500
Staff Travel – NASDTEC Taws	\$3,000	\$-	\$3,000
Staff Travel – Other	\$1,500	\$-	\$1,500
Administrative/Office Supplies	\$5,000	\$4,967	\$33
Computer Supplies	\$250	\$463	(\$213)
Insurance	\$1,700	\$1,602	\$98
Rentals & Operating Leases	\$12,500	\$11,428	\$1,072
Payroll/Accounting	\$2,000	\$2,447	(\$447)
Committee Work Expenses			
Executive - Investigations/Hearings/Trainings	\$4,500	\$-	\$4,500
Executive - Contract Investigative Services	\$5,000	\$-	\$5,000
Executive - NASDTEC Dues	\$4,500	\$-	\$4,500
Standards - Standard Reviews	\$10,000	\$70	\$9,930
Standards - EPP Reviews and Focused Visits	\$10,000	\$560	\$9,440
Standards - CAEP Partnership Dues	\$5,250	\$3,900	\$1,350
Capital Expenses			
Computer Equipment	\$2,800	\$1,566	\$1,234
Office Equipment	\$-	\$-	\$-
Total Expenses (Spending Authority)	\$112,300	\$53,128	\$59,172
All Expenditures (Personnel + Expenses)	\$560,000	\$520,917	
Revenue Less All Expenditures	\$40,000	\$156,733	



Professional Standards Commission

Annual Report 2020-2021



December 15, 2021

PSC Overview



- The PSC consists of 18 constituency members that are nominated by respective stakeholders, appointed or reappointed by the State Board of Education for terms of three years:
 - o Secondary or Elementary Classroom Teacher (5)
 - o Exceptional Child Teacher (1)
 - o School Counselor (1)
 - o Elementary School Principal (1)
 - o Secondary School Principal (1)
 - o Special Education Director (1)
 - o School Superintendent (1)
 - o School Board Member (1)
 - o Public Higher Education Faculty Member (2)
 - o Private Higher Education Faculty Member (1)
 - o Public Higher Education Letters and Sciences Faculty Member (1)
 - o State Career & Technical Education Staff Member (1)
 - o State Department of Education Staff Member (1)

PSC Annual Report 2020-2021 | 2

PSC Overview



- The PSC has four standing committees:
 1. Authorizations Committee
 2. Budget Committee
 - FY 2021 Budget Expenditures
 3. Executive Committee
 4. Standards Committee

PSC Annual Report 2020-2021 | 3



Authorizations Committee

PSC Annual Report 2020-2021



PSC Annual Report 2020-2021 | 4


PSC Authorizations Committee



- Authorization Types
 - Content Specialist
 - Teacher to New Certificate
 - Teacher to New Endorsement
 - Pupil Service Staff
- Emergency Provisional Certificates

PSC Annual Report 2020-2021 | 5

PSC Authorizations Committee



PSC Annual Report 2020-2021 | 6

PSC Authorizations Committee



- There were 20,673 total certificated educators employed statewide during the 2020-2021 school year.
- The percentage of educators working with an alternative authorization was 4.12%

Authorization Type	2018-2019 Number of Authorizations	2019-2020 Number of Authorizations	2020-2021 Number of Authorizations
Content Specialist	527	408	372
Career-Technical Content Specialist	*	21	17
Teacher to New Certificate	42	34	35
Career-Technical Teacher to New Certificate	*	8	12
Teacher to New Endorsement	224	231	288
Career-Technical Teacher to New Endorsement	*	4	4
Pupil Service Staff	3	2	38
Emergency Provisional Certificates	89	90	85
TOTAL	885	798	851

* Did not track Career Technical separately prior to 2019-2020.

PSC Annual Report 2020-2021 | 7



Executive Committee

PSC Annual Report 2020-2021



PSC Annual Report 2020-2021 | 8

PSC Executive Committee



- During 2020-2021, the PSC received 39 written complaints of alleged educator ethical misconduct, out of which 24 cases were opened.
- There were 36 cases closed during 2020-2021.
 - 25 cases – probable cause found with disciplinary action taken
 - 11 cases – no probable cause found
 - 10 of the 36 cases were for educators employed as an administrator

PSC Annual Report 2020-2021 | 9

PSC Executive Committee

Summary of Closed Cases for Probable Cause Determination by Category of Ethics Violation




Category of Ethics Violation	2018-2019 Number of Cases Closed	2019-2020 Number of Cases Closed	2020-2021 Number of Cases Closed
Application Discrepancy	1	-	-
Breach of Contract	6	1	3
Felony (Other)	-	-	1
Felony (Violent)	-	-	2
Inappropriate Conduct	3	2	2
Inappropriate Conduct with Student	2	3	10
Miscellaneous	4	3	1
Misdemeanor	-	-	-
Sexual Misconduct Not with a Student	2	1	-
Sexual Misconduct with a Student	2	2	2
Substance Abuse	4	1	2
Theft-Fraud	1	3	2

PSC Annual Report 2020-2021 | 10

PSC Executive Committee



NUMBER OF CASES CLOSED BY CATEGORY OF ETHICS VIOLATION



PSC Annual Report 2020-2021 | 11

PSC Executive Committee

Summary of Closed Cases for Probable Cause Determination by Type of Disciplinary Action




Type of Disciplinary Violation	2018-2019 Number of Cases Closed	2019-2020 Number of Cases Closed	2020-2021 Number of Cases Closed
Conditioned Certificate	-	-	-
Letter of Reprimand	7	7	13
Revocation	4	3	4
Revocation (Permanent)	4	1	2
Suspension	9	4	6
Voluntary Surrender	1	1	-

PSC Annual Report 2020-2021 | 12

PSC Executive Committee



NUMBER OF CASES CLOSED BY TYPE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION



PSC Annual Report 2020-2021 | 13



Standards Committee

PSC Annual Report 2020-2021



PSC Annual Report 2020-2021 | 14

PSC Standards Committee



- Usually reviews 20% of the educator preparation standards and endorsements each year.
- Upon the written request of the House and Senate Education Committees in March 2020, a full review of all educator preparation standards and endorsements was conducted, and the full review was completed April 2021
- An Educator Standards Working Group, which included Standards Committee members and other members of the PSC, was convened to complete the full review and draft proposed revisions.
- The work of the Educator Standards Working Group is anticipated to culminate in a rulemaking action for consideration by the House and Senate Education Committees in 2022.

PSC Annual Report 2020-2021 | 15

PSC Standards Committee



Completes educator preparation program reviews. The following program reviews were completed during 2020-2021:

- University of Idaho – CAEP & State Team Review

Completes educator preparation new program proposal desk reviews. The following new programs for certification were reviewed and approved by the State Board of Education during 2020-2021:

- Northwest Nazarene University – Exceptional Child, Teacher Leader – Instructional Specialist, Teacher Leader – Special education
- University of Idaho – Theater Arts

PSC Annual Report 2020-2021 | 16

Questions?

Professional Standards Commission
Idaho State Department of Education
650 W State Street, Boise, ID 83702
208 332 6882
www.sde.idaho.gov/cert-psc/psc



PSC Annual Report 2020-2021 | 17

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

SUBJECT

Federal Coronavirus Relief K-12 Funding Update

REFERENCE

March – April 2020	The Board received weekly updates on the federal response to the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic and the availability of funding through the CARES Act.
April 27, 2020	The Board received an update on the allowable uses and amount of funds available to Idaho through the Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief Fund and Governor's Emergency Education Relief Fund.
May 4, 2020	The Board directed staff to move forward with data analysis for the discussed proposals and to identify sources of funds for those proposals.
June 10, 2020	The Board approved the use of the ESSER 10% SEA reserve funds for grants to local education agencies and for funding for professional development to provide social emotional and behavioral health supports remotely;
July 15, 2020	The Board approved a methodology and grant application for \$30,000,000 from Idaho's relief funds through the Governor's Coronavirus Financial Advisory Committee.
August 26, 2020	The Board approved a methodology and allocation for \$1,000,000 from the ESSER 10% SEA reserve funds for social emotional and behavioral health supports.
October 21, 2020	The Board received a CARES Act funding source and equitable services update.
February 17, 2021	The Board received a CARES Act funding update and a CRRSA Act overview.
April 5, 2021	The Board approved the use of \$1,851,300 of CRRSA Act ESSER II State Set-Aside Reserve funds to be distributed to local education agencies who received no ESSER II funds or low ESSER II funding and approved the use of up to \$300,000 in ESSER II SEA Reserve funds for the State Department of Education to administer the grant. The Board approved to preliminarily designate the use of the 2.5% of the ARP ESSER State Set-Aside Reserve funds to local education agencies who received no or low ARP ESSER funds based on their Title I population and additional use of the funds.

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

April 22, 2021	The Board received an update on the COVID Relief K-12 funds, which included CARES Act ESSER, CRRSA Act ESSER, ARP ESSER, CRRSA EANS and ARP EANS.
June 16, 2021	An update on the Coronavirus Relief, CFAC Funds and ESSERF, including CARES Act, CRRSA Act, EANS, and ARP ESSER was provided to Board members.
August 25, 2021	The Board received an ESSER draw down overview and a brief update on the CRRSA Act Emergency Assistance to Non-Public Schools grant.
October 21, 2021	The Board received a brief update on expended ESSER funds, the status of the LEA Safe Return to In-Person Instruction Plans and the LEA ARP ESSER Use of Funds Plans, and the amendment for the Idaho ARP ESSER State Plan. The Board also approved the distribution methodology of 2.5% of the 10% ARP ESSER SEA reserve

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The CARES Act, signed into law March 27, 2020, provides financial relief to local educational agencies (LEAs) from the Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief (ESSER) Fund and the Coronavirus Relief Fund through the Governor's Coronavirus Financial Advisory Committee. The CARES Act allowed the State Education Agency (SEA), to reserve up to ten percent of the Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief (ESSER) Fund for grants to LEAs to be used for emergency needs as determined by the SEA to address issues responding to COVID-19. These funds were required to be awarded by May 18, 2021, and expended by September 30, 2022. At its July 15, 2020 meeting, the Board as Idaho's SEA, adopted the funding distributions, which included \$3.785 million for distance/blended learning with a priority for a learning management system (LMS). At the July 15 meeting, the Board also approved a methodology and grant application process for \$30 million in funding from Idaho's relief funds through the Governor's Coronavirus Financial Advisory Committee to close the digital divide. A review committee was convened to review the applications and make recommendations for funding.

The Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriation (CRRSA) Act was signed into law December 27, 2020. Included in the CRRSA Act, the performance period for the CARES Act Coronavirus Relief Fund was extended from December 30, 2020 to December 31, 2021. DFM closed the Coronavirus Relief Funds June 30, 2021, which means SDE's last GRA payment to LEAs was made June 25, 2021.

The CRRSA Act provides Idaho an additional \$195,890,413 for K-12 public education under ESSER II. Of this amount, 90% or \$176,301,372 has been allocated to local education agencies (LEAs) based on each LEA's proportional

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

share of Title I-A funds for 2020-2021. The remaining 10%, or \$19,589,041, represents a state set-aside reserve for emergency needs as determined by the SEA to address issues responding to COVID-19, including measuring and addressing learning loss. Of these state set-aside funds, \$979,452 may be used for administrative costs. The State Department of Education (SDE) has requested \$300,000 of these funds to administer the program. All CRRSA Act ESSER I and ESSER II funds must relate to preventing, preparing for, and responding to COVID-19.

The American Rescue Plan (ARP) Act was signed into law March 11, 2021 and provides Idaho \$440,131,922 in ESSER funds for K-12 education. Of this amount, 90% will be allocated to LEAs. LEAs must spend 20% of their allocation on addressing lost instructional time. The remaining 10% State Set-Aside Reserve must be used to address learning loss (5%), summer enrichment (1%), after school programs (1%) and emergency needs and administrative costs (3%) identified by the Board. The Idaho ARP ESSER State Plan was approved with conditions on September 13, 2021. An amended plan with more information on stakeholder engagement (Section C) and identification of the evidence-based interventions (Section D) was due to the U.S. Department of Education on October 28, 2021. Idaho received its final award amount for ARP ESSER on September 13, 2021.

During the October 21, 2021 Regular Board Meeting, the Board approved the methodology for allocating 2.5% of ARP ESSER State Set-Aside funds for non-Title and low Title LEAs, including the Idaho Bureau of Educational Services for the Deaf and Blind (IBESDB). The methodology included funding IBESDB \$590,000 first and using the base amount of \$349,143 to 16 non-Title LEAs and 42 low-Title I-A LEAs to bring them up to the base amount.

The Governor's Emergency Education Relief Fund (GEER) includes a separate program of Emergency Assistance for non-Public Schools (EANS) for which eligible non-public schools may apply to an SEA to receive services or assistance related to the pandemic. The EANS Certification and Agreement application was submitted to and approved by the U.S. Department of Education on February 11, 2021. The State Board identified the State Department of Education as the administrator of this program. Idaho received \$19,581,608 for services and assistance to non-public schools. \$5,543,122 is obligated for assistances, services, and reimbursements to non-public schools. This includes \$200,000 to implement the program, monitor the schools for uses of funds, and to oversee inventory expenditures. \$13,838,486 was reverted back to the Governor's office.

IMPACT

This agenda item provides the Board with a high-level update on the most recent information on the COVID-19 relief funds.

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

BOARD STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The CARES Act established multiple funds dedicated to addressing impacts to education due to the COVID-19, two of these provide allocations at the state level, while a third fund, the Higher Education Relief Act is distributed directly to the postsecondary institutions. The two funds that provided allocations at the state level are the Governor's Emergency Education Relief (GEER) Fund and the Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief (ESSER) Fund. The CARES Act ESSER Fund allocated funds to the state education agencies based on the same proportion as states receive funds under Part A of Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act in fiscal year 2019. Idaho's share of this fund was \$47,854,695. From this amount a minimum of \$43,069,202 (90%) had to be distributed to the local education agencies (LEAs) based on the LEAs' proportional share of the state's Part A, Title I funds. These funds are then distributed based on each LEAs' propositional share of Part A, Title I funds received in 2019. Not all LEAs receive Part A, Title I funds. Part A, Title I funds are distributed based on an LEAs share of eligible Title I students. Up to 10 percent (10%) of these funds, \$4,785,470, could be reserved by the SEA "to be used for emergency needs as determined by the SEA to address issues responding to COVID-19." States have one year from date of the federal ESSER award to award or subgrant the funds.

The Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2020 (CRRSA Act) expanded the ESSER Fund. Funds appropriated through the CRRSA Act are referred to as the ESSER II funds. The ESSER II fund awards to SEAs are in the same proportion as each State received funds under Part A of Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended, in fiscal year 2020. Idaho will receive \$195,890,413 in ESSER II funding. Of this amount, at least \$176,301,372 must be distributed to LEAs based on the Title I distribution methodology. Like ESSER I, 10% of the funds may be reserved for use by the SEA. Of these reserve funds ½ of 1% of the total award may be used for administrative costs. The SEA 10% reserve is \$19,589,041, of this, up to \$979,452 could be used for administrative costs. The State Department of Education (SDE) has requested spending authority for \$300,000 of these funds to administer the program.

Due to the timing of the enactment of the CRRSA Act and when Idaho's legislature convenes, spending authority for ESSER II funds is provided through the legislative budget process either as supplemental appropriation for the public schools' FY 2021 budget or spending authority in the FY 2022 public schools' budget.

At the April 5, 2021 Special Board meeting the Board approved distribution of 2.5% ARP ESSER SEA reserve funds to LEAs that receive no Title I or low Title I funding, pending legislative action granting spending authority of the federal funds for FY 2022. At the October 2021 Regular Board meeting, the Board approved the distribution methodology of this 2.5% of the ARP ESSER SEA reserve funds to the

**STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER 15, 2021**

individual school districts and charter schools, the use of \$100,000 of the SEA reserve for use by the Accountability Oversight Committee for reviewing and analyzing assessment data and student learning loss, and \$3.5M for use toward a accelerated math learning loss collaborative.

BOARD ACTION

This item is for informational purposes only.