

## Idaho State Department of Education

## STRATEGIC PLAN FY2018-2022

Superintendent Sherri Ybarra

#### **MISSION STATEMENT**

The Idaho State Department of Education is dedicated to providing the highest quality of support and collaboration to Idaho's public schools, teachers, students and parents.

#### **VISION STATEMENT**

Supporting Schools and Students to Achieve.

#### **GOAL 1**

## ALL IDAHO STUDENTS PERSEVERE IN LIFE AND ARE READY FOR COLLEGE AND CAREERS

### Objective A: Fully implement the Idaho Content Standards (TF 2\*)

Idaho's methodology for fully implementing the Idaho Content Standards is largely based in the expansion of successful teacher coaching programming, which will grow to include Math teachers in addition to the existing ELA component. This coaching model is designed to invest in human capital that remains in local districts and that meets local needs. Coaches focus on instructional shifts and work over time, face-to-face with teachers to help provide coherence and flexibility around the Idaho Content Standards, as well as immediate impact in classrooms. Long term, coaches will also include training administrators and regional cadres.

## **Performance Measures:**

Percent of students meeting proficient or advance placement on the Idaho Standards Achievement Test, broken out by subject area.

	FY14 (2013-	FY15 (2014-	FY16 (2015-	<b>FY17</b> (2016-	Benchmark
	2014)	2015)	2016)	2017)	
ELA 5th	N/A	60%	62%		100%
MATH 5th	N/A	30%	31%		100%
SCIENCE 5TH	N/A	N/A	66%		100%
ELA 10th	N/A	60%	62%		100%
MATH 10th	N/A	30%	31%		100%
SCIENCE 10TH	N/A	62.90%	63%		100%

Benchmark: 100% for both 5th and 10th Grade students, broken out by subject area (English Language Arts, Mathematics, Science). (by 2022)

## Objective B: Implement multiple pathways to graduation

In order to implement multiple pathways to graduation, SDE will assert, provide and offer increased flexibility (alternative methods) for students to demonstrate competency in satisfying state and local graduation requirements. The Advanced Opportunities and GEAR UP programs will contribute to this strategy, as will targeted efforts for special education and gifted and talented students.

#### Performance Measures:

Percent of students completing an advanced opportunity (SDE Fast Forward Program only).

FY14 (2013-2014)	<b>FY15</b> (2014-2015)	<b>FY16</b> (2015-2016)	<b>FY17</b> (2016-2017)	Benchmark
N/A	29%	32%		60%

Benchmark: 60% of students per year. 1 (by 2022)

#### **GOAL 2**

# ALL EDUCATION STAKEHOLDERS IN IDAHO ARE MUTUALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR ACCOUNTABILITY AND STUDENT PROGRESS

#### Objective A: Increase district autonomy and ability to innovate

To implement this strategy, we recommend the Governor's Office, State Board of Education, and State Department of Education evaluate existing education laws and administrative rules and work with the Legislature to remove those which impede local autonomy, flexibility to adapt to local circumstances, and the ability of the schools to be agile, adaptive, innovative, and drive continuous improvement.

#### **Performance Measures:**

I. Percentage of Idaho high school graduates meeting college placement/entrance exam college readiness benchmarks.

<b>FY14</b> (2013-2014)	<b>FY15</b> (2014-2015)	<b>FY16</b> (2015-2016)	<b>FY17</b> (2016-2017)	Benchmark
25.7%	25.2%	33.0%		60%
34.0%	37.0%	36.8%		60%

**SAT** – 60% by 2023<sup>1</sup> (by 2022)

**ACT** – 60% by 2023<sup>1</sup> (by 2022)

## Objective B: Establish a Mastery Education Network (TF 1\*)

Mastery education is being embraced by districts and schools across the country as a method of empowering learners, allowing more student voice and enabling students to learn at their own pace. At its core is the shift to learning as measured by a student's ability to demonstrate mastery, not seat time devoted to a subject or grade level. SDE will facilitate the creation of a voluntary network of schools that will begin to implement shifts toward mastery. During the first several years of this network, the state will convene these schools to learn from one another, support the schools where appropriate, learn from school innovations and best practices, and collect models for implementation to prepare for supporting

additional schools in this shift. SDE will also investigate which state policies and rules impede a true mastery model, and work with state lawmakers to remove policy barriers to full implementation.

## **Performance Measures:**

*I.* High school cohort graduation rate.

<b>FY14</b> (2013-2014)	<b>FY15</b> (2014-2015)	<b>FY16</b> (2015-2016)	<b>FY17</b> (2016-2017)	Benchmark
84.1%	77.3%	78.9%		95%

**Benchmark:** 95%¹(by 2022)

## **Key External Factors**

Movement toward meeting specified goals is contingent on efforts of state policy makers as well as the work taking place within the individual school districts and charter schools.

#### **Evaluation Process**

\*denotes Governor's K-12 Task Force Recommendations by number

#### Appendix 1

Cybersecurity Plans As required by Executive Order 2017-02, the strategic plan should also include an update on the agency's adoption of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Cybersecurity Framework and implementation of Center for Internet Security (CIS) Controls. This may be incorporated into the framework of the agency's strategic plan if the efforts fit within an agency goal, or may be included as an addendum. At a minimum, strategic plans should identify how the agency will comply with the first five CIS Controls by June 30, 2018. They should also report any progress already made toward these goals.

The State Department of Education has been working on proactive steps to mitigate cybersecurity risk. To increase the Department's capacity and ability to protect its systems and the data with which it is entrusted the Department has:

- 1. Hired a Security Coordinator to work on policy and implementation of security initiatives
- 2. Implemented cybersecurity awareness training for all SDE employees and initiated in-depth training for key personnel
- 3. Adopted the NIST Cybersecurity Framework as a guideline for securing critical systems
- 4. Begun work to implement the first five Center for Internet Security Critical Security Controls (CIS Controls)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All benchmarks are set based on State Board of Education Benchmarks