2025 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report : Section 1. EPP Profile Updates in AIMS

After reviewing and updating the Educator Preparation Provider's (EPP's) information in AIMS 2.0, ensure the following sections are accurate and up-to-date:

- 1. **EPP Details:** Verify and update the EPP details including mailing address, EPP name, Carnegie classification, EPP type, religious affiliation, language of instruction, and institutional accreditation.
- 2. Users: Review the list of AIMS 2.0 users associated with your EPP. Ensure all users are accurate and have the correct roles. To update users, click on the "Users" tab at the top of the page or the left sidebar, then click the Edit button to edit that user.
- 3. **EPP Programs:**Confirm that the list of programs offered by your EPP is accurate. Update including program name, degree level, licensure level, program/specialty area name, and selected program review as necessary. The listing of programs can be found on the EPP Programs tab, or via the My Programs page on the left sidebar. Please click into each program to ensure all program information is complete and accurate.
- 4. EPP Locations: Check the accuracy of your EPP s location information, ensuring that the Main campus is listed. Branch or Auxiliary campuses may be added if applicable. EPP Locations must be updated on the EPP Locations tab, accessible at the top of the page or via the left sidebar on the "My Locations" page.

After completing these updates, answer each of the corresponding questions below to confirm that all information is current and correct.

1.1. I confirm that the EPP has listed the correct contact information for both individuals designated as "EPP Primary" and "EPP Secondary" under the Role section for the EPP.

Agree

O Disagree

1.2. I confirm that the information displayed in the EPP Details tab (including mailing address, EPP name, Carnegie classification, EPP type, religious affiliation, language of instruction, and institutional accreditation) is up-to-date and accurately reflected in AIMS 2.0. Additionally, I confirm that the EPP Locations tab accurately reflects the EPP's main campus, as well as any associated branch campuses or auxiliary locations.

Agree

Disagree

1.3. I confirm that EPP s licensure area listings [including program name, degree level, licensure level, licensure (program) category, and selected program review option for your CAEP Review] are up-todate and accurately reflected in AIMS 2.0 for all licensure areas that fall within CAEP s scope of accreditation.

Agree

O Disagree

AR Reviewer Question 1.1 Did the EPP provide updated contacts with at least one EPP Primary and

one EPP Secondary Contact?
• Yes
○ No
AR Reviewer Question 1.2 Did the EPP provide updated EPP Details information including noting all EPP Locations?
• Yes
○ No
AR Reviewer Question 1.3 Did the EPP provide updated information for all programs under the EPP Programs section?
○ No
• Yes

Section 2. EPP s Program Graduates [Academic Year 2023-2024]

2025 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report : Section 2. EPP s Program Graduates [Academic Year 2023-2024]

2.1. Total number of candidates who graduated from programs that prepared them to work in P-12 settings during Academic Year 2023-2024. Enter a numeric value for each textbox below. Note that some fields will be automatically calculated or prepopulated with last year's data.

2.1.1 What is the number of graduates in programs leading to initial teacher certification or licensure?

For a description of the scope for initial-licensure level and advanced level programs, see Policy II in the CAEP Accreditation Policies and Procedures.

42

Previous Year Number of initial-licensure level Graduates:

39

2.1.2 What is the number of graduates in advanced programs or programs leading to a degree,

endorsement, or some other credential that prepares the holder to serve in P-12 schools? Please do not include those completers counted above.

For a description of the scope for initial-licensure level and advanced level programs, see Policy II in the CAEP Accreditation Policies and Procedures.

0

Previous Year Number of advanced level Graduates:

0

Total number of program graduates

42.00

Previous Year Total Number of Graduates:

39.00

AR Reviewer Question 2.1. Comparing the EPP s reported completer numbers from this year to last year, has the EPP changed fee brackets with CAEP? [No EPP action is required, unless the EPP finds the reported numbers to be in error.]

Fee Brackets based on completer numbers:

- 1. 0-50
- 2. 51-150
- 3. 151-300
- 4. 301-500
- 5. 501-1000
- 6. 1000+
- 7. International

O Yes

No

Section 3. Substantive Changes

2025 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report : Section 3. Substantive Changes

Please report on any of the following substantive changes that may have occurred at your Educator Preparation Provider (EPP) or institution/organization since the submission of the prior year s Annual Accreditation Report.

3.1. Has there been any change in the EPP s legal status, form of control, or ownership?

- ◯ Change
- No Change/Not Applicable

3.2. Has the EPP entered a contract with other providers for direct instructional services, including any teach out agreements?

- ◯ Change
- O No Change / Not Applicable

3.3. Since the last reporting cycle, has the EPP seen a change in state program approval?

- ◯ Change
- O No Change / Not Applicable

3.4. What is the institution s current regional accreditation status?

3.4.1. Institutional Accreditation Agency:

Northwest Commission or -

3.4.2. Institutional Accreditation Status:

```
Accredited/Accreditation F
```

3.4.3. Does this represent a change in status from the prior year?

Change

No Change / Not Applicable

3.5. Since the last reporting cycle, does the EPP have any other substantive changes to report to CAEP per CAEP s Accreditation Policy?

Please see Policy V.4.01 of the CAEP Accreditation Policies and Procedures document for CAEP's definition of substantive changes.

Change

No Change / Not Applicable

AR Reviewer Question 3.1. Please provide feedback on the EPP's substantive changes, if any. Type

"None" if no substantive changes were identified.

Insert text here ...

Section 4. CAEP Accreditation Details on EPP s Website

2025 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report : Section 4. CAEP Accreditation Details on EPP s Website

Please update the EPP s public-facing website to include:

- 1. the EPP's current CAEP accreditation status with an accurate listing of the EPP's CAEP reviewed programs, and
- 2. the EPP's reported data for each of the required CAEP Accountability Measures for the 2023-2024 Academic Year.

4.1. URL Link for EPP s current CAEP Accreditation Status & Reviewed Programs

In the box below, please provide the direct URL link to the EPP's public facing webpage where its current CAEP accreditation status and a list of CAEP-reviewed programs are available.

https://www.lcsc.edu/me

4.2. CAEP Accountability Measures [2023-2024 Academic Year]

EPPs are required to provide data addressing the four CAEP Accountability Measures. These data must be collected or reported for the 2023-2024 Academic Year (September 1, 2023 August 31, 2024) and be relevant to the requirements outlined below. Data should be clearly labeled to each of the four CAEP Accountability Measures and made publicly available with explanations that are easily understood by a general audience.

- Measure 1 (Initial): Completer effectiveness. (R4.1)
 - Data must address: (a) completer impact in contributing to P-12 student-learning growth AND
 (b) completer effectiveness in applying professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions.
- Measure 2 (Initial and Advanced): Satisfaction of employers and stakeholder involvement. (R4.2, R5.3, RA4.1)
 - Data provided should be collected on employers satisfaction with program completers.
- Measure 3 (Initial and Advanced): Candidate competency at completion. (R3.3)
 - Data provided should relate to measures the EPP is using to determine if candidates are

meeting program expectations and ready to be recommended for licensure. (E.g.: EPP s Title II report, data that reflect the ability of EPP candidates to meet licensing and state requirements or other measures the EPP uses to determine candidate competency at completion.)

- Measure 4 (Initial and Advanced): Ability of completers to be hired (in positions for which they have prepared).
 - Data provided may come from various sources, including state or EPP collected data related to completers' employment in teaching positions for which they were prepared.

4.2.1. URL Link for EPP's Data Display of CAEP Accountability Measures - Initial-Licensure Level

In the box below, please provide the direct URL link to the EPP's public facing webpage where data for the required CAEP Accountability Measures for initial-licensure level programs are available. If the EPP does not offer initial-licensure level programs, then please leave this box blank.

https://www.lcsc.edu/me	Э
-------------------------	---

4.2.2. URL Link for EPP's Data Display of CAEP Accountability Measures - Advanced Level

In the box below, please provide the direct URL link to the EPP's public facing webpage where data for the required CAEP Accountability Measures for advanced level programs are available. If the link is the same as for the initial licensure level, please provide it again in this box. If the EPP does not offer advanced-level programs, then please leave this box blank.

openv_in_ne
AR Reviewer Question 4.1. Did the EPP provide a weblink that displays its current accreditation status and an accurate list of programs included during the most recent CAEP (NCATE/TEAC) accreditation review?
• Yes
○ No
AR Reviewer Question 4.2. Did the EPP provide a direct weblink to its website where the EPP's display of data for the CAEP Accountability Measures is available to the public?
• Yes
○ No

AR Reviewer Question 4.3. Are the CAEP Accountability Measures clearly identified and tagged? (Includes header identifying the CAEP Accountability Measures and sub-headings/tags to each of the four measures as defined by CAEP) Yes No
AR Reviewer Question 4.4. Do the data, tagged to each of the four CAEP Accountability Measures, appear to be collected using appropriate data collection instruments/procedures for the relevant CAEP Component? Yes No
AR Reviewer Question 4.5. Does the data for the CAEP Accountability Measures reflect data collected in the 2023-2024 Academic Year? (*If data are currently unavailable, does the EPP provide a placeholder statement that details why data are unavailable, and an expected timeline for when updated data will be shared?) Yes No
AR Reviewer Question 4.6. Has the EPP shared and explained the relevance of each of its data measures in a way that can be easily understood by the public? Yes No
AR Reviewer Question 4.7. Has data been disaggregated and shared at the program level? Did the EPP separate its Initial Level Program data and Advanced Level Program data for the CAEP Accountability Measures? [*Relevant to EPPs that receive/will receive CAEP accreditation at both the initial and advanced level.] Yes No

2025 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report : Section 5: Areas for Improvement and/or Stipulations

Summarize EPP activities and the outcomes of those activities as they relate to correcting the areas cited in the last Accreditation Action/Decision Report. The EPP will continue to report its action and progress on addressing its AFI(s) and/or stipulations until the EPP s next CAEP Accreditation Site Review.

To write your summary, please click the edit button next to each AFI/stipulation to edit the AFI/stipulation. Once there, please provide narrative in the "2025 Annual Accreditation Report Summary/Narrative and Supporting Documents" box at the bottom of the page.

If you do not have any AFIs or stipulations listed, please mark this section complete.

AR Reviewer Question 5.1. Has the EPP summarized its activities and the outcomes of those activities as they relate to correcting the area cited in the last Accreditation Action/Decision Report? [As a reminder: The EPP will continue to report its action and progress on addressing its AFI(s), weaknesses and/or stipulations until the EPP's next CAEP Accreditation Site Review.]

Yes

O No

AFI/Stipulation

Component	Туре	Status	Rationale
4.2 Indicators of Teaching Effectiveness	AFI	Open	Student surveys from the completer mentor program provide insufficient evidence of a representative or purposeful sample of completers with responses provided on only four completers from 2016 to 2020.
4.1 Impact on P-12 Student Learning and Development	AFI	Open	Impact data from the completer mentor program does not include evidence from a representative or purposeful sample of completers (CAEP Consolidated Handbook, p. 128). Component 4.1 is under phase-in, no plans were provided for obtaining a representative or purposeful sample.

1.5 Provider Responsibilities	AFI	Open	The PBA does include elements for planning using technology and reflection on learning after a lesson that incorporated technology. The element regarding the observation of actual implementation only occurs with some candidates based on which lesson they are observed teaching.The PBA provided in on-site evidence is a draft. The practices decribed are not yet part of the curriculum.
		Items per page: 10 💌	1 3 of 3 🗶 📏

Section 6. EPP s Continuous Improvement & Progress on (advanced level) Phase-in Plans and (initial-licensure level) Transition Plans

2025 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report : Section 6. EPP s Continuous Improvement & Progress on (advanced level) Phase-in Plans and (initial-licensure level) Transition Plans

6.1. Summarize any data-driven EPP-wide or programmatic modifications, innovations, or changes planned, worked on, or completed in the last academic year.

This is an opportunity to share targeted continuous improvement efforts your EPP is proud of. Focus on one to two major efforts the EPP made and the relationship among data examined, changes, progress with any Transition Plans (initial-licensure level) and/or Phase-in Plans (advanced level), and studying the results of those changes.

The EPP noted that there were discrepancies in the Title II Data between completers certified and completers reported to Title II and was able to rectify this error.

Data were collected from and their use of the EQuIP rubric in ED 392. This provided some wonderful reflection and insight from the reflective process used by candidates in writing and analyzing their unit design. Included here are a few reflections:

The EQuIP checklist helped me visualize and understand the amount of work that goes into creating lesson and unit designs. Having this checklist was super helpful. It allowed me to go through the "What's Your Story" Unit and identify each of the different elements and how they

were used. I think it is also helpful because it helps you to see where content may be lacking or which aspects may have too much focus on them. Having a clear checklist is helpful for creating a unit plan and keeping track of which criteria you are completely hitting and which you may need to reflect and expand on.

I think that using the EQuIP Checklist supports a deeper understanding of the components of lesson and unit design, because it allows teachers and education students to take an extra step in ensuring that their unit contains everything that should be in a lesson plan to ensure that the lesson plans are student centered, which ensures that all students are actively engaged in the learning experience. This practice might look like discussions, group projects etc. to ensure that students have some way to demonstrate their knowledge. Another great benefit of using the EQuIP Checklist is that it helps teachers to rework the lesson or unit if needed, it will show them areas that are missing or may need to be further developed. It serves as a reflection for the teacher to be confident in their unit/lesson plans. I am glad that we got a chance to look at a checklist like this because I would love to use this or something similar to check over my lesson plans and units in my future.

Analyzing a unit through the lens of the EQuIP checklist really helps educators develop a deeper understanding of the different components of the unit design, and where it can be improved. One way that this is done is through an emphasis on what students need to be successful. There are 16 items that need to be checked off in order to have a quality unit or lesson plan. I personally focused on the first three (standards, purpose, and literacy) for my analysis this week, but looking through the other checklist items I can see how each and every one can either make or break a unit's plan. From engagement to assessment variety, there are many ways that the EQuIP checklist helps support a unit plan and create an environment where students can receive deeper and fuller understandings of unit concepts and ideas.

The EPP also worked to remap the secondary education programs, particularly in English and Communications. This was in response to the need from stakeholders for more delivery options and opportunity, especially in rural areas. This also led to the proposal of an MAT program through curriculum and submitted to the State Board of Education for consideration. Unfortunately, another EPP was not pleased at the development of an MAT, and our EPP decided to withdraw the proposal for now.

The EPP is also working to reduce the number of programs. Currently our EPP has Secondary areas with teacher certification. These programs were initially created as majors in the content areas with teacher certification. This means that many of the programs are the full major program as well as the certification components of teacher education. This year the entire set of programs were redesigned as a content specialist: secondary - K/12 major with content areas as the varied choices. This has been submitted to curriculum for review. The EPP hopes that the restructring of the program will meet the requirements of the state for certification, provide ample content expertise, and reduce the number of required credits for completion.

AR Reviewer Question 6.1. Has the EPP shared its continuous improvement initiatives, AND (if applicable) provided CAEP with an update regarding the EPP's progress on its advanced level phase-in plans and/or initial level transition plans?



Section 7: Feedback for CAEP & Report Preparer's Authorization

2025 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report : Section 7: Feedback for CAEP & Report Preparer's Authorization

7.1. [OPTIONAL] Does the EPP have any questions about CAEP Standards, CAEP sufficiency criteria, or the CAEP accreditation process generally?

Not at this time.

7.2. Preparer's authorization. By checking the box below, I indicate that I am authorized by the EPP to complete the 2024 EPP Annual Report, and that the details provided in this report and linked webpages are up-to-date and accurate at the time of submission.

I am authorized to complete this report.

I understand that all the information that is provided to CAEP from EPPs seeking initial accreditation, continuing accreditation, or having completed the accreditation process is considered the property of CAEP and may be used for training, research, and data review. CAEP reserves the right to compile and issue data derived from accreditation documents.

See CAEP Accreditation Policies and Procedures.

Acknowledge

Semester of EPP s next CAEP Site Review

This is listed for informational purposes only. If you notice an issue with this information, please reach out to CAEP staff.

Next Visit Date [Semester] - Initial-Licensure Level

Fall

Next Visit Date [Year] - Initial-Licensure Level

2027 •

Next Visit Date [Semester] - Advanced Level

-

Select Option

Next Visit Date [Year] - Advanced Level

Select Option -

AR Reviewer Question 7.1. If the EPP asked any questions, please respond to the questions below.

Insert text here ...

AR Reviewer Question 7.2. The EPP report preparer indicated that they were authorized by the EPP to complete the 2024 EPP Annual Report and that the details provided in this report and linked webpages were up to date and accurate at the time of submission.

Yes

O No

Page 12 of 12