

**Initial Educator Preparation Provider Approval Process Checklist and Rubrics** 

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Initial Educator Preparation Provider Application Checklist	2
Initial Educator Preparation Provider Application Rubrics and Scoring	
Scoring for Certificate Requirements	
Scoring for Endorsement Requirements	



# Initial Educator Preparation Provider Application Checklist

Initial	Educator Preparation Provider Appl	ication Checkl	list
Application Component	Data Element	Complete	Incomplete
Part 1:	Contact Information		
Contact	List of certification type being sought		
Information	List endorsement type being sought		
Application Component	Data Element	Completed	Incomplete
Part 2:	Demonstration of need		
Demonstration	Candidate recruitment		
of Need	EPP and program design		
	Accreditation status		
	Efficacy and quality		
	Sustainability		
	'		<u> </u>
Application	Data Element	Complete	Incomplete
Component			
Part 3:	Overview of program		
EPP and	Candidate experience		
Certificate	Assessment system overview		
Endorsement	Evaluation of candidate during clinical		
Program	experience		
Requirements	Impact on student learning		
	Clinical partnership information		
	Implementation plan		
	Course syllabi		
Application	Data Element	Complete	Incomplete
	D www Elvillon	Complete	piete
Component Part 4:	Signatures		



### Initial Educator Preparation Provider Application Rubrics and Scoring

The initial educator preparation provider application rubrics (initial EPP application rubrics) below are used to determine if the initial educator preparation provider application (initial EPP application) adequately meets the state requirements as indicated in the initial EPP approval guide and application (initial EPP approval guide and application). The scoring that is used is indicated below.

Initial Educator Preparation Provider Application Rubric and Scoring						
Application Component		Ru	bric			
Part 1:	Criteria	Exemplary (	<b>(4)</b>	Needs Improvement (1)		
Contact Information and Program		Complete and accurate information is provided		Contact information is inaccurate or incomplete.		
Request		Complete and accurate certification types bein		Certification types are inaccurate or incomplete		
		Complete and accurate endorsement types being		Endorsement types are inaccurate or incomplete.		
Scoring: A scoring of Exemplary (4) meets the minimum requirement.						
	Exer	mplary (4)	Ne	eds Improvement (1)		

Part 2: Demonstration of Need	Criteria	Exemplary (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Needs Improvement (1)
	Demonstration of Need	Clear, detailed, and well-researched justification for the program's necessity, backed by quantitative and qualitative data, literature, and stakeholder input.	rationale	lacks strong supporting	The need for the program is unclear or poorly supported by evidence.
	Candidate Recruitment	Comprehensive strategy addressing recruitment sources, and robust outreach efforts. Clear metrics for success.	targeted	strategy is basic	Limited or unclear recruitment strategy with minimal outreach efforts.



Clear learning outcomes, activities, and assessments are provided.
Program is accredited by a recognized accreditor with clear evidence of compliance and commitment to maintaining standards.
Clear learning outcomes, activities, and assessments are provided.
Clear learning outcomes, activities, and assessments are provided.
Design requirements. Clear learning outcomes, activities, and assessments are some elements. Learning outcomes and assessments reduirements. Learning outcomes and assessments some elements. Learning outcomes and assessments
Program design is highly aligned with evidence-based best practices, addressing all program  Program design is aligned with best practices but lacks clarity or some components are addressing all program evidence on evidence on weak, and best practices



Part 3: EPP and Certificate Endorsement	Criteria	Exemplary (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Needs Improvement (1)
Program Requirements	Overview of Program	Provides a comprehensive and clear overview, including goals, structure, and outcomes.		Overview is basic and lacks important details.	Overview is unclear or missing critical information.
	Candidate Experience	Clearly describes a positive, engaging, and supportive experience with comprehensive details on structure, guidance.	candidate experience with most elements	Mentions candidate experience but lacks specifics about engagement, support, or opportunities.	Limited or unclear description of candidate experience.
	Assessment System Overview	Detailed explanation of the assessment system, including types, tools, and criteria for evaluation.	Clear description of assessment system with some minor gaps in tool or criteria details.	Provides an overview but lacks clarity or details about assessment types and tools.	Assessment system is unclear or missing key components.
	Evaluation of Candidate During Clinical Experience	Comprehensive methods for evaluating candidates during clinical experience, including feedback and progress tracking.	feedback or progress	Basic evaluation methods are mentioned but lack specific examples of feedback or tracking.	Evaluation methods are vague, missing, or insufficiently detailed.
	Impact on Student Learning	Provides a clear, detailed connection between program and student learning outcomes, with evidence of effectiveness.	student learning with clear links,		No clear connection to student learning outcomes.



	Clinical Partnershi Informatio	p ex or de cl pa in re ar	covides stensive, well- ganized etails about inical artnerships, cluding roles, sponsibilities, ad	detail l	l rships afficient out lacks	Mentions partnership but lacks specific details abo roles or collaborati	ut	Clinical partnerships are either unclear or not mentioned.
	Implement Plan	ation a fe in pl tin	learly outlines detailed and asible aplementation an with clear melines, sources, and ales.	Provid reason implen plan, tl some a lack de clarity.	able nentation nough aspects etail or	Plan lacks clarity or is overly simplistic, lacking timelines of resources.		Implementation plan is unclear, incomplete, or missing.
	Course Syl	labi ccc w ccc in de ob as ar	covides comprehensive, ell-organized course syllabi, cluding etailed cojectives, esessments, and course ructure.	are cle	e syllabi ar but ck some in ves or	Provides course syll with minin details, lac alignment program goals.	nal ks	Course syllabi are unclear, incomplete, or missing.
	Scoring:	of Good	(3) meets the	minimi	ım reguir	·ement		
	Exempla		Good (3			etory (2)	Im	Needs provement (1)
Part 4:	Criterion		Exemplary (	4)		Needs Imp	prov	vement (1)
Signatures	Signatures	are obta	Appropriate signatures and are obtained by applicable with decision making auth		able faculty not obtained by applicabl		icable faculty	
	Scoring: A scoring of Exemplary (4) meets the minimum requirement.							
		Exemp	olary (4)		N	eeds Impro	over	ment (1)



#### Scoring for Certificate Requirements

Traditional and non-traditional providers and its programs must be in alignment with state certification requirements and applicable standards to be considered for approval per <a href="State Board">State Board</a> <a href="Policy IV.D.">Policy IV.D.</a> and <a href="IDAPA 08.02.02">IDAPA 08.02.02</a>.

The scoring that is used is "met" or "not met."

Certificate	Met	Not Met
Instructional		
Pupil Services		
Administrator		

#### Scoring for Endorsement Requirements

Traditional educator preparation providers and its programs must be in alignment with endorsement requirements to be considered for approval per <a href="State Board Policy IV.D.">State Board Policy IV.D.</a> and <a href="IDAPA 08.02.02">IDAPA 08.02.02</a>. Credits used for determining eligibility in one endorsement area may also be used to meet the requirements for a corresponding endorsement area where the requirements overlap.

The scoring that is used is "met" or "not met."

Endorsement	Met	Not Met
All Subjects (K-8)		
American Government/Political Science (5-9 or 6-12)		
Bilingual Education (K-12)		
Biological Science (5-9 or 6-12)		
Chemistry (5-9 or 6-12)		
Communication (5-9 or 6-12)		
Computer Science (5-9 or 6-12)		
Early Literacy (K-3)		
Earth and Space Science (5-9 or 6-12)		
Economics (5-9 or 6-12)		
Engineering (5-9 or 6-12)		
English (5-9 or 6-12)		
English as a Second Language (K-12)		
Geography (5-9 or 6-12)		
Geology (5-9 or 6-12)		
Health (5-9, 6-12 or K-12)		
History (5-9 or 6-12)		
Humanities (5-9 or 6-12)		
Journalism (5-9 or 6-12)		
Literacy (K-12)		



Mathematics (6-12)	
Mathematics–Middle Level (5-9)	
Music (5-9, 6-12 or K-12)	
Natural Science (6-12)	
Online-Teacher (K-12)	
Physical Education (5-9, 6-12, or K-12)	
Physical Science (5-9 or 6-12)	
Physics (5-9 or 6-12)	
Psychology (5-9 or 6-12)	
Science–Middle Level (5-9)	
Social Studies (6-12)	
Social Studies–Middle Level (5-9)	
Sociology (5-9 or 6-12)	
Sociology/Anthropology (5-9 or 6-12)	
Teacher Librarian (K-12)	
Theater Arts (5-9 or 6-12)	
Visual Arts (5-9, 6-12 or K-12)	
World Language (5-9, 6-12 or K-12)	
Chinese	
French	
German	
Japanese	
Latin	
Russian	
Spanish	
Blended Early Childhood Ed./ Early Childhood Special Education (Birth-Grade 3)	
Blended Elementary Education/ Elementary Special Education (Grade 4-Grade 6)	
Blind/Low Vision (K-12)	
Deaf/Hard of Hearing (K-12)	
Early Childhood Special Education (Pre-K-3)	
Exceptional Child Education (K-8, 6-12, or K-12)	
Gifted and Talented (K-12)	
Teacher Leader–Instructional Specialist	
Teacher Leader–Instructional Technology	
Teacher Leader–Literacy	
Teacher Leader–Mathematics	
Teacher Leader–Special Education	
Audiology	



Occupational Therapist	
Physical Therapist	
School Counselor (K-12)	
School Nurse	
School Psychologist	
School Social Worker	
Speech-Language Pathologist	
Director of SPED (Pre-K-12)	
School Principal (Pre-K-12)	
Superintendent (Pre-K-12)	

